Math 2311

Bekki George – bekki@math.uh.edu
Office Hours: MW 11am to 12:45pm in 639 PGH
Online Thursdays 4-5:30pm
And by appointment

Class webpage: http://www.math.uh.edu/~bekki/Math2311.html

Ch7 - Confidence Intervals



- 1. What is the z* critical value for a 95% confidence interval?
 - a. 1.96
 - b. 1.645
 - c. 2.33
 - d. 2.06
 - e. none of these

8.1 - Inference for the Mean of a Population

In chapter 7, we discussed one type of inference about a population – the confidence interval. In this chapter we will begin discussion the **significance test**.

 H_0 : is the **null hypothesis**. The **null hypothesis** states that there is no effect or change in the population. It is the statement being tested in a test of significance. M = 100

 H_a : is the alternate hypothesis. The alternative hypothesis describes the effect we suspect is true, in other words, it is the alternative to the "no effect" of the null hypothesis.

Since there are only two hypotheses, there are only two possible decisions: reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative or don't reject the null hypothesis. We will never say that we accept the null hypothesis.

For inference about a population mean: $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ where } \mu_0 \text{ represents the given population mean.}$

		a Chail T	0
Alternate Hypothesis	Rejection Region	a Critical	Volum
$H_a: \mu < \mu_0$	Crítical Value	d = .05 $0 one failed$	
$H_a: \mu > \mu_0$	Critical	one tailed tests	
$H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$.	Critical Critical Value	< two tailed test	

If I want to book at

The probability, computed assuming that the null hypothesis is true, that the test statistic would take a value as extreme or more extreme than that actually observed is called the p-value of the test. A result with a small p-value is called **statistically significant**. This means that chance alone would rarely produce so extreme a result. We say that a value is **statistically significant** when the p-value is as small as, or smaller than, the given significance level, α . If we are not given α , we can interpret the results like this:

- If the *p*-value is less than 1%, we say that there is overwhelming evidence to infer that the alternative hypothesis is true. (We also say that the test is highly significant)
- If the p-value is between 1% and 5%, we say that there is strong evidence to infer that the alternative hypothesis is true. (We also say that the test is significant)
- If the *p*-value is between 5% and 10%, we say that there is weak evidence to infer that the alternative hypothesis is true. (We also say that the test not statistically significant)
- If the *p*-value is exceeds 10%, we say that there is no evidence to infer that the alternative hypothesis is true.

When performing a significance test, we follow these steps:

- 1. Check assumptions.
- 2. State the null and alternate hypotheses.
- 3. Graph the rejection region, labeling the critical values.
- 4. Calculate the test statistic.
- 5. Find the *p*-value. If this answer is less than the significance level, α , we can reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternate.
- 6. Give your conclusion using the context of the problem. When stating the conclusion you can give results with a confidence of $(1-\alpha)(100)\%$.

z – test

Assumptions:

- 1. An SRS of size *n* from the population.
- 2. Known population standard deviation, (σ)
- 3. Either a normal population or a large sample $(n \ge 30)$

To compute the z – test statistic, we use the formula:

t – test

Assumptions:

→2. Unknown population standard deviation. ✓ 63

- - 3. Either a normal population or large sample $(n \ge 30)$.

where s is the sample standard To compute the t – test statistic, we use the formula deviation. The t – test will use n-1 degrees of freedom.

- 2. A t test is used instead of a z test when
 - a. The population mean is unknown
 - b. The population size is unknown
 - c. The population variance is unknown
 - d. None of these

· ර²

Examples:

10. Mr. Murphy is an avid golfer. Suppose he has been using the same golf clubs for quite some time. Based on this experience, he knows that his average distance when hitting a ball with his current driver (the longest-hitting club) under ideal conditions is 200 yards with a standard deviation of 9. After some preliminary swings with a new driver, he obtained the following sample of driving distances: $\delta = 9$ Clumpy $\mu = 200$

205 198 220 210 194 201 213 191 211 203

Assume pope is normal

He feels that the new club does a better job. Do you agree?

Ho: M= 200

$$X = 204.6$$

Ha: M>200

Use
$$\alpha = .05$$

0 1322

10 = 10

(nvNorm (.95)

2 = 1.645

lest statistie;
$$Z = \frac{x - \mu}{6\sqrt{n}} = \frac{204.6 - 200}{9/\sqrt{10}} = 1.616$$

pvalue: P(Z)1.616) = normalcodf(1.616, big#, 0,1)= .053 >\alpha = .05

Fail to reject the null hypothesis.

if test statistic is in rejection region means that the prable < & => Reject Ho in favor of Ha

if t.s. not in rejection region and pralue > a fail to reject H.

13. An association of college bookstores reported that the average amount of money spent by students on textbooks for the Fall 2010 semester was \$325.16. A random sample of 75 students at the local campus of the state university indicated an average bill for textbooks for the semester in question to be \$312.34 with a standard deviation of \$76.42. Do these data provide significant evidence that the actual average bill is different from the \$325.16 reported? Test at the 1% significance level.

Significance rever.
$$\chi = 312.34$$
 $3 = 76.42$ $\chi = .01$
 $\chi = 325.16$
 $\chi = 325.16$

Fail to reject the null hype

If Ha ≠
then pralue
use < if
test stat neg
> if pos
and multiby 2

- 3. Suppose a test of the hypothesis in a question gives a p-value of 0.02. The correct action based on $\alpha = 0.05$ would be to
 - a. Reject H_0
 - ightharpoonupReject H_a
 - \bigwedge Accept H_0
 - d. Fail to reject H_0 None of these

Matched pairs is a special test when we are comparing corresponding values in data. This test is used only when our data samples are DEPENDENT upon one another (like before and after results).

Matched pairs t – test assumptions:

- 1. Each sample is an SRS of size n from the same population.
- 2. The test is conducted on paired data (the samples are NOT independent).
- 3. Unknown population standard deviation.
- 4. Either a normal population or large samples ($n \ge 30$).

Example:

15. A new law has been passed giving city police greater powers in apprehending suspected criminals. For six neighborhoods, the numbers of reported crimes one year before and one year after the new law are shown. Does this indicate that the number of reported crimes have dropped?

Neighborhood 1 2 3 4 5 6 Assume normal population
Before 18 35 44 28 22 37
After 21 23 30 19 24 29
Before-After -3 12 14 9 -2 8 ← find averge of these for $\frac{1}{2}$ = 6.333
H_0 : No change: $M_0 = 0$ $\alpha = .05$ > crime_diff=c(-3,12,14,9,-2,8) > mean(crime_diff)
$H_a: \mu_b > 0$ [1] 6.333333 > sd(crime_diff) [1] 7.174027
1* = 2.015 S
$\frac{1}{7.174/\sqrt{6}} = \frac{6.333 - 0}{2.162} = \frac{1013}{1007} = \frac{1007}{1007} = 1$
1-pt (2.162,5) <q< td=""></q<>
P Value: p(t > 2.162) = test (2.162, 100000, 5) = .0415<.05
P value: $p(t > 2.162) = test(2.162, 100000, 5) = .0415<.05$ Reject the null in favor of the alt. hypothesis

- 4. A significance test was performed to test H_0 : $\mu = 23$ versus H_a : $\mu < 23$. The test statistic is z = -1.70. What is the p-value for this test?
 - a. 0.09

P(Z<-1.70)

- b. 0.04
- c. 0.02
- d. 0.96
- e. none of these
- 5. A significance test was performed to test $H_0: \mu = 23$ versus $H_a: \mu \neq 23$. The test statistic is z = -1.70. What is the p-value for this test?
 - a. 0.09

2,p(2<-1.70)

- b. 0.04
- c. 0.02
- d. 0.96
- e. none of these