

Selected Solutions to HW #6

- § 2.1 #3: (a) Prove that T is a linear transformation.
(b) Find $N(T)$ and $R(T)$. Compute the Nullity and rank(T).
(c) Is T onto? Is T one-to-one?

$$T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, T(a_1, a_2) = (a_1 + a_2, 0, 2a_1 - a_2)$$

- (a) By the definition on pg 65, we want to show $\forall x, y \in V, c \in F$ that
- $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$
 - $T(cx) = cT(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2)) &= T((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2)) \rightarrow \text{plug this into the given transformation.} \\ &= ((a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2), 0, (2a_1 + 2b_1 - a_2 - b_2)) \rightarrow \text{Now manipulate to a form} \\ &= ((a_1 + a_2), 0, (2a_1 - a_2)) + ((b_1 + b_2), 0, (2b_1 - b_2)) \end{aligned}$$

that we endeavour.

$$T((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2)) = T(a_1, a_2) + T(b_1, b_2) \quad \checkmark$$

$$T(c(a_1, a_2)) = T(ca_1, ca_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (ca_1 + ca_2, 0, c2a_1 - ca_2) \\ &= c(a_1 + a_2, 0, 2a_1 - a_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$T(c(a_1, a_2)) = cT(a_1, a_2) \quad \checkmark \quad \therefore T \text{ is a linear transformation.}$$

- (b) For finding $N(T)$, we ask if there are non-zero values for a_1, a_2 that yield the zero transformation?

$$\text{We need } a_1 + a_2 = 0 \quad a_1 = -a_2$$

$$2a_1 - a_2 = 0 \quad -2a_2 - a_2 = 0$$

$$-3a_2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = 0 \quad \therefore N(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$$

§ 2.1 #3 (b) cont...

$$R(T) = \{(a_1, a_2, 0, 2a_1 - a_2) : a_1, a_2 \in V\}$$

$$R(T) = \text{span}(T(\beta)) = \text{span}(\{T(1,0), T(0,1)\})$$

$$R(T) = \{(1,0,2), (1,0,-1)\}$$

Theorem 2.2 pg 68.

→ I find this a cleaner and easier way to think about $R(T)$. Choose the standard ordered basis for \mathbb{R}^2 , which is $\{(0,1), (1,0)\}$ → other way, but all the same.

Now we have that $\dim(N(T)) = \text{Nullity}(T) = 0$, and
 $\dim(R(T)) = \text{rank}(T) = 2$

(c)
 T is one-to-one (injective) since $N(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$

T is NOT onto (surjective) since $\dim(R(T)) < \dim \mathbb{R}^3$, i.e. $2 < 3$.

§ 2.1 #11 : Prove that there exists a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $T_1(1,1) = (3,0,6)$ and $T_2(2,3) = (1,-1,4)$. (calculate $T(8,1)$).

* There are several ways to go about this, so I'll share the shortest.

We want to be able to represent $T(\beta)$ in terms of T_1, T_2 . Let $\beta = \{(1,0), (0,1)\}$.

$$T(1,0) = 3T_1 - T_2 = (3,0,6) - (1,-1,4) = (2,1,2)$$

$$T(0,1) = T_2 - 2T_1 = (1,-1,4) - (2,0,4) = (-1,-1,0)$$

$$\text{Then } T(x,y) = T(x,0) + T(0,y) = xT(1,0) + yT(0,1) = x(2,1,2) + y(-1,-1,0)$$

$$\boxed{T(x,y) = (2x-y, x-y, 2x)}$$
 This proves that there exists a linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow W$,
 $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\text{Compute } T(8,1) = (5, -3, 16).$$

§ 2.1 # 14: Let V, W be vector spaces and $T: V \rightarrow W$ be linear.

(a) (\Rightarrow) Assume T is one-to-one. Suppose that $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V . We w.t.s. that $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_k)\}$ is also linearly independent and subset of W .

Note by assumption. $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \forall i=1..k$

looking at $b_1 T(v_1) + \dots + b_k T(v_k) = 0$ (*)

$T(b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k) = 0 \Rightarrow b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k = 0$ by injective assumption.

$\Rightarrow b_1 = \dots = b_k = 0$ by assumption

then we see that (*) is linearly independent. \square

(\Leftarrow) Sps T carries independent sets in V to independent sets in W

looking at $T(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_k v_k) = 0 = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_k T(v_k)$. This implies that $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k = 0$.

Then for any ind. subset of V , vectors in that subset can be written in the form $x = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i \Rightarrow x = 0$ when $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i = 0$, as shown above.

$\therefore T$ is one-to-one. \square

(b) (\Rightarrow) same proof as (a) (\Rightarrow).

(\Leftarrow) Assume $T(S)$ is linearly independent. let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$

looking at $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k = 0$ * then take the transformation

$$T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k) = 0$$

$a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_k T(v_k) = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$ by assumption.

These are the same coefficients in $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k = 0$ that we started w/

$\therefore S$ is linearly independent. \square

§ 2.1 #14 (c)

$\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V and T is bijective.

Prove that $T(\beta) = \{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis for W

- β is linearly independent
- $T(\beta)$ is linearly independent by part (b)

T onto means that $\forall w \in W \exists v \in V$ s.t. $T(v) = w$.

β is a basis so by theorem 1.8 (pg 43) $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \quad \forall v \in V$

and $w = T(v)$, or $w = \sum_{i=1}^n T(a_i v_i) \Rightarrow w \in \text{span}(T(\beta))$ and $W = \text{span}(T(\beta))$
since T is one-to-one

$\therefore T(\beta)$ is a basis for W

↑
If this doesn't yet seem immediate then refer to Corollary 2 (a) on pg 47:

"Let V be a V.S. with $\dim(V) = n$. Then any finite generating set for V contains at least n vectors. AND a generating set for V that contains exactly n vectors is a basis for V ."