

## QUOTIENT HARDY MODULES

RONALD G. DOUGLAS AND RONGWEI YANG

Communicated by Vern I. Paulsen

ABSTRACT. Suppose  $H^2(D^n)$  is the Hardy space over the unit polydisk  $D^n$ , and  $[h]$  is the closed submodule generated by a function  $h \in H^\infty(D^n)$ . The quotient  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  is an  $A(D^n)$  module and the coordinate functions  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  act on  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  as bounded linear operators. In this paper, we first make a study of the spectral properties of these operators and reveal how these properties are related to the function  $h$ . Then we will have a look at the analytic continuation problem. At last, we will show a rigidity phenomenon of quotient Hardy modules.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

We let  $\mathbf{C}^n$  denote the cartesian product of  $n$  copies of the complex field. The points of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  are thus ordered  $n$ -tuples  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ .  $D^n$  will be the unit polydisk in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with distinguished boundary  $T^n$ , where  $T$  is the unit circle. The closure of polynomials over  $D^n$  under the supremum norm will be denoted by  $A(D^n)$  and called the polydisk algebra. The Hardy space  $H^2(D^n)$  is the collection of holomorphic functions over  $D^n$  which satisfy the inequality

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_{T^n} |f(rz)|^2 dm < \infty,$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_2 = \left( \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_{T^n} |f(rz)|^2 dm \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $dm$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $T^n$ .  $H^\infty(D^n)$  is the space of all bounded holomorphic functions in  $D^n$  with

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup |f(z)|, \quad z \in D^n,$$

and it is easily seen that  $H^\infty(D^n)$  is a Banach algebra with pointwise multiplication and addition. The collection of invertible elements in algebra  $H^\infty(D^n)$

is denoted by  $[H^\infty(D^n)]^{-1}$ . The  $H^\infty$  spaces over other domains are similarly defined. Suppose  $\Omega$  is any open set that contains  $\overline{D^n}$ . For any natural number  $j$  less than or equal to  $n$  and any  $\mu \in \overline{D}$ , we set

$$S_\mu^j := \{z \in \Omega \mid z_j = \mu\},$$

which is called the *slice* of  $\Omega$  at  $z_j = \mu$ . In many places of this paper, we will assume some functions to be holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$ . The slice sets will be useful in the discussion there. For any  $h$  holomorphic in a domain,  $Z(h)$  will denote the set of zeros of  $h$  in that domain.

It is well known that the space  $H^2(D^n)$  is an  $A(D^n)$ -module with action defined by the pointwise multiplication by  $A(D^n)$  functions. For any  $h \in H^2(D^n)$ , we let

$$[h] := \overline{A(D^n)h}^{H^2}$$

be the submodule generated by the function  $h$ . A function  $h \in H^2(D^n)$  is called *Helson outer* (denoted  $\text{outer}(H)$ ) if  $[h]$  is equal to  $H^2(D^n)$  and is called *inner* if  $|h(z)|$  is equal to 1 almost everywhere on  $T^n$ . It is easy to see that when  $h$  is inner,

$$[h] = hH^2(D^n).$$

Normally  $[h]$  is a proper subspace of  $H^2(D^n)$ . If we let

$$p : H^2(D^n) \longrightarrow [h], \quad q : H^2(D^n) \longrightarrow H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$$

be projections, then one checks that the map  $S : A(D^n) \longrightarrow B(H^2(D^n) \ominus [h])$  defined by

$$S_f g := qfg, \quad f \in A(D^n), \quad g \in H^2(D^n) \ominus [h],$$

is a homomorphism which turns  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  into a quotient  $A(D^n)$ -module. One sees that the operators  $S_{z_1}, S_{z_2}, \dots, S_{z_n}$  are compressions of the Toeplitz operators  $T_{z_1}, T_{z_2}, \dots, T_{z_n}$  onto  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$ . For convenience we denote  $S_{z_j}$  simply by  $S_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

In section 1, we make a study of the the spectra of the operators  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  as well as the joint spectrum of the  $n$ -tuple  $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n)$ . Section 2 is devoted to the study of some functional properties of certain functions in  $H^2(D^n)$ . In section 3, we establish a rigidity phenomenon of quotient modules.

We thank the referee for bringing [CR] to our attention and making other comments on this paper.

1. SPECTRUM

We recall from section 0 that  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  are the compressions of the Toeplitz operators  $T_{z_1}, T_{z_2}, \dots, T_{z_n}$  to the quotient module  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$ . Cowen and Rubel made a study of the spectral properties of the operators  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  and showed a close relation between the *joint* spectrum and the zero set of  $h([CR])$ . In this section we will show that under certain conditions the spectrum of  $S_j$  is exactly the projection of the zero set to the *j*th coordinate.

We proceed by proving the following

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$  and  $h(\lambda, z') \in [H^\infty(S_\lambda^1)]^{-1}$ , then  $\lambda \in \rho(S_1)$ , the resolvent set of  $S_1$ .*

PROOF. Consider the function

$$F(z_1, z') = \frac{1 - h(z_1, z')h^{-1}(\lambda, z')}{z_1 - \lambda}.$$

By the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem[Kr, Thm. 6.4.5], the numerator of  $F$  has  $z_1 - \lambda$  as a factor, and hence  $F$  is a bounded holomorphic function over  $D^n$ . So  $S_F$  is a bounded operator on  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$ .

For every  $f \in H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (S_1 - \lambda)S_F f &= q(z_1 - \lambda)Ff \\ &= q(1 - h(\cdot, \cdot)h^{-1}(\lambda, \cdot))f \\ &= qf - qh(\cdot, \cdot)h^{-1}(\lambda, \cdot)f \\ &= qf = f. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$(S_1 - \lambda)S_F = I.$$

Since  $S_1$  commutes with  $S_F$ , we also have that

$$S_F(S_1 - \lambda) = I$$

i.e.  $\lambda \in \rho(S_1)$ . □

Similar statements are true for the operators  $S_2, \dots, S_n$  with the corresponding assumptions on  $h$ .

In essence, if  $\lambda$  is not the *j*-th coordinate of any of the zeros of  $h$  in  $\overline{D^n}$ , then  $\lambda$  is in the resolvent set of  $S_j$ . It is actually possible to give a complete description of the spectra of these compression operators when  $Z(h)$  satisfies certain conditions, but it is convenient to have a look at their *joint spectrum* first.

Let us first give the definition of the joint spectrum. A good reference for this subject is Chapter III in [Hö].

Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a commutative Banach algebra with unit  $e$ , and

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

is a tuple of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ . We say that  $a$  is *non-singular* if there are elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$  with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = e.$$

The tuple  $a$  is called *singular* if it is not non-singular. The *joint spectrum* of the tuple  $a$  is defined as

$$\sigma(a) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : a - ze \text{ is singular.}\}$$

Here  $a - ze$  denotes the tuple  $(a_1 - z_1, a_2 - z_2, \dots, a_n - z_n)$ .

Now we state two more lemmas which are special cases of results in [CR] with only slightly different proofs.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$  and*

$$\overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n}$$

*is not empty, then the joint spectrum*

$$\sigma(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) \subset \overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n}.$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $h$  is holomorphic in a pseudoconvex neighborhood  $U$  of  $\overline{D^n}$ . Then, for any  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \overline{D^n} \setminus \overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n}$ , we can write  $h$  as

$$h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j - \lambda_j) g_j$$

for some functions  $g_j$  that are also holomorphic in  $U$  [Kr, Thm.7.2.9]. Since

$$h(z)h^{-1}(\lambda) = 1 + h^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j - \lambda_j) g_j,$$

it follows for any  $f \in H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} -h^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^n (S_j - \lambda_j) S_{g_j} f &= -q(h^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j - \lambda_j) g_j) f \\ &= q(1 - h(\cdot)h^{-1}(\lambda))f \\ &= qf = f. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$-h^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^n (S_j - \lambda_j) S_{g_j} = I,$$

and hence  $\lambda \in \rho(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n)$  for any  $\lambda \in \overline{D^n} \setminus \overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n}$ , or equivalently

$$\sigma(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) \subset \overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n}.$$

□

Here we note that  $\overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n}$  not empty doesn't imply that  $[h]$  is proper. For example,  $[z + w + 2]$  is equal to  $H^2(D^2)$  ([Ge]). In Lemma 1.2 we excluded the trivial case  $[h] = H^2(D^2)$ . In case  $Z(h) \cap D^n$  is not empty, we have an inclusion in the other direction.

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$  and  $Z(h) \cap D^n$  is not empty, then*

$$Z(h) \cap D^n \subset \sigma(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n).$$

PROOF. Suppose  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in Z(h) \cap D^n$ . It is easy to see that

$$\overline{\sum_{j=1}^n (S_j - \lambda_j)(H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]) + [h]} \subset \overline{\sum_{j=1}^n [z_j - \lambda_j] + [h]},$$

but  $\lambda$  is a common zero of the functions  $z_1 - \lambda_1, z_2 - \lambda_2, \dots, z_n - \lambda_n$  and  $h$ , so  $\overline{\sum_{j=1}^n [z_j - \lambda_j] + [h]}$  is a proper subset of  $H^2(D^n)$ . This implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (S_j - \lambda_j)(H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]) \neq H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$$

i.e.  $\lambda \in \sigma(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n)$ .

□

Using module resolution and tensor product one can prove the inclusion in this lemma for other submodules. But the statement here is good enough for our purpose.

Combining lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 , we have the following

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$  such that*

$$(*) \quad \overline{Z(h)} \cap \overline{D^n} = \overline{Z(h) \cap D^n},$$

then

$$\sigma(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) = \overline{Z(h) \cap D^n}.$$

For  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  and any  $z \in \overline{D^n}$ , we let

$$\pi_j z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z_j$$

be the projection to the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $z$ . It is well known that,

$$\pi_j \sigma(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) \subset \sigma(S_j).$$

Combining lemma 1.1 and the above theorem, we have

**Theorem 1.5.** *If  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$  that satisfies condition (\*), then for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,*

$$\sigma(S_j) = \pi_j(\overline{Z(h) \cap D^n}).$$

PROOF. It suffices to show that

$$\sigma(S_j) \subset \pi_j(\overline{Z(h) \cap D^n}).$$

In fact, if  $\mu$  is inside the complement of  $\pi_j(\overline{Z(h) \cap D^n})$ , then fixing  $z_j = \mu$ ,  $h$  doesn't vanish on the closure of  $S_\mu^j$ . Lemma 1.1 then concludes that  $\mu \in \rho(S_j)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.5 will be used in section 2 to make a study of the analytic continuation problem.

## 2. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION

In [AC], Ahern and Clark made a study on the analytic continuation of functions in certain quotient Hardy modules. In this section, we are going to use a result from their work and the results obtained in section 1 to study the analytic continuation problem. Again we find that the zero set plays an important role.

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D^n}$  and  $h$  is in  $[H^\infty(S_\lambda^j)]^{-1}$  setting  $z_j = \lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then every function in  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of  $D^{j-1} \times \{\lambda\} \times D^{n-j}$ .*

PROOF. We prove the assertion for  $j = 1$ .

Every function of  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  has the property that

$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \langle f, (I - \overline{\lambda_1}S_1)^{-1}(I - \overline{\lambda_2}S_2)^{-1} \cdots (I - \overline{\lambda_n}S_n)^{-1}q1 \rangle,$$

where  $q$  is the projection from  $H^2(D^n)$  onto  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h][[AC]]$ . Therefore  $f$  extends analytically in the first variable to a neighborhood of  $\lambda_1$  if  $1/\overline{\lambda_1}$  is in the resolvent set of  $S_1$ . If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ , then  $1/\overline{\lambda} = \lambda$  is in the resolvent set of  $S_1$  by Lemma 1.1 and hence the corollary follows. □

In essence, this corollary means that if a  $\lambda \in T$  is not the  $j$ -th coordinate of any of the zeros of  $h$  in  $\overline{D}^n$ , then every function of  $H^2(D^n) \ominus [h]$  has an analytic continuation to a fixed neighborhood of  $D^{j-1} \times \{\lambda\} \times D^{n-j}$ .

**Example :** If  $h(z, w) = z - \mu w$  for some nonzero  $\mu \in D$ , then  $h(\lambda, w)$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}$  for every  $\lambda \in T$  and  $h(\lambda, \cdot)$  is invertible in  $H^\infty(D)$ . Then by the corollary, all the functions of  $H^2(D^2) \ominus [z - \mu w]$  are analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk in the first variable.

When  $n = 1$ , it is well known that every function of  $H^2(D)$ , the Hardy space over the unit disk, has an inner-outer(H) factorization. But that is far from the case even when  $n = 2$  ([Ru, pp 63]). (Here we alert the reader that the notion of outer function considered in [Ru] is not the same as that used here even though they are the same when  $n=1$ . We refer the reader to [Ru] for a detailed discussion.) Equipped with corollary 2.1 and a theorem from [AC], we find a simple way to determine that certain functions have no inner-outer(H) factorization.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose  $h$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}^n$  satisfying the condition (\*) in theorem 1.4 such that*

1.  $Z(h) \cap D^n$  is not a subset of a countable union of slices of  $D^n$ , and
2. there is an integer  $j \leq n$  such that  $\pi_j(\overline{Z(h) \cap D^n})$  doesn't contain the unit circle  $T$ .

*Then  $h$  has no inner-outer(H) factorization.*

We note that condition 1 demands in particular that  $Z(h) \cap D^n$  is not empty. The proof uses the following theorem of P.Ahern and D.Clark[AC].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $M = gH^2(D^n)$  where  $g$  is inner,  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \partial D^n$  with  $|\lambda_j| = 1$ , and there is a neighborhood  $B$  of  $\lambda$  such that every function in  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M$  has an analytic continuation into  $B$ . Then  $g$  is a function of  $z_j$*

alone. In particular , if more than one of the  $\lambda_i$  has modulus 1,  $g$  is a constant and  $M^\perp = 0$ .

We now come to the proof of theorem 2.2.

PROOF. Suppose  $h$  is a function with the properties mentioned in the theorem. If  $h$  has the inner-outer(H) factorization  $GF$ , then

$$Z(h) \cap D^n = Z(G) \cap D^n$$

and  $[h] = GH^2(D^n)$ .

If  $\mu \in T \setminus \pi_j(\overline{Z(h) \cap D^n})$ , then  $\mu \in \rho(S_j)$  from theorem 1.5. Let  $B(\mu) \subset \rho(S_j)$  be a neighborhood of  $\mu$ . Corollary 2.1 shows that each  $f$  of  $H^2(D^n) \ominus GH^2(D^n)$  has an analytic continuation into  $D^{j-1} \times B(\mu) \times D^{n-j}$ . Then the theorem of Ahern and Clark implies that  $G$  depends on  $z_j$  only. Therefore  $Z(h) \cap D^n$  must be a subset of a countable union of slices of  $D^n$  which contradicts the assumption.  $\square$

In view of the results in [ACD], theorem 2.2 enables one to construct many examples of submodules that are not equivalent to  $H^2(D^n)$ .

### 3. QUOTIENT MODULE

Submodules with *thin* zero sets exhibit the so called *rigidity phenomenon* [DY][Pa]. Things are much different when the zero sets are hyper-surfaces. For example, it is well known that  $M$  is equivalent to  $gM$  for any submodule if  $g$  is inner. But the zero sets of  $M$  and  $gM$  can be quite different. In this section, we prove a theorem which shows that for quotient modules this is by far not the case.

Let us first recall some definitions. If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are two  $A(D^n)$  modules, then  $H_1$  is said to be *unitarily equivalent(similar)* to  $H_2$  if there is a unitary(invertible) module map from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ . A bounded module map  $T$  from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  is called *quasi-affine* if it is one to one and has dense range.  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are said to be *quasi-similar* if there are quasi-affine module maps from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  and from  $H_2$  to  $H_1$ . One sees that similarity implies quasi-similarity .

In [DF], the first author and C. Foias have shown that if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are two submodules of  $H^2(D^n)$  then  $H^2(D^n) \ominus H_1$  is unitarily equivalent to  $H^2(D^n) \ominus H_2$  if and only if  $H_1 = H_2$ . In [DC], the first author and Xiaoman Chen were able to prove that if either  $J_1$  or  $J_2$  is principal in  $C[z, w]$ , then  $H^2(\Omega) \ominus [J_1]$  is quasi-similar to  $H^2(\Omega) \ominus [J_2]$  if and only if  $J_1 = J_2$ , where  $\Omega$  can be any bounded domain. They proved the result through a detailed analysis of the zero varieties



of the two ideals. We refer the reader to [FS] and [Wo] for definitions of Hardy spaces over general domains.

Recently we discovered a direct approach which generalize the results in [DC]. This approach was also suggested by Keren Yan in a less general context some years ago. We state our result in the polydisk case.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are submodules of  $H^2(D^n)$  such that there is a quasi-affine module map from  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M_1$  to  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M_2$ , then every bounded function in  $M_1$  is also contained in  $M_2$ .*

PROOF. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : H^2(D^n) &\longrightarrow M_1, & q_1 : H^2(D^n) &\longrightarrow H^2(D^n) \ominus M_1, \\ p_2 : H^2(D^n) &\longrightarrow M_2, & q_2 : H^2(D^n) &\longrightarrow H^2(D^n) \ominus M_2, \end{aligned}$$

are projections and let the operator  $T : H^2(D^n) \ominus M_1 \longrightarrow H^2(D^n) \ominus M_2$  be the quasi-affine module map. Then

$$q_2 f T = T q_1 f, \quad \text{for any } f \in A(D^n).$$

As multiplication operators acting on  $H^2(D^n)$ ,  $H^\infty(D^n)$  is the weak operator closure of  $A(D^n)$ , so the equality

$$q_2 f T = T q_1 f$$

holds for every  $f \in H^\infty(D^n)$ . In particular, for any bounded function  $g \in M_1$ , it follows

$$q_2 g T = T q_1 g = 0,$$

and hence the operator  $q_2 g = 0$  since  $T$  has dense range. If we choose  $q_2 1 \in H^2(D^n) \ominus M_2$ , then

$$0 = q_2 g (q_2 1) = q_2 (g).$$

This shows that  $g \in M_2$ . □

This proposition leads to the following theorem which shows the rigidity phenomenon of quotient Hardy modules.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are submodules both generated by bounded holomorphic functions, then  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M_1$  and  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M_2$  are quasi-similar  $A(D^n)$  modules if and only if  $M_1 = M_2$ .*

PROOF. Sufficiency is obvious.

From the above theorem every bounded function of  $M_1$  also belongs in  $M_2$ . Since  $M_1$  is generated by bounded functions and  $M_2$  is a closed submodule, we have that

$$M_1 \subset M_2.$$

Similarly we also have the inclusion

$$M_2 \subset M_1,$$

and hence

$$M_1 = M_2.$$

□

Here we note that the above theorem in the case of the Hardy space over the unit disk is also implied by the Livsic-Moeller theorem[Ni]. We also point out that the proofs work for other  $A(D^n)$  modules, such as the weighted Hardy modules and even the Bergman modules.

We end this paper by a conjecture suggested by theorem 3.2.

**Conjecture.** *If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are submodules of  $H^2(D^n)$ , then  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M_1$  is similar to  $H^2(D^n) \ominus M_2$  if and only if  $M_1 = M_2$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [AC] P. Ahern and D. Clark, *Invariant Subspaces and Analytic Continuation in Several Variables*, J. of Math. and Mech., vol 19, No. 11(1977), 963-969.
- [ACD] O. Agrawal, D. N. Clark and R. G. Douglas, *Invariant subspaces in the polydisk*, Pac. J. Math. 121 (1986) 1-11.
- [CR] C. C. Cowen and L. A. Rubel, *A joint spectrum for shift invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  of the polydisc*, Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 80A, No.2, 233-243 (1980).
- [DC] R. G. Douglas and X. Chen, *The Rigidity of Quotient Modules on Hardy Module*, preprint.
- [DF] R. G. Douglas and C. Foias, *Uniqueness of multi-variate canonical modules*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 57 (1993), 79-81.
- [DY] R. G. Douglas and K. Yan, *On the rigidity of Hardy submodules*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, Vol 13 No.3 (1990), 350-363.
- [Ge] R. Gelca, *Rings with topologies induced by spaces of functions*, Houston J. Math. 21 (1995), no.2, 395-405.
- [FS] C. Fefferman and E. Stein,  *$H^p$  space of several variables*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [Ho] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, 3rd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.

- [Kr] S. Krantz, *Function theory of several complex variables*, 2nd ed., Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole Advanced Books and Software, Pacific Grove, California, 1992.
- [Pa] V. Paulsen, *Rigidity theorems in spaces of analytic functions*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 51 (1991), Part 1, 347-355.
- [Ni] N.K. Nikol'skii, *Treatise on the shift operator*, A series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics 273, Springer-Verlag 1986.
- [Ru] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydisks*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1969.
- [Wo] R. Wolff, *Spectral Theory on Hardy Spaces in Several Complex Variables*, thesis, Reine Mathematik 1996.

Received December 12, 1997

(Douglas) TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY AT COLLEGE-STATION, TX  
*E-mail address:* `rgd@tamu.edu`

(Yang) TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY AT COLLEGE-STATION, TX AND DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS,  
SUNY AT STONYBROOK, NY  
*E-mail address:* `rwyang@math.tamu.edu`