

ON DOUBLE-DERIVED SETS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

ONDŘEJ F.K. KALENDA

Communicated by Charles Hagopian

ABSTRACT. We characterize topological spaces which have a subset with non-closed double-derived set. As a corollary we obtain that the double-derived set of an arbitrary subset of a T_0 topological space is closed. This answers in the negative a question asked by A. Lelek in Houston Problem Book (1995).

In [1, Problem 174] A. Lelek asked whether there is a T_0 topological space X and a subset $A \subset X$ such that the double-derived set $(A^d)^d$ is not closed. This problem also appeared in the Problem book of the Open Problem Seminar held at Department of Mathematical Analysis at Charles University ([2, Problem 28]). We present here a short negative solution.

Let us first recall some definitions.

Definition 1. A topological space X is called a T_0 space, if for any pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exists an open set containing exactly one of the points x, y .

Definition 2. If X is a topological space and $A \subset X$ an arbitrary subset, then the *derived set* A^d is the set of all $x \in X$ which belong to $\overline{A \setminus \{x\}}$.

Definition 3. A topological space X is called *indiscrete* if \emptyset and X are the only open sets in X .

Let us remark that in any T_1 -space X (X is T_1 , if for any two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there is an open set U such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$) the derived set of an arbitrary set is necessarily closed. For T_0 spaces the first derived set need not be closed. Consider, for example, the set \mathbf{R} of real numbers with the topology generated by $\{(-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Then the derived set of $A = \{0\}$ is $A^d = (0, \infty)$ which is not closed.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D10.

Key words and phrases. T_0 topological space, derived set, closed set, indiscrete space.

Supported by Research grant MSM 113200007.

On the other hand, if X is the two-point indiscrete space and A a one-point subset of X , then clearly none of the iterated derived sets of A is closed. In view of these remarks, the question of A.Lelek seems to be natural. The answer is a consequence of the following theorem which characterizes topological spaces in which there is a subset with non-closed double derived set.

Theorem. *Let X be topological space. The following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *There is a subset $A \subset X$ such that the double-derived set $(A^d)^d$ is not closed in X .*
2. *There is a subset $A \subset X$ such that none of the iterated derived sets A^d , $(A^d)^d$, $((A^d)^d)^d$, ... is closed.*
3. *X contains a copy of two-point indiscrete space, as a subset of the form $F \cap G$ with F closed and G open in X .*

As a corollary we get the answer to the mentioned question of A.Lelek.

Corollary. *Let X be a T_0 topological space and $A \subset X$ an arbitrary subset. Then the double derived set $(A^d)^d$ is closed in X .*

PROOF OF THEOREM. $3 \Rightarrow 2$ Let $\{a, b\} = F \cap G$ be a copy of two-point indiscrete space with F closed and G open in X . Put $A = \{a\}$. Then it is clear that in the sequence $A^d \cap G$, $(A^d)^d \cap G$, $((A^d)^d)^d \cap G$, ... the odd members are equal to $\{b\}$, and the even ones to $\{a\}$. Hence none of the iterated derived sets is closed in X .

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ This is trivial.

$1 \Rightarrow 3$ Suppose that $(A^d)^d$ is not closed. Pick a point $a \in \overline{(A^d)^d} \setminus (A^d)^d$. As $a \notin (A^d)^d$, there is an open set U containing a such that

$$(1) \quad U \cap A^d \subset \{a\}$$

Since a belongs to the closure of $(A^d)^d$, there is a point $b \in U \cap (A^d)^d$. Clearly $b \neq a$. As U is a neighborhood of b and $b \in (A^d)^d$, we obtain that $U \cap A^d \neq \emptyset$. By (1) we get

$$(2) \quad a \in A^d$$

Now suppose that $b \in U \cap (A^d)^d$ is arbitrary. If there is an open set V containing b and not containing a , then $U \cap V \cap A^d \neq \emptyset$, as $U \cap V$ is a neighborhood of b . But it follows that $(U \cap A^d) \setminus \{a\} \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts (1). Hence we get

$$(3) \quad (\forall b \in U \cap (A^d)^d)(\forall V \ni b, V \text{ open})(a \in V)$$

As $b \notin A^d$ (by (1)), we obtain the following.

$$(4) \quad (\forall b \in U \cap (A^d)^d)(\exists V_b \text{ open})(b \in V_b \ \& \ V_b \cap A \subset \{b\})$$

Due to (3), the set V_b is a neighborhood of a , and hence, by (2), $V_b \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by (4) we deduce

$$(5) \quad U \cap (A^d)^d \subset A$$

If there are two distinct points $b, c \in (A^d)^d$, then $V_b \cap V_c$ is a neighborhood of a (by (3)), and hence there is some $d \in A \cap V_b \cap V_c$, due to (2). But it follows from the choice of V_b and V_c (cf. (4)) that $d = b = c$, a contradiction. Therefore

$$(6) \quad \text{there is exactly one } b \in (A^d)^d \cap U$$

If there is an open set W such that $a \in W$ and $b \notin W$, then $W \cap U$ is a neighborhood of a , and hence there is some $c \in (A^d)^d \cap U \cap W$. This c is necessarily different from b , which contradicts (6). So such a W does not exist. Together with (3) this yields that

$$(7) \quad \{a, b\} \text{ is a copy of the two-point indiscrete space.}$$

It remains to prove that the set $\{a, b\}$ is of the form $F \cap G$ with F closed and G open in X . Put $G = U \cap V_b$ and $F = \overline{\{a, b\}}$. Then clearly $\{a, b\} \subset F \cap G$. Let us prove the inverse inclusion. Let $x \in (F \cap G) \setminus \{a, b\}$. By (1) we have $x \notin A^d$, and so there is an open set W containing x such that $A \cap W \subset \{x\}$, in particular $b \notin W$ by (5). It follows from (7) that $a \notin W$ as well, hence $W \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset$. In particular, $x \notin F$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. COOK, W. T. INGRAM AND A. LELEK, *A list of problems known as Houston problem book*, Collection: Continua (Cincinnati, OH, 1994), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 170, Dekker, New York, 1995, 365–398.
- [2] P. PYRIH, *Problem Book of the Open Problem Seminar*, Charles University, Prague, 1999.

Received December 8, 1999

Revised version received March 3, 2000

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: kalenda@karlin.mff.cuni.cz