

# Math 4377/6308 Advanced Linear Algebra

## Chapter 1 Review and Solution to Problems

**Jiwen He**

Department of Mathematics, University of Houston

`jiwenhe@math.uh.edu`  
`math.uh.edu/~jiwenhe/math4377`



## Every vector space has a unique additive identity.

*Proof.* Suppose there are two additive identities  $0$  and  $0'$ . Then

$$0' = 0 + 0' = 0,$$

where the first equality holds since  $0$  is an identity and the second equality holds since  $0'$  is an identity. Hence  $0 = 0'$ , proving that the additive identity is unique.  $\square$



Every  $v \in V$  has a unique additive inverse.

*Proof.* Suppose  $w$  and  $w'$  are additive inverses of  $v$  so that  $v + w = 0$  and  $v + w' = 0$ . Then

$$w = w + 0 = w + (v + w') = (w + v) + w' = 0 + w' = w'.$$

Hence  $w = w'$ , as desired. □



$0v = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

*Proof.* For  $v \in V$ , we have by distributivity that

$$0v = (0 + 0)v = 0v + 0v.$$

Adding the additive inverse of  $0v$  to both sides, we obtain

$$0 = 0v - 0v = (0v + 0v) - 0v = 0v.$$

□



$a0 = 0$  for all  $a \in F$ .

$$a0 = a(0 + 0) = a0 + a0.$$

Adding the additive inverse of  $a0$  to both sides, we obtain  $0 = a0$ , as desired.  $\square$



$$(-1)v = -v \text{ for every } v \in V.$$

*Proof.* For  $v \in V$ , we have

$$v + (-1)v = 1v + (-1)v = (1 + (-1))v = 0v = 0,$$

which shows that  $(-1)v$  is the additive inverse  $-v$  of  $v$ . □



The list of vectors  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly independent if and only if every  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  can be uniquely written as a linear combination of  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .

(“ $\implies$ ”) Assume that  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is a linearly independent list of vectors. Suppose there are two ways of writing  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  as a linear combination of the  $v_i$ :

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m,$$

$$v = a'_1v_1 + \dots + a'_mv_m.$$

Subtracting the two equations yields  $0 = (a_1 - a'_1)v_1 + \dots + (a_m - a'_m)v_m$ . Since  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly independent, the only solution to this equation is  $a_1 - a'_1 = 0, \dots, a_m - a'_m = 0$ , or equivalently  $a_1 = a'_1, \dots, a_m = a'_m$ .

(“ $\impliedby$ ”) Now assume that, for every  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , there are unique  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m.$$

This implies, in particular, that the only way the zero vector  $v = 0$  can be written as a linear combination of  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is with  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$ . This shows that  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  are linearly independent.  $\square$



## Linear Dependence Lemma

If  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly dependent and  $v_1 \neq 0$ , then there exists  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$  such that the following two conditions hold.

1.  $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$ .
2. If  $v_j$  is removed from  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , then  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly dependent there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  not all zero such that  $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$ . Since by assumption  $v_1 \neq 0$ , not all of  $a_2, \dots, a_m$  can be zero. Let  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$  be largest such that  $a_j \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$v_j = -\frac{a_1}{a_j} v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j} v_{j-1}, \quad (5.1)$$

which implies Part 1.

Let  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . This means, by definition, that there exist scalars  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_m v_m.$$

The vector  $v_j$  that we determined in Part 1 can be replaced by Equation (5.1) so that  $v$  is written as a linear combination of  $(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_m)$ . Hence,  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .  $\square$





Let  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  be a linearly independent list of vectors that spans  $V$ , and let  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be any list that spans  $V$ . Then  $m \leq n$ .

*Proof.* The proof uses the following iterative procedure: start with an arbitrary list of vectors  $\mathcal{S}_0 = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  such that  $V = \text{span}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ . At the  $k^{\text{th}}$  step of the procedure, we construct a new list  $\mathcal{S}_k$  by replacing some vector  $w_{j_k}$  by the vector  $v_k$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_k$  still spans  $V$ . Repeating this for all  $v_k$  then produces a new list  $\mathcal{S}_m$  of length  $n$  that contains each of  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ , which then proves that  $m \leq n$ . Let us now discuss each step in this procedure in detail.

**Step 1.** Since  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  spans  $V$ , adding a new vector to the list makes the new list linearly dependent. Hence  $(v_1, w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is linearly dependent. By Lemma [5.2.7](#) there exists an index  $j_1$  such that

$$w_{j_1} \in \text{span}(v_1, w_1, \dots, w_{j_1-1}).$$

Hence  $\mathcal{S}_1 = (v_1, w_1, \dots, \hat{w}_{j_1}, \dots, w_n)$  spans  $V$ . In this step, we added the vector  $v_1$  and removed the vector  $w_{j_1}$  from  $\mathcal{S}_0$ .



**Step  $k$ .** Suppose that we already added  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  to our spanning list and removed the vectors  $w_{j_1}, \dots, w_{j_{k-1}}$  in return. Call this list  $\mathcal{S}_{k-1}$ , and note that  $V = \text{span}(\mathcal{S}_{k-1})$ . Add the vector  $v_k$  to  $\mathcal{S}_{k-1}$ . By the same arguments as before, adjoining the extra vector  $v_k$  to the spanning list  $\mathcal{S}_{k-1}$  yields a list of linearly dependent vectors. Hence, by Lemma 5.2.7, there exists an index  $j_k$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_{k-1}$  with  $v_k$  added and  $w_{j_k}$  removed still spans  $V$ . The fact that  $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is linearly independent ensures that the vector removed is indeed among the  $w_j$ . Call the new list  $\mathcal{S}_k$ , and note that  $V = \text{span}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ .

The final list  $\mathcal{S}_m$  is  $\mathcal{S}_0$  but with each  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  added and each  $w_{j_1}, \dots, w_{j_m}$  removed. Moreover, note that  $\mathcal{S}_m$  has length  $n$  and still spans  $V$ . It follows that  $m \leq n$ .  $\square$



## Basis Reduction Theorem

If  $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , then either  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is a basis of  $V$  or some  $v_i$  can be removed to obtain a basis of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . We start with the list  $\mathcal{S} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$  and iteratively run through all vectors  $v_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  to determine whether to keep or remove them from  $\mathcal{S}$ :

**Step 1.** If  $v_1 = 0$ , then remove  $v_1$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ . Otherwise, leave  $\mathcal{S}$  unchanged.

**Step  $k$ .** If  $v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$ , then remove  $v_k$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ . Otherwise, leave  $\mathcal{S}$  unchanged.

The final list  $\mathcal{S}$  still spans  $V$  since, at each step, a vector was only discarded if it was already in the span of the previous vectors. The process also ensures that no vector is in the span of the previous vectors. Hence, by the Linear Dependence Lemma [5.2.7](#) the final list  $\mathcal{S}$  is linearly independent. It follows that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a basis of  $V$ .  $\square$



## Basis Extension Theorem

Every linearly independent list of vectors in a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  can be extended to a basis of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and that  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly independent. Since  $V$  is finite-dimensional, there exists a list  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  of vectors that spans  $V$ . We wish to adjoin some of the  $w_k$  to  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  in order to create a basis of  $V$ .

**Step 1.** If  $w_1 \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , then let  $\mathcal{S} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{S} = (v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1)$ .

**Step  $k$ .** If  $w_k \in \text{span}(\mathcal{S})$ , then leave  $\mathcal{S}$  unchanged. Otherwise, adjoin  $w_k$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

After each step, the list  $\mathcal{S}$  is still linearly independent since we only adjoined  $w_k$  if  $w_k$  was not in the span of the previous vectors. After  $n$  steps,  $w_k \in \text{span}(\mathcal{S})$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  was a spanning list,  $\mathcal{S}$  spans  $V$  so that  $\mathcal{S}$  is indeed a basis of  $V$ .  $\square$



## Pb 1.2.16

Let  $V$  denote the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices with real entries; so  $V$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  by Example 2. Let  $F$  be the field of rational numbers. Is  $V$  a vector space over  $F$  with the usual definitions of matrix addition and scalar multiplication?

- The sum of two matrices with real entries is a matrix with real entries
- The product of a matrix with real entries by a rational number is a matrix with real entries
- (VS 1-4) are independent of the choice of field, so they still hold when the field is  $Q$
- (VS 5):  $1 \in Q$  and for a matrix  $M \in V$ ,  $1M = M$
- (VS 6-8) hold because  $Q \subset R$ , so elements in  $Q$  share all additive and multiplicative properties of elements in  $R$

Thus  $V$  is a vector space.



## Pb 1.3.23

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of a vector space  $V$ .

- a. Prove that  $W_1 + W_2$  is a subspace of  $V$  that contains both  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ .
  - b. Prove that any subspace of  $V$  that contains both  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  must also contain  $W_1 + W_2$ .
- (a)  $W_1 + W_2$  is a subspace of  $W$ : Closed under vector addition, because if  $u, v \in W_1 + W_2$ , then there exist  $u_1, v_1 \in W_1$  and  $u_2, v_2 \in W_2$  such that  $u = u_1 + u_2$  and  $v = v_1 + v_2$ , and then  $u + v = u_1 + u_2 + v_1 + v_2 = (u_1 + v_1) + (u_2 + v_2) \in W_1 + W_2$ . For scalar multiplication,  $au = a(u_1 + u_2) = au_1 + au_2 \in W_1 + W_2$ . Finally,  $W_1 + W_2$  contains  $0$  since both  $W_1, W_2$  are subspaces and therefore contain  $0$ .
- $W_1 + W_2$  contains both  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ : Every vector in  $W_1 + W_2$  has the form  $x + y$  with  $x \in W_1, y \in W_2$ . Set  $y = 0$  to obtain all vectors in  $W_1$  and  $x = 0$  to obtain all vectors in  $W_2$ . That is, any vector  $x \in W_1$  or  $y \in W_2$  is also present in  $W_1 + W_2$ .
- (b) A subspace  $W$  of  $V$  that contains both  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  must also contain all vectors of the form  $x + y$  with  $x \in W_1, y \in W_2$ , since it is closed under addition. Therefore it contains  $W_1 + W_2$ .



## Pb 1.4.12

Show that a subset  $W$  of a vector space  $V$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if  $\text{span}(W) = W$ .

If  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$ , then it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication and contains all vectors of the form  $v = a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_nu_n$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in W$ . But  $\text{span}(W)$  consists of linear combinations of vectors in  $W$ , so  $\text{span}(W) \subset W$ . Moreover, clearly each vector in  $W$  is also in  $\text{span}(W)$ , so  $W \subset \text{span}(W)$ . Therefore  $\text{span}(W) = W$ .

If  $\text{span}(W) = W$ , then all linear combinations  $v = a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_nu_n$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in W$  are in  $W$ . Hence  $W$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, and it contains  $0$ . Therefore  $W$  is a vector space.



## Pb 1.5.18

Let  $S$  be a set of nonzero polynomials in  $P(F)$  such that no two have the same degree. Prove that  $S$  is linearly independent.

We argue by contradiction: Suppose that  $S$  is linearly dependent. Then there exist a finite number of distinct polynomials  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  in  $S$  and scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , not all zero, such that

$$a_1p_1 + a_2p_2 + \cdots + a_np_n = 0.$$

Consider the polynomial  $p_j$  of highest degree such that  $a_j \neq 0$ . If its degree is  $k$ , then there is a non-vanishing  $x^k$  term in the left-hand side, since each other polynomial  $p_i$  with nonzero coefficient  $a_i$  has degree less than  $k$ . But the left-hand side has to equal the zero polynomial, a contradiction. Thus  $S$  is linearly independent.





## Pb 1.6.14

Find base for the following subspaces of  $F^5$ :

$$W_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \in F^5 \mid a_1 - a_3 - a_4 = 0\}$$

and

$$W_2 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \in F^5 \mid a_2 = a_3 = a_4 \text{ and } a_1 + a_5 = 0\}.$$

A basis for  $W_1$  is  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} = \{(1, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)\}$ , and the dimension of  $W_1$  is 4. The vectors are clearly a subset of  $W_1$ , linearly independent, and they span  $W_1$  since any vector  $x \in W_1$  can be written  $x = (a+b, c, a, b, d) = av_1 + bv_2 + cv_3 + dv_4$ .

A basis for  $W_2$  is  $\{v_1, v_2\} = \{(0, 1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, -1)\}$ , and the dimension of  $W_2$  is 2. The vectors are clearly a subset of  $W_2$ , linearly independent, and they span  $W_2$  since any vector  $x \in W_2$  can be written  $x = (b, a, a, a, -b) = av_1 + bv_2$ .



## Pb 1.6.33(a)

- a. Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of a vector space  $V$  such that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . If  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are bases for  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , respectively, show that  $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$  is a basis for  $V$ .

(a) Since  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , we have  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ . Let  $\beta_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  and  $\beta_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . Note that the basis vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in W_1$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W_2$  are all nonzero, since they are linearly independent. Thus  $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$ .

Next, consider  $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . The vectors of  $\beta$  are linearly independent, since if  $(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) + (b_1w_1 + \dots + b_mw_m) = 0$ , the first vector is in  $W_1$  and the second in  $W_2$  so  $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = b_1w_1 + \dots + b_mw_m = 0$ . Since  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  are bases, this gives  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$  and  $b_1 = \dots = b_m = 0$ . The vectors of  $\beta$  also span  $V$ , since  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$  means that each vector  $v \in V$  can be written as  $v = x + y$  with  $x \in W_1$  and  $y \in W_2$ . But  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  are bases for  $W_1, W_2$ , so  $v = x + y = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n + b_1w_1 + \dots + b_mw_m$  for some coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ . Thus  $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$  is a basis for  $V$ .



## Pb 1.6.33(b)

b. Conversely, Let  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  be disjoint bases for subspaces  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , respectively, of a vector space  $V$ . Prove that if  $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$  is a basis for  $V$ , then  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

(b) Let  $\beta_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  and  $\beta_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . Since  $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$  is a basis for  $V$ , each vector  $v \in V$  can be written  $v = (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) + (b_1w_1 + \dots + b_nw_n) = x + y$ , where  $x \in W_1$  and  $y \in W_2$ . Also, a vector  $v \in W_1 \cap W_2$  can be written in the two ways  $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = b_1w_1 + \dots + b_nw_n$ , but this means  $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + (-b_1)w_1 + \dots + (-b_n)w_n = 0$  and since  $\beta$  is a basis, we have  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$  and  $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$ . Therefore,  $v = 0$  so  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ , and  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

