Linearity And Second Fundamental Forms For Proper Holomorphic Maps From \mathbb{B}^{n+1} to \mathbb{B}^{4n-3}

Xiaoliang Cheng and Shanyu Ji

March 1, 2011

1 Introduction

In CR geometry, by spherical CR manifold, we mean a (2n+1)-dimension CR manifold Mthat is locally CR equivalent to a piece of the sphere $\partial \mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . In general, the universal covering space of a spherical CR manifold may not be $\partial \mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ and the fundamental group of M may not be finite. For example, Burns-Schnider [BS76] constructed a compact real analytic CR spherical submanifold of dimension 3 in \mathbb{C}^3 with fundamental group of infinite order. However, it is proved by Huang ([H06], corollary 3.3) that any 2n + 1-dimensional compact (Nash) algebraic spherical CR submanifold of \mathbb{C}^m , with $n \ge 1$, is CR equivalent to $\partial \mathbb{B}^{n+1}/\Gamma$ where $\Gamma \subset Aut(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$ is a finite unitary group with the only free points at 0 and $Aut(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$ is the group of biholomorphisms of \mathbb{B}^{n+1} . This implies that if $M \subset \partial \mathbb{B}^{N+1}$ is a compact spherical CR submanifold of dimension 2n + 1, by the argument in [H06], theorem 3.1, M is Nash algebraic if and only if $M = F(\partial \mathbb{B}^{n+1})$ where $F : \mathbb{B}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{B}^{N+1}$ is a proper rational holomorphic map. By Klein's Erlanger program, we should study such submanifolds $M \subset \partial \mathbb{B}^{N+1}$ and the invariant properties under the transitive action of the automorphism group $Aut(\partial \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ where $Aut(\partial \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ is the group of CR automorphisms. Elements in both $Aut(\mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ and $Aut(\partial \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ are linear fractional.

Let us denote by $Prop(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ the space of all proper holomorphic maps from the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ to \mathbb{B}^{N+1} , and denote by $Prop_k(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ the space $Prop(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1}) \cap C^k(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$. Write $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(w) > |z|^2\}$ for the Siegel upper-half space. Similarly, we can define the space $Prop(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ and $Prop_k(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. By the Cayley transformation $\rho_{n+1} : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{B}^{n+1}$, $\rho_{n+1}(z, w) = (\frac{2z}{1-iw}, \frac{1+iw}{1-iw})$, we can identify

a map $F \in Prop_k(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ with $\rho_{N+1}^{-1} \circ F \circ \rho_{n+1}$ in the space $Prop_k(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. For any map $F \in Prop_2(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$, the restriction $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \to \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ is a C^2 -smooth CR map.

For $F \in Prop_2(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$, we denote $M = F(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ which is an immersed C^2 -smooth CR submanifold. It is known that the following statements are equivalent:

- F is linear fractional.
- The geometric rank of F is zero (cf. [H03], and [HJ01], proposition 2.2).
- The CR second fundamental form $II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$ (cf. [JY10]. Although the smoothness condition was required there, by checking the proof, C^2 smoothness is sufficient. For the definition of II_M^{CR} , also see (33) below).

 II_M^{CR} was defined by Cartan's moving frame theory. Again by Cartan's moving frame theory, another second fundamental form II_M can be naturally defined (see the definition in (31) below). We observe that F is linear fractional if and only if $II_M \equiv 0$ (see Corollary 5.2 below).

In this paper, we want to prove the following criterion for linearity.

Theorem 1.1 Let $F \in Prop_3(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with $4 \leq n+1 < N+1 \leq 4n-3$. Then F is linear fractional if and only if

$$II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0. \tag{1}$$

Roughly speaking, by the decomposition $TM = T^{1,0}M \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$ in (6), we obtain the decomposition $II_M = II_M^{CR} \oplus (II_M - II_M^{CR})$. While $II_M \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$, the above shows that it is also equivalent to $II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$. For the definition of $II_M - II_M^{CR}$, see (35). By the condition that $N + 1 \leq 4n - 3$ together with the inequality $N \geq n + \frac{(2n+1-\kappa_0)\kappa_0}{2}$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (i)), it implies the geometric rank κ_0 of F satisfies $\kappa_0 \leq 2$. The condition that $4 \leq n+1$ is used to ensure the inequality $\kappa_0 \leq n-1$ holds, which allows us to apply the semi-linearity property (cf. [H03]). The conditions $N + 1 \leq 4n - 3$ and $F \in Prop_3(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ also imply that F is a rational map ([HJX05], corollary 1.3) so that we indeed deal with real analytic CR manifolds and CR maps in this paper.

The condition $II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$ indeed means (see (73) below):

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_{jl,p}^{***}}{\partial z_k \partial w}|_0 = 0, \quad \forall (j,l) \in \mathcal{S}, \quad 1 \le k \le \kappa_0, \ \forall p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

As an explicit example, we would like to mention a map $F \in Rat(\mathbb{H}^4, \mathbb{H}^9)$ in ([JX04], theorem 6.1) which is not linear, and does not satisfy (2).

The authors conjecture that the condition " $N + 1 \leq 4n - 3$ " in Theorem 1.1 can be dropped.

2 Preliminaries

On CR mappings between Heisenberg hyperplanes We say that F and $G \in Prop(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ are *equivalent* if there are automorphisms $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$ and $\tau \in Aut(\mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ such that $F = \tau \circ G \circ \sigma$. We say that F and $G \in Prop(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ are *equivalent* if there are automorphisms $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ and $\tau \in Aut(\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ such that $F = \tau \circ G \circ \sigma$.

We denote by $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1} = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(w) = |z|^2\}$ the Heisenberg hypersurface. For any map $F \in \operatorname{Prop}_2(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$, by restricting to $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, we can regard F as a C^2 CR map from $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ to $\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$, and we denote it as $F \in CR_2(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. We say that F and $G \in CR_2(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ are equivalent if there are automorphisms $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ such that $F = \tau \circ G \circ \sigma$.

We can parametrize $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ by (z, \overline{z}, u) through the map $(z, \overline{z}, u) \to (z, u+i|z|^2)$. In what follows, we will assign the weight of z and u to be 1 and 2, respectively. For a nonnegative integer m, a function $h(z, \overline{z}, u)$ defined over a small ball U of 0 in $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ is said to be of quantity $o_{wt}(m)$ if $\frac{h(tz, t\overline{z}, t^2u)}{|t|^m} \to 0$ uniformly for (z, u) on any compact subset of U as $t(\in \mathbb{R}) \to 0$.

Let $F = (f, \phi, g) = (\tilde{f}, g) = (f_1, \dots, f_n, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{N-n}, g) \in CR_2(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with F(0) = 0. For each $p = (z_0, w_0) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, we write $\sigma_p^0 \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ with $\sigma_p^0(0) = p$ and $\tau_p^F \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with $\tau_p^F(F(p)) = 0$ for the maps

$$\sigma_p^0(z,w) = (z + z_0, w + w_0 + 2i\langle z, \overline{z_0} \rangle), \tag{3}$$

$$\tau_p^F(z^*, w^*) = (z^* - \tilde{f}(z_0, w_0), w^* - \overline{g(z_0, w_0)} - 2i\langle z^*, \overline{\tilde{f}(z_0, w_0)} \rangle).$$
(4)

For each $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, there is an automorphism $\tau_p^{**} \in Aut_0(\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ such that (cf, [HJ01], lemma 2.1) $F_p^{**} := \tau_p^{**} \circ F_p = (f_p^{**}, \phi_p^{**}, g_p^{**})$ satisfies

$$f_p^{**} = z + \frac{i}{2}e_p^{(1)}(z)w + o_{wt}(3), \ \phi_p^{**} = \phi_p^{(2)}(z) + o_{wt}(2), \ g_p^{**} = w + o_{wt}(4)$$

with $\langle \overline{z}, e_p^{(1)}(z) \rangle |z|^2 = |\phi_p^{(2)}(z)|^2$ where we denote by $h^{(j)}(z)$ a certain weighted holomorphic homogeneous polynomial with weighted degree j.

Let $\mathcal{A}(p) = -2i(\frac{\partial^2(f_p)_l^{**}}{\partial z_j \partial w}|_0)_{1 \leq j,l \leq n}$. We call the rank of $\mathcal{A}(p)$, which we denote by $Rk_F(p)$, the geometric rank of F at p. $Rk_F(p)$ depends only on p and F, and is a lower semi-continuous function on p. We define the geometric rank of F to be $\kappa_0(F) = max_{p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}} Rk_F(p)$. Notice that we always have $0 \leq \kappa_0 \leq n$. We define the geometric rank of $F \in \operatorname{Prop}_2(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ to be the one for the map $\rho_N^{-1} \circ F \circ \rho_n \in \operatorname{Prop}_2(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. **Lemma 2.1** ([H03], Lemma 3.2 and 3.3) (i) Let F be a C^2 -smooth CR map from an open piece $M \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ into $\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ with F(0) = 0 and $Rk_F(0) = \kappa_0$. Let $P(n, \kappa_0) = \frac{\kappa_0(2n-\kappa_0+1)}{2}$. Then $N \ge n+1+P(n,\kappa_0)$ and there are $\sigma \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ and $\tau \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ such that $F_p^{***} = \tau \circ F \circ \sigma := (f, \phi, g)$ satisfies the following normalization conditions:

$$\begin{cases} f_{j} = z_{j} + \frac{i\mu_{j}}{2} z_{j} w + o_{wt}(3), & \frac{\partial^{2} f_{j}}{\partial w^{2}}(0) = 0, \ j = 1 \cdots, \kappa_{0}, \ \mu_{j} > 0, \\ f_{j} = z_{j} + o_{wt}(3), & j = \kappa_{0} + 1, \cdots, n \\ \phi_{jl} = \mu_{jl} z_{j} z_{l} + o_{wt}(2), & with \ (j,l) \in \mathcal{S}, \\ g = w + o_{wt}(4), \end{cases}$$
(5)

where $\mu_{jl} > 0$ for $(j,l) \in S_0$, and $\mu_{jl} = 0$ otherwise. More precisely, $\mu_{jl} = \sqrt{\mu_j + \mu_l}$ for $j,l \leq \kappa_0 \ j \neq l$, $\mu_{jl} = \sqrt{\mu_j}$ if $j \leq \kappa_0$ and $l > \kappa_0$ or if $j = l \leq \kappa_0$.

(ii) If, in addition, $F \in Prop_3(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{B}^{N+1})$ with $0 < \kappa_0 < n$, then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_{jl}}{\partial z_k \partial w}|_0 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{jl}}{\partial w^2}|_0 = 0, \quad \forall (j,l) \in \mathcal{S}, \ k > \kappa_0$$

On CR submanifolds Let M be a smooth strictly pseudoconvex (2n+1)-dimensional CR manifold. We denote by $HM \subset TM$ its maximal complex tangent bundle with the complex structure $J : HM \to HM$. Suppose that M is of hypersurface type, i.e., $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} HM = 2n$. Consider the natural extension of J on $HM \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The eigenvalues of J in $HM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is $\pm i$. We denote by $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M$ the eigenspaces of J and have the decomposition $HM \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M$. All HM, $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M$ are complex vector bundles over M of rank n. There is a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism: $HM \to T^{1,0}M$, $v \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(v - iJ(v))$.

Let H^0M be the annihilator bundle of HM which is a rank one subbundle. It is known that there exist a real globally defined nowhere zero 1-form $\theta \in \Gamma(M, H^0M)$ such that $Ker(\theta) = HM$. If M is locally defined by a defining function r, then we can take $\theta = i\partial r$. The Levi-form L_{θ} with respect to θ is defined by $L_{\theta}(X, Y) := -id\theta(X \wedge J(Y)) = i\theta([X, JY]),$ $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(M, HM)$. By $HM \simeq T^{1,0}M$, we have

$$L_{\theta}(u,v) := -id\theta(u \wedge \overline{v}) = i\theta([u,\overline{v}]), \quad \forall u,v \in T_p^{1,0}(M), \ \forall p \in M.$$

Recall that (M, θ) is strictly pseudoconvex if the Levi-form L_{θ} is positive definite for all $z \in M$. Such real non-vanishing 1-form θ over M is a contact form because it satisfies: $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \neq 0$. Associated with a contact form θ , there is a unique Reeb vector field ξ , defined by the equations: (i) $\theta(\xi) \equiv 1$, (ii) $d\theta(\xi, X) \equiv 0$ for any smooth vector field X over M. We have orthogonal decomposition $TM \simeq HM \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$, or by $HM \simeq T^{1,0}M$, we have

$$TM \simeq T^{1,0}M \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi.$$
(6)

Here $g_{\theta}|_{HM} = L_{\theta}$ and $g_{\theta}(\xi, \xi) = 1$ defines the Webster metric associated to θ .

3 Cartan's moving frame theory

Q-frames We consider the real hypersurface Q in \mathbb{C}^{N+2} defined by the homogeneous equation

$$\langle Z, Z \rangle := \sum_{A} Z^{A} \overline{Z^{A}} + \frac{i}{2} (Z^{N+1} \overline{Z^{0}} - Z^{0} \overline{Z^{N+1}}) = 0,$$
(7)

where $Z = (Z^0, Z^A, Z^{N+1})^t \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$. This can be extended to the scalar product

$$\langle Z, Z' \rangle := \sum_{A} Z^{A} \overline{Z'^{A}} + \frac{i}{2} (Z^{N+1} \overline{Z'^{0}} - Z^{0} \overline{Z'^{N+1}}), \tag{8}$$

for any $Z = (Z^0, Z^A, Z^{N+1})^t$, $Z' = (Z'^0, Z'^A, Z'^{N+1})^t \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$. This product has the properties: $\langle Z, Z' \rangle$ is linear in Z and anti-linear in Z'; $\overline{\langle Z, Z' \rangle} = \langle Z', Z \rangle$; and Q is defined by $\langle Z, Z \rangle = 0$.

Let SU(N+1, 1) be the group of unimodular linear homogeneous transformations of \mathbb{C}^{N+2} that leave the form $\langle Z, Z \rangle$ invariant (cf. [CM74]). By a unimodular of linear homogeneous transformation, in terms of a matrix A, we mean det(A) = 1.

By a *Q*-frame is meant an element $E = (E_0, E_A, E_{N+1}) \in GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ satisfying (cf. [CM74, (1.10)])

$$\begin{cases} det(E) = 1, \\ \langle E_A, E_B \rangle = \delta_{AB}, \ \langle E_0, E_{N+1} \rangle = -\langle E_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}, \end{cases}$$
(9)

while all other products are zero.

There is exactly one transformation of SU(N + 1, 1) which maps a given Q-frame into another. By fixing one Q-frame as reference, the group SU(N + 1, 1) can be identified with the space of all Q-frames. Then $SU(N + 1, 1) \subset GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ is a subgroup with the composition operation.

The Q-frame bundle over \mathbb{CP}^{N+1} Consider an element $A \in GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$:

$$A = (a_0, \dots, a_{N+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0^{(0)} & a_1^{(0)} & \dots & a_{N+1}^{(0)} \\ a_0^{(1)} & a_1^{(1)} & \dots & a_{N+1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_0^{(N+1)} & a_1^{(N+1)} & \dots & a_{N+1}^{(N+1)} \end{bmatrix},$$
(10)

where each a_j is a column vector in \mathbb{C}^{N+2} , $0 \leq j \leq N+1$. This A is associated to an

automorphism $A^{\star} \in Aut(\mathbb{CP}^{N+1})$ given by

$$A^{\star}\left(\left[z_{0}:z_{1}:\ldots:z_{N+1}\right]\right) = \left[A\begin{pmatrix}z_{0}\\\vdots\\z_{N+1}\end{pmatrix}\right] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}a_{j}^{(0)}z_{j}:\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}a_{j}^{(1)}z_{j}:\ldots:\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}a_{j}^{(N+1)}z_{j}\right].$$
(11)

When $a_0^{(0)} \neq 0$, in terms of the non-homogeneous coordinates $(w_1, ..., w_{N+1})$, A^* is a linear fractional from \mathbb{C}^{N+1} which is holomorphic near (0, ..., 0):

$$A^{\star}(w_1, ..., w_{N+1}) = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(1)} w_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(0)} w_j}, ..., \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(N+1)} w_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(0)} w_j}\right), \quad where \ w_j = \frac{z_j}{z_0}.$$
 (12)

We define a bundle map:

$$\pi: \qquad GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2}) \quad \to \quad \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}$$
$$A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N+1}) \quad \mapsto \quad \pi_0(a_0)$$

where

$$\pi_0 : \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}, \quad (z_0, \dots, z_{N+1}) \mapsto [z_0 : \dots : z_{N+1}], \tag{13}$$

be the standard projection. By taking restriction, we have the projection

$$\pi: SU(N+1,1) \to \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}, \ (Z_0, Z_A, Z_{N+1}) \mapsto span(Z_0).$$
(14)

which is called a *Q*-frames bundle. We get $\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1} \simeq SU(N+1,1)/P_2$ where P_2 is the isotropy subgroup of SU(N+1,1). SU(N+1,1) acts on $\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ effectively.

The Maurer-Cartan form over SU(N+1,1) Consider $E = (E_0, E_A, E_{N+1}) \in SU(N+1,1)$ as a local lift. Then the *Maurer-Cartan form* Θ on SU(N+1,1) is defined by $dE = (dE_0, dE_A, dE_{N+1}) = E\Theta$, or $\Theta = E^{-1} \cdot dE$, i.e.,

$$d\left(E_{0} \quad E_{A} \quad E_{N+1}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}E_{0} \quad E_{B} \quad E_{N+1}\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\Theta_{0}^{0} & \Theta_{A}^{0} & \Theta_{N+1}^{0}\\\Theta_{0}^{B} & \Theta_{A}^{B} & \Theta_{N+1}^{B}\\\Theta_{0}^{N+1} & \Theta_{A}^{N+1} & \Theta_{N+1}^{N+1}\end{pmatrix},$$
(15)

where Θ_A^B are 1-forms on SU(N+1, 1). By (9) and (15), the Maurer-Cartan form Θ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_0^0 + \overline{\Theta_{N+1}^{N+1}} &= 0, \ \Theta_0^{N+1} = \overline{\Theta_0^{N+1}}, \ \Theta_{N+1}^0 = \overline{\Theta_{N+1}^{N+1}}, \\ \Theta_A^{N+1} &= 2i\overline{\Theta_0^A}, \ \Theta_{N+1}^A = -\frac{i}{2}\overline{\Theta_A^0}, \ \Theta_B^A + \overline{\Theta_A^B} = 0, \ \Theta_0^0 + \Theta_A^A + \Theta_{N+1}^{N+1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(16)

where $1 \leq A, B \leq N$. For example, from $\langle E_A, E_B \rangle = \delta_{AB}$, by taking differentiation, we obtain

$$\langle dE_A, E_B \rangle + \langle E_A, dE_B \rangle = 0.$$

By (15), we have

$$\begin{cases} dE_0 = E_0 \Theta_0^0 + \sum_B E_B \Theta_0^B + E_{N+1} \Theta_0^{N+1}, \\ dE_A = E_0 \Theta_A^0 + \sum_B E_B \Theta_A^B + E_{N+1} \Theta_A^{N+1}, \\ dE_{N+1} = E_0 \Theta_{N+1}^0 + \sum_B E_B \Theta_{N+1}^B + E_{N+1} \Theta_{N+1}^{N+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\langle E_0 \Theta_A^0 + \sum_C E_C \Theta_A^C + E_{N+1} \Theta_A^{N+1}, \ E_B \rangle + \langle E_A, E_0 \Theta_B^0 + \sum_D E_D \Theta_B^D + E_{N+1} \Theta_B^{N+1} \rangle = 0,$$

which implies $\Theta_A^B + \overline{\Theta_B^A} = 0$. In particular, from (16), $\Theta_A^0 = -2i\overline{\Theta_{N+1}^A}$. Θ satisfies

$$d\Theta = -\Theta \wedge \Theta. \tag{17}$$

CR submanifolds of $\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ Let $H: M' \to \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ be a CR smooth embedding where M' is a strictly pseudoconvex smooth real hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . We denote M = H(M').

Let $\xi_{M'}$ be the Reeb vector field of M' with respect to a fixed contact form on M'. By (6), we have:

$$TM' \simeq HM' \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi_{M'} \simeq T^{1,0}M' \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi_{M'}.$$
(18)

For example, if $M' = \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1} = \{(z_1, ..., z_n, z_{n+1}) \mid Im(z_{n+1}) = |z|^2\}$, then the above isomorphism is given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) + c\xi_{M'} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j + ib_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + c\xi_{M'}, \quad where \ a_j, b_j, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(19)

Since H is a CR embedding, we have

$$H_*(T^{1,0}M') = T^{1,0}M \subset T^{1,0}(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}),$$
(20)

$$TM \simeq H_*(T^{1,0}M') \oplus H_*(\mathbb{R}\xi_{M'}) \subset T(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}).$$
(21)

First-order adapted lifts In order to define more specific lifts, we need to give some relationship between geometry on $\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ and on \mathbb{C}^{N+2} as follows. For any subset $X \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$, we denote $\hat{X} := \pi_0^{-1}(X)$ where $\pi_0 : \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{CP}^{N+1}$ is the standard projection

map (13). In particular, for any $x \in M$, \hat{x} is a complex line and for the real submanifold M^{2n+1} , the real submanifold \hat{M}^{2n+3} is of dimension 2n+3.

For any $x \in M$, we take $v \in \hat{x} = \pi_0^{-1}(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\}$, and we define

$$\hat{T}_x M = T_v \hat{M} \text{ and } \hat{T}_x^{1,0} M = T_v^{1,0} \hat{M}.$$

These definitions are independent of choice of v. Notice that $\hat{T}_x M = \pi_0^{-1}(T_x M) \cup \{0\}$ and $\hat{T}_x^{1,0}M = \pi_0^{-1}(T_x^{1,0}M) \cup \{0\}$. We denote $\hat{\mathbb{R}}\xi_{M,x} := \pi_0^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\xi_{M,x}) \cup \{0\}$. Let $M \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ be the image of $H : M' \to \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ where $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a CR strictly

pseudoconvex smooth hypersurface. Consider the inclusion map $M \hookrightarrow \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ and a C^2 smooth lift $e = (e_0, e_\alpha, e_\nu, e_{N+1})$ of M where $1 \le \alpha \le n$ and $n+1 \le \nu \le N$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
SU(N+1,1) \\
e \nearrow & \downarrow \pi \\
M & \hookrightarrow & \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}
\end{array}$$

We call e a first-order adapted lift if for any $x \in M$,

$$\begin{cases} \pi_0(e_0(x)) = x, \\ \mathbb{C} \otimes \{e_0 + \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \mid a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}\}|_x = \hat{T}_x^{1,0} M, \\ \mathbb{C} \otimes \{e_0 + \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} + b e_{N+1} \mid a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}\}|_x = \hat{T}_x^{1,0} M \oplus \hat{\mathbb{R}} \xi_{M,x}. \end{cases}$$
(22)

Locally first-order adapted lifts always exist (cf. [JY10], theorem 7.1). We have the restriction bundle $\mathcal{F}_M^0 := SU(N+1,1)|_M$ over M. The subbundle $\pi : \mathcal{F}_M^1 \to M$ of \mathcal{F}_M^0 is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{M}^{1} = \{ (e_{0}, e_{j}, e_{\mu}, e_{N+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{0} \mid [e_{0}] \in M, (22) \text{ are satisfied} \}$$

Local sections of \mathcal{F}_M^1 are exactly all local first-order adapted lifts of M. The fiber of π : $\mathcal{F}_M^1 \to M$ over a point is isomorphic to the group

$$G_{1} = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{0}^{0} & g_{\beta}^{0} & g_{\nu}^{0} & g_{N+1}^{0} \\ 0 & g_{\beta}^{\alpha} & g_{\nu}^{\alpha} & g_{N+1}^{\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{\nu}^{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(N+1,1) \right\},$$
(23)

where we use the index range $1 \le \alpha, \beta \le n$ and $n + 1 \le \mu, \nu \le N$. By (9), we have $\langle g_0, g_{N+1} \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}$, it implies $g_0^0 \cdot \overline{g_{N+1}^{N+1}} = 1$ so that $g_{N+1}^{N+1} = \frac{1}{g_0^0}$. Since $\langle g_0, g_\mu \rangle = 0$ and $g_0^0 \ne 0$, it implies $g_\mu^{N+1} = 0$. Since $\langle g_\alpha, g_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, it implies that the matrix

 (g_{α}^{β}) is unitary. Since det(g) = 1, it implies $g_0^0 \cdot det(g_{\alpha}^{\beta}) \cdot det(g_{\mu}^{\nu}) \cdot g_{N+1}^{N+1} = 1$. By (19) and (22), g_{N+1}^{N+1} is a real if $g_{N+1}^0 = 0$; g_{N+1}^{N+1}/g_{N+1}^0 is real if $g_{N+1}^0 \neq 0$.

We pull back the Maurer-Cartan form from SU(N+1,1) to \mathcal{F}_M^1 by a first-order adapted lift e of M as

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0^0 & \omega_{\beta}^0 & \omega_{\nu}^0 & \omega_{N+1}^0 \\ \omega_0^{\alpha} & \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} & \omega_{\nu}^{\alpha} & \omega_{N+1}^{\alpha} \\ \omega_0^{\mu} & \omega_{\beta}^{\mu} & \omega_{\nu}^{\mu} & \omega_{N+1}^{\mu} \\ \omega_0^{N+1} & \omega_{\beta}^{N+1} & \omega_{\nu}^{N+1} & \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\omega = e^{-1}de$, i.e., $e\omega = de$. Then we have $de_0 = e_0\omega_0^0 + \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}\omega_0^{\alpha} + \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu}\omega_0^{\mu} + e_{N+1}\omega_0^{N+1}$. On the other hand, we have (cf.[JY10]) $de_0 = e_0\omega_0^0 + \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}\omega_0^{\alpha} + e_{N+1}\omega_0^{N+1}$ so that $\omega_0^{\mu} = 0$, $\forall \mu$. By the Maurer-Cartan equation $d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega$, one gets $0 = d\omega_0^{\nu} = -\sum_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha}^{\nu} \wedge \omega_0^{\alpha} - \omega_{N+1}^{\nu} \wedge \omega_0^{N+1}$, i.e., $0 = -\sum_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, N+1\}} \omega_j^{\nu} \wedge \omega_0^j$. Then by Cartan's lemma,

$$\omega_k^{\nu} = \sum_j q_{jk}^{\nu} \omega_0^j, \tag{24}$$

for some functions $q_{jk}^{\nu} = q_{kj}^{\nu}$.

Second fundamental form and CR second fundamental form For any first-order adapted lift $s = (e_0, e_j, e_\mu, e_{N+1})$ with $\pi_0(e_0) = x$, we have $e_j \in \hat{T}_x^{1,0}M$. Recall $T_EG(k, V) \simeq E^* \otimes (V/E)$ where G(k, V) is the Grassmannian of k-planes that pass through the origin in a vector space V over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and $E \in G(k, V)$ ([IL03], p.73). Then $T_xM \simeq (\hat{x})^* \otimes (\hat{T}_xM/\hat{x})$. The vector e_j induces $e_j \in T_xM$ by

$$\underline{e}_j = e^0 \otimes \left(e_j \ mod(e_0) \right) \in T_{[e_0]}M, \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., n, N+1\}$$

where we denote by $(e^0, e^j, e^{\mu}, e^{N+1})$ the dual basis of $(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})^*$. Similarly, we let

$$\underline{e}_{\mu} = e^0 \otimes \left(e_{\mu} \ mod(\hat{T}_{[e_0]}M) \right) \in N_{[e_0]}M, \tag{25}$$

where NM is the normal bundle of M defined by $N_x M = T_x(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})/T_x M$.

We claim that

$$\sum_{j,k\in\{1,2,\dots,n,N+1\},n+1\leq\mu\leq N} q^{\mu}_{jk}\omega^{j}_{0}\omega^{k}_{0}\otimes\underline{e}_{\mu}, \text{ is independent of choice of the lift } s.$$
(26)

In fact, suppose that s and \tilde{s} are both such lifts. Then

$$\widetilde{s} = sg = s \begin{pmatrix} g_0^0 & g_k^0 & g_\mu^0 & g_{N+1}^0 \\ 0 & g_k^j & g_\mu^j & g_{N+1}^j \\ 0 & 0 & g_\mu^\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(27)

where g is some map from M to $G_1 \subset SU(N+1,1)$. By the general transformation formula $\widetilde{\omega} = g^{-1}\omega g + g^{-1}dg$ (cf. (1.19) in [IL03]), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\omega}_{0}^{0} & \widetilde{\omega}_{k}^{0} & \widetilde{\omega}_{\nu}^{0} & \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^{0} \\ \widetilde{\omega}_{0}^{j} & \widetilde{\omega}_{k}^{j} & \widetilde{\omega}_{\nu}^{j} & \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^{j} \\ 0 & \widetilde{\omega}_{k}^{\mu} & \widetilde{\omega}_{\nu}^{\mu} & \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^{\mu} \\ 0 & \widetilde{\omega}_{k}^{j} & h_{\nu}^{j} & h_{N+1}^{j} \\ 0 & h_{t}^{j} & h_{\kappa}^{j} & h_{N+1}^{j} \\ 0 & 0 & h_{\mu}^{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{0}^{0} & \omega_{s}^{0} & \omega_{\ell}^{0} & \omega_{N+1}^{0} \\ \omega_{0}^{t} & \omega_{s}^{k} & \omega_{\ell}^{k} & \omega_{N+1}^{k} \\ 0 & \omega_{s}^{\kappa} & \omega_{\ell}^{\kappa} & \omega_{N+1}^{\kappa} \\ \omega_{0}^{N+1} & \omega_{s}^{N+1} & 0 & \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_{0}^{0} & g_{k}^{0} & g_{\nu}^{0} & g_{N+1}^{0} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{\nu}^{\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} h_{0}^{0} & h_{\ell}^{0} & h_{\kappa}^{0} & h_{N+1}^{0} \\ 0 & h_{\ell}^{i} & h_{\kappa}^{j} & h_{N+1}^{j} \\ 0 & 0 & h_{\kappa}^{j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{\nu}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dg_{0}^{0} & dg_{k}^{0} & dg_{\nu}^{0} & dg_{N+1}^{0} \\ 0 & dg_{k}^{k} & dg_{\nu}^{j} & g_{N+1}^{j} \\ 0 & 0 & dg_{\nu}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} h_{0}^{0} & h_{\ell}^{i} & h_{\kappa}^{j} & h_{N+1}^{j} \\ 0 & 0 & h_{\kappa+1}^{j} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dg_{0}^{0} & dg_{\kappa}^{0} & dg_{\nu}^{0} & dg_{N+1}^{0} \\ 0 & 0 & dg_{\nu}^{j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & dg_{\nu}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $h = g^{-1}$. Then we find

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{0}^{j} = \sum_{t} g_{0}^{0} h_{t}^{j} \omega_{0}^{t}, \quad \widetilde{\omega}_{k}^{\mu} = \sum_{\kappa,s} h_{\kappa}^{\mu} \omega_{s}^{\kappa} g_{k}^{s}, \quad j,k,t,s \in \{1,2,...,n,N+1\}, \ n+1 \le \mu, \kappa \le N.$$
(28)

Also, from $\tilde{s} = s \cdot g$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{e}^{0} = h_{0}^{0} e^{0}, \quad \widetilde{e}_{\mu} = \sum_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, N+1\}, n+1 \le \nu \le N} (g_{\mu}^{0} e_{0} + g_{\mu}^{k} e_{k} + g_{\mu}^{\nu} e_{\nu}).$$

Applying those formulas into $\widetilde{\omega}_k^{\mu} = \sum_j \widetilde{q}_{jk}^{\mu} \widetilde{\omega}_0^j$, we obtain $\sum_{\kappa,s} h_{\kappa}^{\mu} q_t^{\kappa}{}_s g_k^s = \sum_{j,t} \widetilde{q}_{jk}^{\mu} g_0^0 h_t^j$, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{q}_{jk}^{\mu} = h_0^0 \sum_{\kappa,t,s} h_{\kappa}^{\mu} g_k^s g_j^t q_{ts}^{\kappa}, \tag{29}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{\mu,j,k} \widetilde{q}^{\mu}_{jk} \widetilde{\omega}^{j}_{0} \widetilde{\omega}^{k}_{0} \otimes \underline{\widetilde{e}}_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu,j,k} q^{\mu}_{jk} \omega^{j}_{0} \omega^{k}_{0} \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}.$$
(30)

Thus (26) is proved so that the form

$$II_M = \sum_{j,k \in \{1,2,\dots,n,N+1\}, n+1 \le \mu \le N} q_{jk}^{\mu} \omega_0^j \omega_0^k \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu} \in \Gamma(M, S^2 T^* M \otimes NM)$$
(31)

is independent of choice of first-order adapted lift s from M into SU(N+1, 1). II_M is called the second fundamental form of M.

Comparing the identity (30):

$$\sum_{j,k\in\{1,2,\dots,n,N+1\},n+1\leq\mu\leq N}\widetilde{q}^{\mu}_{jk}\widetilde{\omega}^{j}_{0}\widetilde{\omega}^{k}_{0}\otimes\underline{\widetilde{e}}_{\mu}=\sum_{j,k\in\{1,2,\dots,n,N+1\},n+1\leq\mu\leq N}q^{\mu}_{jk}\omega^{j}_{0}\omega^{k}_{0}\otimes\underline{e}_{\mu}$$

it also holds that

$$\sum_{j,k\in\{1,2,\dots,n\},n+1\leq\mu\leq N}\widetilde{q}^{\mu}_{jk}\widetilde{\omega}^{j}_{0}\widetilde{\omega}^{k}_{0}\otimes\underline{\widetilde{e}}_{\mu} = \sum_{j,k\in\{1,2,\dots,n\},n+1\leq\mu\leq N}q^{\mu}_{jk}\omega^{j}_{0}\omega^{k}_{0}\otimes\underline{e}_{\mu}, \quad mod(\omega^{N+1}_{0}).$$
(32)

From this, we define the *CR* second fundamental form II_M^{CR} by moduling ω_0^{N+1} :

$$II_M^{CR} = \sum_{j,k \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}, n+1 \le \mu \le N} q_{jk}^{\mu} \omega_0^j \omega_0^k \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu} \in \Gamma(M, S^2 T^{1,0*} M \otimes NM).$$
(33)

Remark

- 1. The definition of II_M in (31) is similar to the one of the projective second fundamental form for complex submanifolds (cf. [IL03]).
- 2. The II_M^{CR} defined in (33) was studied in [Wang09] and in [JY10]. It was proved that $II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$ if and only if F is linear fractional [JY10].
- 3. Let $s, s^{(1)}, s^{(2)}$ be three first-order adapted lifts with $II_M^s = \sum_{j,k,\mu} q_{jk}^{\mu} \omega_0^j \omega_0^k \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}, II_M^{s^{(1)}} = \sum_{j,k,\mu} q_{jk}^{(1)\mu} \omega_0^j \omega_0^k \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}$, and $II_M^{s^{(2)}} = \sum_{j,k,\mu} q_{jk}^{(2)\mu} \omega_0^j \omega_0^k \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}$. Let $s^{(1)} = sg_1$ and $s^{(2)} = sg_2$ be as in (27). Suppose $g_1(p) = g_2(p)$ holds at one point $p \in M$. Then by (29), we have

$$q_{jk}^{(1)\mu}(p) = q_{jk}^{(2)\mu}(p) \tag{34}$$

for any $j, k \in \{1, 2, ..., n, N+1\}$ and $n+1 \le \mu \le N$.

By inclusion $T^{1,0*}M \hookrightarrow T^*M \simeq T^{1,0*}M \oplus (\mathbb{R}\xi)^*$, we can regard $II^{CR}M \in \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes NM)$. Then by (31) and (33), we have defined a section $II_M - II_M^{CR} \in \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes NM)$, i.e., in terms of local coordinates,

$$II_{M} - II_{M}^{CR} = \sum_{1 \le j,k \le n,n+1 \le \mu \le N} \left(q_{jN+1}^{\mu} \omega_{0}^{j} \omega_{0}^{N+1} + q_{N+1k}^{\mu} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{k} + q_{N+1N+1}^{\mu} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \right) \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}.$$
(35)

Pulling back a lift Let $M \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ be as above with a point $Q \in M$. Let $A \in SU(N + 1, 1)$, $A^* \in Aut(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with $A^*(Q) = P$ and $\widetilde{M} = A^*(M)$. Let $\widetilde{s} : \widetilde{M} \to SU(N + 1, 1)$ be a lift. We claim:

$$s(Q) := (A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s})(A^{\star}(Q)) \tag{36}$$

is also a lift from M into SU(N + 1, 1). In fact, in order to prove that s is a lift from M into SU(N + 1, 1), it suffices to prove: $\pi s = Id$. In fact, write $\tilde{s} = (\tilde{e}_0, \tilde{e}_A, \tilde{e}_{N+1})$ and $s = (e_0, e_A, e_{N+1}) = (A^{-1}\tilde{e}_0, A^{-1}\tilde{e}_A, A^{-1}\tilde{e}_{N+1})$. Here $[\tilde{e}_0](P) = P$ and $[e_0](Q) = Q$. Then $\pi s(Q) = [A^{-1}\tilde{e}_0](Q) = [e_0](Q) = Q$ so that our claim is proved.

If, in addition, \tilde{s} is a first-order adapted lift of M into SU(N+1, 1), s is also a first-order adapted lift of M into SU(N+1, 1).

Let Ω be the Maurer-Cartan form over SU(N+1, 1). Denote $\omega = s^*\Omega$ and $\widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{s}^*\Omega$. Since A is a matrix with constant entries, $\omega = (s)^{-1}ds = (A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s})^{-1}d(A^{-1}\widetilde{s}) = \widetilde{s}^{-1} \cdot A \cdot A^{-1}d\widetilde{s} = \widetilde{s}^{-1}d\widetilde{s}$, i.e.,

$$\omega = (A^{\star})^* \widetilde{\omega} \tag{37}$$

so that $\omega_0^{\alpha} = (A^{\star})^* \widetilde{\omega}_0^{\alpha}$ and $\omega_{\beta}^{\mu} = (A^{\star})^* \widetilde{\omega}_{\beta}^{\mu}$. The last equality yields

$$q^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{q}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \circ A^{\star}. \tag{38}$$

[Example] Consider the maps in (3) and (4):

$$\sigma_p^0(z,w) = (z+z_0, w+w_0 + 2i\langle z, \overline{z_0} \rangle),$$

$$\tau_p^F(z^*, w^*) = (z^* - \widetilde{f}(z_0, w_0), w^* - \overline{g(z_0, w_0)} - 2i\langle z^*, \overline{\widetilde{f}(z_0, w_0)} \rangle)$$

where $p = (z_0, w_0), z \in \mathbb{C}^n, w = z_{n+1}, \sigma_p^0 \in Aut(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}), \text{ and } \tau_p^F \in Aut(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}).$

By (10) and (12), these two maps correspond to two matrices:

$$A_{\sigma_p^0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ z_{01} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{0n} & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ w_0 & 2i\overline{z_{01}} & \dots & 2i\overline{z_{0n}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SU(n+1,1)$$
(39)

and

$$A_{\sigma_p^F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{f}_{01} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\tilde{f}_{0N} & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ -\overline{g(z_0, w_0)} & -2i\overline{\tilde{f}_1(z_0, w_0)} & \dots & -2i\overline{\tilde{f}_N(z_0, w_0)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SU(N+1, 1)$$
(40)

where $z_0 = (z_{01}, ..., z_{0n})$ and $w_0 = z_{0n+1}$. [Example] Consider the map $F_{\lambda,r,\vec{a},U} = (f,g) \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$

$$f(z) = \frac{\lambda(z + \vec{a}w)U}{1 - 2i\langle z, \overline{\vec{a}} \rangle - (r + i||\vec{a}||^2)w}, \ g(z) = \frac{\lambda^2 w}{1 - 2i\langle z, \overline{\vec{a}} \rangle - (r + i||\vec{a}||^2)w}$$

where $\lambda > 0, r \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $U = (u_{\alpha\beta})$ is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ unitary matrix. By (10) and (12), its corresponding matrix,

$$A_{F_{\lambda,r,\vec{a},U}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2i\overline{a_1} & \dots & -2i\overline{a_n} & -(r+i||\vec{a}||^2) \\ 0 & \lambda u_{11} & \dots & \lambda u_{1n} & \lambda a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \lambda u_{n1} & \dots & \lambda u_{nn} & \lambda a_n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(41)

is not in SU(n+1,1) in general. In fact, we can write

$$F_{\lambda,r,\vec{a},U} = F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \circ F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}.$$
(42)

or $A_{F_{\lambda,r,\vec{a},U}} = A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \cdot A_{F_{1,0,0,U}} \cdot A_{F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}}$. Here $A_{F_{1,0,0,U}}$ and $A_{F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}}$ are in SU(N+1,1); while $A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}$ is in SU(N+1,1) if and only if $\lambda = 1$. Therefore

$$A_{F_{\lambda,r,\vec{a},U}} \text{ is in } SU(n+1,1) \text{ if and only if } \lambda = 1.$$
(43)

[Example] Let $G \in Aut(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. Then G can be written as $G = \sigma_{F(0)}^0 \circ F_{\rho,r,\vec{a},U}$ where $F_{\rho,r,\vec{a},U} \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ as in the previous example. By (42), we have

$$G = \sigma_{F(0)}^{0} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \circ F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}.$$
(44)

[Example] Let $A \in SU(N + 1, 1)$. From above, we know $A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \cdot A$ may not be in SU(N + 1, 1) unless $\lambda = 1$. However, it is possible to modify it so that the modified map is in SU(N + 1, 1), namely, for any real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \cdot A \cdot A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}^{-1} \in SU(N+1,1).$$
(45)

In fact, we write $A = (A_{ij})$. Then $A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \circ A \cdot A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}^{-1} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} & \dots & A_{0N} & A_{0,N+1} \\ A_{10} & A_{11} & \dots & A_{1N} & A_{1,N+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{N,0} & A_{N,1} & \dots & A_{N,N} & A_{N,N+1} \\ A_{N+1,0} & A_{N+1,1} & \dots & A_{N+1,N} & A_{N+1,N+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} & \dots & A_{0N} & A_{0,N+1} \\ \lambda A_{10} & \lambda A_{11} & \dots & \lambda A_{1N} & \lambda A_{1,N+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda A_{N,0} & \lambda A_{N,1} & \dots & \lambda A_{N,N} & \lambda A_{N,N+1} \\ \lambda^2 A_{N+1,0} & \lambda^2 A_{N+1,1} & \dots & \lambda^2 A_{N+1,N} & \lambda^2 A_{N+1,N+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_{00} & \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{01} & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{0N} & \frac{1}{\lambda^2} A_{0,N+1} \\ \lambda A_{10} & A_{11} & \dots & A_{1N} & \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{1,N+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda A_{N,0} & A_{N,1} & \dots & A_{N,N} & \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{N,N+1} \\ \lambda^2 A_{N+1,0} & \lambda A_{N+1,1} & \dots & \lambda A_{N+1,N} & A_{N+1,N+1} \end{bmatrix} \in SU(N+1,1).$$

If s is a first-order adapted lift, we can define $\tilde{s} = A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \cdot s \cdot A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}^{-1}$. Recall the pulling back Maurer-Cartan form by s is $\omega = s^{-1}ds$. Since $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{s}^{-1}d\tilde{s} = (AsA^{-1})^{-1}d(AsA^{-1}) = A \cdot s^{-1}ds \cdot A^{-1} = A \cdot \omega \cdot A^{-1}$. As above, we have

	$\widetilde{\omega}_0^0$ $\widetilde{\omega}_0^1$	$\widetilde{\omega}_1^0 \ \widetilde{\omega}_1^1$		$\widetilde{\omega}_N^0 \ \widetilde{\omega}_N^1$	$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^0 \\ \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^1 \end{bmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_0^0 \\ \lambda \omega_0^1 \end{bmatrix}$	$rac{1}{\lambda}\omega_1^0 \ \omega_1^1$		$rac{1}{\lambda}\omega_N^0 \ \omega_N^1$	$\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\omega_{N+1}^0}{\frac{1}{\lambda}\omega_{N+1}^1}$	
	:		·			=		:	·	:	$\lambda = N + 1$ \vdots	
$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\omega} \\ \widetilde{\omega}_0^I \end{bmatrix}$	5_0^{N} N+1	$\widetilde{\omega}_1^N \\ \widetilde{\omega}_1^{N+1}$	· · · ·	$\widetilde{\omega}_N^N \\ \widetilde{\omega}_N^{N+1}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^{N} \\ \widetilde{\omega}_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{bmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \omega_0^N \\ \lambda^2 \omega_0^{N+1} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} \omega_1^N \\ \lambda \omega_1^{N+1} \end{array}$	· · · ·	$ \begin{array}{c} \omega_N^N \\ \lambda \omega_N^{N+1} \end{array} $	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_{N+1}^{N} \\ \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{bmatrix}$	

4 Geometric Rank, II_M and II_M^{CR}

Lemma 4.1 (i) ([JY10], theorem 7.1) Let $F \in CR_k(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with $k \ge 2$ and F(0) = 0. Then there exists a neighborhood of 0 in $M := F(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ and a C^{k-1} -smooth first-order adapted lift $e: U \to SU(N+1, 1)$

$$e = (e_0, e_j, e_b, e_{N+1}) \in SU(N+1, 1), \quad 1 \le j \le n, \ n+1 \le b \le N.$$

$$(46)$$

(ii) ([JY10], Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 1.1) Let $F = F^{***} = (f, \phi, g)$, the induced first-order adapted lift s, and notation be as in Lemma 2.1. Then

$$h_{j,k}^{\mu}|_{0} = \frac{\partial^{2}\phi_{\mu}}{\partial z_{j}\partial z_{k}}\Big|_{0}, \quad j,k \in \{1,2,...,n,N+1\}$$
(47)

where h_{jk}^{μ} are defined in (31) and in (33).

Theorem 4.2 Let $F \in CR_2(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. Then its geometric rank κ_0 equals to

$$\kappa_0 = \sup_{p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}} \left[n - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \nu \mid II_{M,F(p)}^{CR}(\nu,\nu) = 0 \} \right]$$

where $II_{M,F(p)}^{CR}$ is the CR second fundamental form of the submanifold M at the point F(p). Here $\{\nu \mid II_{M,F(p)}^{CR}(\nu,\nu)=0\}$ is a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

Let $M \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ be a CR submanifold which is the image of a smooth CR hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} by a C^2 -smooth CR map. Fixing one first-order adapted lift s, we write $II_M^{CR} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\mu} q^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_0^{\alpha} \omega_0^{\beta} \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}$, $\operatorname{mod}(\omega_0^{N+1})$. Consider the set of vectors in \mathbb{C}^n , which is a variety defined by a quadratic polynomial and is called the set of *asymptotic directions*, defined by

$$Baseloc|II_{M,x}^{CR}| := \{ v = (v^{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum_{\alpha,\beta} q^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}(x)v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} = 0, \ \forall n+1 \le \mu \le N \}$$
(48)

which is independent of the choice of the lift s.

Recall from [H99], lemma 5.3, that for any $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^n$, the induced map $F = F^{**}$ satisfies

$$\langle \overline{z}, e^{(1)}(z) \rangle |z|^2 = |\phi^{(2)}(z)|^2, \quad \forall z \in \partial \mathbb{H}^n.$$

$$\tag{49}$$

where $e^{(1)}(z) = -2i \sum_{j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j \partial w} |_0 z_j$.

Then by Lemma 4.1 (ii), any vector $v = (v_1, ..., v_n) \in Baseloc|H_{M,F(0)}^{CR}|$ if and only if $\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{\mu}}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}|_0 v_i v_j = 0, \ \forall \mu$. Then by (49), the statement is equivalent to $\langle \overline{v}, e^{(1)}(v) \rangle = 0$. Since the matrix $(-2i\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j \partial w}|_0)$ is semi-positive, the statement is equivalent to $e^{(1)}(v) = 0$, i.e.,

$$Baseloc|II_{M,0}^{CR}| = \left\{ v : -2i\sum_{j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j \partial w} \Big|_0 v_j = 0 \right\},\tag{50}$$

which is a vector space over \mathbb{C} , so that it makes sense to define its dimension. Recall $Rk_F(p) = rank(\mathcal{A}(p))$. By the formulas of f_j in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$Rk_F(0) = n - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Baseloc|II_{M,0}^{CR}|.$$
(51)

Proof of Theorem 4.2: Step 1. The lift s_p^{***} It suffices to prove

$$Rk_F(p) = n - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Baseloc|II_{M,F(p)}^{CR}|, \quad \forall p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}.$$
(52)

The case when p = 0 has been proved in (51). Let us consider $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with $P := F(p) \neq 0$.

By the definition,

$$Rk_F(p) = Rk_{F_p^{***}}(0). (53)$$

Here we write $F_p^{***} = G_p \circ \tau_p^F \circ F \circ \sigma_p^0 \circ H_p$ where τ_p^F is as in (4), σ_p^0 is as in (3), $H_p \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ and $G_p \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$. Since M is a real analytic hypersurface containing the point P = F(p), $G_p \circ \tau_p^F(M)$ is a real analytic hypersurface containing $0 = \tau_0^F(P)$.

We consider

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
(M,P) & \xrightarrow{G_p \circ \tau_p^F} & \left(G_p \circ \tau_p^F(M), 0\right) \\
\uparrow F & & \uparrow F_p^{***} \\
(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, p) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_p^0 \circ H_p} & (\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, 0)
\end{array}$$
(54)

Now from $F_p^{***} : \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \to G_p \circ \tau_0^F(M)$, we can construct a first-order adapted lift s_p^{***} of $G_p \circ \tau_0^F(M)$ as we constructed *s* from the map *F* in (46). Since $F \in Prop_k(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$, the lift s_p^{***} is C^{k-1} smooth. Write the CR second fundamental form of $G_p \circ \tau_0^F(M)$ with respect to the lift s_p^{***} as

$$II_{M,P}^{CR(s_p^{***})} = q_{ij}^{\mu(s_p^{***})} \omega_0^{i(s_p^{***})} \omega_0^{j(s_p^{***})} \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}^{(s_p^{***})}.$$
(55)

Step 2. Construct the lift s_p

Now we may try to define a first-order adapted lift from M into SU(N+1,1) by (36):

$$s_p = (\tau_p^F)^{-1} \circ G_p^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ G_p \circ \tau_p^F.$$
(56)

Unfortunately, this lift s_p may not be a lift of M into SU(N + 1, 1) (See the example in (43)). We have to modify the construction of (56) so that it is a first-order adapted lift of M into SU(N + 1, 1) as follows.

Since $G_p \in Aut_0(\partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$, we can write it as in (44):

$$G_p = F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \circ F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}.$$
(57)

Here $F_{1,0,0,U}, F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \in SU(N+1,1)$, but $F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \in SU(N+1,1)$ if and only if $\lambda = 1$. Now we begin to modify the s_p in (56).

• Lift from $F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \circ F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$ For any $P \in G_p \circ \tau_p^F(M)$, the map

$$P \mapsto s_p^{***}|_P \tag{58}$$

is a first-ordered adapted lift from $G \circ \tau_p^F(M)$ into SU(N+1,1).

• Lift from $F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$ Then we consider $F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}^{-1} \circ s_p^{**} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}$: $\forall P \in F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$, by a similar formula in (36) and a modification in (45), we define $(F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}) \cdot A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}$; more precisely, $\forall P \in F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$,

$$P \mapsto \left(F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \right) \Big|_P \cdot \left(A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \right) \Big|_P, \tag{59}$$

which is a first-ordered adapted lift from $F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$ into SU(N+1,1). • Lift from $F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M) \quad \forall P \in F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$, by (36), the map

$$P \mapsto \left(F_{1,0,0,U}^{-1} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \circ F_{1,0,0,U} \right) \Big|_P \cdot \left(A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \right) \Big|_{F_{1,0,0,U}(P)}$$
(60)

is a first-ordered adapted lift from $F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(M)$ into SU(N+1,1). • Lift from $\tau_p^F(M)$ Similarly, $\forall P \in \tau_p^F(M)$, by (36), the map

$$P \mapsto \left(F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}^{-1} \circ F_{1,0,0,U}^{-1} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ F_{\lambda,0,0,Id} \circ F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \right) \Big|_P \cdot \left(A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \right) \Big|_{F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}(P)}$$

is a first-ordered adapted lift from $\tau_p^F(M)$ into SU(N+1,1). In other words,

$$P \mapsto \left(G_p^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ G_p \right) \Big|_P \cdot \left(A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \right) \Big|_{F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id}(P)}$$
(61)

• Lift from MFinally, $\forall P \in M$, by (36), the map

$$P \mapsto \left((\tau_p^F)^{-1} \circ G_p^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ G_p \circ \tau_p^F \right) \Big|_P \cdot \left(A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}} \right) \Big|_{F_{1,0,0,U} \circ F_{1,r,\vec{a},Id} \circ \tau_p^F(P)}$$
(62)

is a first-ordered adapted lift s_p from M into SU(N+1,1). Without cause confusion, we denote

$$s_p = \left((\tau_p^F)^{-1} \circ G_p^{-1} \circ s_p^{***} \circ G_p \circ \tau_p^F \right) \cdot A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}.$$
(63)

Here we recall from §7 that for any $P \in M$,

$$A_{F_{\lambda,0,0,Id}}(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{bmatrix} (P)$$
(64)

where $\lambda = \lambda(P)$ is defined in (57). Since $F \in Prop_k(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$, by the construction, λ is a C^{k-1} -smooth positive function, and hence the lift s_p is C^{k-1} -smooth.

Step 3. Construct the lift s_p Write the CR second fundamental form of M with respect to the lift s_p as

$$II_{M,P}^{CR(s_p)} = q_{ij}^{\mu(s_p)} \omega_0^{i(s_p)} \omega_0^{j(s_p)} \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}^{(s_p)}.$$
(65)

Then by (38), for P = F(p) we have

$$q_{ij}^{\mu(s_p)}(P) = q_{ij}^{\mu(s_p^{***})}(0)(G_p \circ \tau_0^F)(0).$$
(66)

This implies from (54)

 $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Baseloc|II_{M,P}^{CR}| = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Baseloc|II_{G_{p}\circ\tau_{0}^{F}(M),0}^{CR}| = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Baseloc|II_{F_{p}^{***}(M),0}^{CR}|.$ (67) By (53), (67) and (51), we prove (52). \Box

5 A Lift with Special Property

Theorem 5.1 Let $F = F^{***} \in Prop_k(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ where $k \geq 2$ and $M = F(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$. For any point of M, there exists a neighborhood U of this point in M and a C^{k-1} -smooth firstorder adapted lift s of U into SU(N + 1, 1) where U is a neighborhood of 0 in M such that the coefficient functions q_{ij}^{μ} of II_M satisfy

$$q_{ij}^{\mu}(P) = \lambda(P) \frac{\partial^2 (\phi_p^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \Big|_0, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n, N+1\}, \ n+1 \le \mu \le N,$$
(68)

 $\forall p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with $P = F(p) \in U$, where λ is a positive C^{k-1} smooth function defined on U, and $F_p^{***} = (f_p^{***}, \phi_p^{***}, g_p^{***})$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1 Step 1. Start with the lift s Let $s: U \to SU(N+1,1)$ be the C^{k-1} -smooth first-order adapted lift of F defined in Theorem 5.1 where $U \subset M$ is a neighborhood of 0. Since F(0) = 0, we can choose small neighborhoods \widetilde{U} of 0 in $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and U of 0 in M such that $F: \widetilde{U} \to U$ is diffeomorphic. Then for any $P \in U$, there is a unique $p \in \widetilde{U}$ with F(p) = P.

The second fundamental form with respect to s can be expressed as

$$II_{M,0}^{(s)}(P) = \sum_{j,k} q_{jk}^{(s)\mu}(P)\omega_0^{(s)j}\omega_0^{(s)k} \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}^{(s)},$$

Here the coefficient functions $q_{jk}^{(s)\mu}$ satisfy the formulas in Lemma 4.1 above at P = 0. In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we need to modify the lift s to construct a new first-order adapted lift \hat{s} of M into SU(N + 1, 1):

$$\hat{s}(P) = s(P) \cdot \psi(P), \quad \forall P \in U, \tag{69}$$

where $\psi : U \to G_1$ is some C^{k-2} -smooth map where G_1 is defined in (23) such that the coefficients of the second fundamental form with respect to \hat{s} satisfy the formulas in (68) at any $P \in U$.

Step 2. Construct the lift s_p For any point $P \in U$, by Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.2, there is a first-order adapted lift s_p defined on a neighborhood U_p of P in M into SU(N+1,1). Then there exists a C^{k-1} smooth map $a_p: U_p \to G_1$ such that

$$s_p = s \cdot a_p \quad on \ U_p \tag{70}$$

In fact $a_p := s^{-1} \cdot s_p$.

Step 3. Construct the lift \hat{s} Now we define C^{k-1} -smooth a first-order adapted lift \hat{s} from a neighborhood U of 0 in M into SU(N+1,1) given by

$$\hat{s}(p) = s(p) \cdot a_p(p), \quad \forall p \in U$$
(71)

where a_p is defined in Step 2. Write the second fundamental form with respect to \hat{s} as

$$II_{M,\hat{p}}^{(\hat{s})} = \sum_{j,k} q_{jk}^{(\hat{s})\mu} \omega_0^{(\hat{s})j} \omega_0^{(\hat{s})k} \otimes \underline{e}^{(\hat{s})}{}_{\mu}, \ mod(\eta^{N+1}).$$

We claim:

$$q_{jk}^{(\hat{s})\mu}(p) = q_{jk}^{(s_p)\mu}(p), \quad \forall p \in M$$
 (72)

so that the coefficients $q_{jk}^{(\hat{s})\mu}$ satisfy the formulas in Theorem 5.1. In fact, for any $p_0 \in M$, setting $s_1(q) := a_q(q)$, $\forall q \in M$ and $s_2 := a_{p_0}$. Since $s_1(p_0) = s_2(p_0)$, by (34), we prove Claim (72). \Box

Corollary 5.2 Let M and F be as above. $II_M \equiv 0$ if and only if F is linear fractional.

Proof: In fact, if $II_M \equiv 0$, then $II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$ by the definitions so that F is linear fractional by [JY10]. Conversely, if F is linear fractional, then $\frac{\partial^2 \phi^{***}}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}|_0 = 0$ for $F^{***} = (f^{***}, \phi^{***}, g^{***})$ where we use notation in Lemma 2.1 by standard calculation. Then $\frac{\partial^2 \phi_p^{**}}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}|_0 = 0$ for any F_p^{***} for any $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ where we use the notation in Lemma 2.1. We apply Theorem 5.1 to conclude that $q_{ij}^{\mu}(P) = 0$ for any $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with P = F(p), and hence $II_M \equiv 0$. \Box

Now let
$$F = F^{***} \in Prop_3(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$$
 with $\kappa_0 \le n - 1$ and $3 \le n \le N - 1$. By (35),

for any P = F(p) where $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$,

$$\begin{split} (II_{M} - II_{M}^{CR})(P) \\ &= \sum_{1 \le j,k \le n,n+1 \le \mu \le N} \left(q_{jN+1}^{\mu} \omega_{0}^{j} \omega_{0}^{N+1} + q_{N+1k}^{\mu} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{k} + q_{N+1N+1}^{\mu} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \right) \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu} |_{P} \\ &= \sum_{1 \le j,k \le n,n+1 \le \mu \le N} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} (\phi_{p}^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_{j} \partial z_{N+1}} |_{0} \omega_{0}^{j} \omega_{0}^{N+1} + \frac{\partial^{2} (\phi_{p}^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_{N+1} \partial z_{k}} |_{0} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{k} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial^{2} (\phi_{p}^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_{N+1} \partial z_{N+1}} |_{0} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \right) \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu} \qquad (By \ Theorem \ 5.1) \\ &= \sum_{1 \le j,k \le \kappa_{0},n+1 \le \mu \le N} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} (\phi_{p}^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_{j} \partial w} |_{0} \omega_{0}^{j} \omega_{0}^{N+1} + \frac{\partial^{2} (\phi_{p}^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial w \partial z_{k}} |_{0} \omega_{0}^{N+1} \omega_{0}^{k} \right) \otimes \underline{e}_{\mu}. \end{split}$$

Here the last equality holds because $\frac{\partial^2(\phi_p^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_j \partial w}|_0 = 0$ for $j \ge \kappa_0$ hold by Lemma 2.1(ii). Then $II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$ means

$$\frac{\partial^2 (\phi_p^{***})_{\mu}}{\partial z_j \partial w}|_0 = 0, \quad \forall 1 \le j \le n, \forall n+1 \le \mu \le N, \ \forall p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}.$$
(73)

6 Maps between balls with rank two

Let $F = F^{***} \in Prop_3(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with $rank(F) = Rk_F(0) = 2$ and $3 \le n$ and $3n \le N+1$. Then we can write $F = (f_1, f_2, f_p, \phi_{p'}, \phi_{n'}, \phi_{p''}, \phi_{(n-1)''}, \phi_b, g)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= z_1 + \frac{i\mu_1(0)}{2} z_1 w + o_{wt}(3), \\ f_2 &= z_2 + \frac{i\mu_2(0)}{2} z_2 w + o_{wt}(3), \\ f_p &= z_p, \ 3 \leq p \leq n, \\ \phi_{1p} &= \sqrt{\mu_1(0)} z_1 z_p + \sum_{q \geq 3} 0 z_q w + o_{wt}(2), \ 3 \leq p \leq n, \\ \phi_{2p} &= \sqrt{\mu_2(0)} z_2 z_p + \sum_{q \geq 3} 0 z_q w + o_{wt}(2), \ 3 \leq p \leq n, \\ \phi_{11} &= \sqrt{\mu_1(0)} z_1 z_1 + \sum_{q \geq 3} 0 z_q w + o_{wt}(2), \\ \phi_{12} &= \sqrt{\mu_1(0)} + \mu_2(0) z_1 z_2 + \sum_{q \geq 3} 0 z_q w + o_{wt}(2), \\ \phi_{22} &= \sqrt{\mu_2(0)} z_2 z_2 + \sum_{q \geq 3} 0 z_q w + o_{wt}(2), \\ \{\phi_{33}, \ \phi_{34}, \dots, \phi_{3,N-3n+3}\} = \{\phi_b\} \\ \text{Other } \phi_* &= 0 + o_{wt}(2), \\ g &= w. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the paper, we set up the following index ranges:

$$1 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma \le n-2, \quad \alpha' = n+\alpha, \quad \alpha'' = 2n+\alpha, \quad n+1 \le \mu \le N.$$
(74)

When $n \ge 4$, we also denote $3n \le a, b, c \le N$. By replacing 1 and 2 with n and n-1, we write F as

$$F = (f_{\alpha}, f_{n-1}, f_n, \phi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha''}, \phi_{n_{11}}, \phi_{n_{22}}, \phi_{n_{12}}, \phi_b, g), \text{ where } f_{\alpha} = z_{\alpha} + 0z_{\alpha}w + o_{wt}(3), f_{n-1} = z_{n-1} + \frac{i\mu_1(0)}{2}z_{n-1}w + o_{wt}(3), f_n = z_n + \frac{i\mu_2(0)}{2}z_nw + o_{wt}(3), \phi_{\alpha'} = \phi_{1\alpha} = \sqrt{\mu_1(0)}z_nz_{\alpha} + \sum_{\sigma} 0z_{\sigma}w + o_{wt}(2), \phi_{\alpha''} = \phi_{2\alpha} = \sqrt{\mu_2(0)}z_{n-1}z_{\alpha} + \sum_{\sigma} 0z_{\sigma}w + o_{wt}(2), \phi_{n_{11}} = \sqrt{\mu_1(0)}z_nz_n + \sum_{\sigma} 0z_{\sigma}w + o_{wt}(2), \phi_{n_{22}} = \sqrt{\mu_2(0)}z_{n-1}z_{n-1} + \sum_{\sigma} 0z_{\sigma}w + o_{wt}(2), \phi_{n_{12}} = \sqrt{\mu_1(0)} + \mu_2(0)z_{n-1}z_n + \sum_{\sigma} 0z_{\sigma}w + o_{wt}(2), \phi_b = 0 + \sum_{\sigma} 0z_{\sigma}w + o_{wt}(2).$$

Let F be as above. Let $M = F(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1})$. Then the following holds in a neighborhood of 0 = F(0) in M by Theorem 5.1 :

$$\begin{split} h_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha'} &= 0, \quad h_{\beta}^{\alpha'} = \lambda \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\mu_{1}}, \quad h_{\beta}^{\alpha'} _{n-1} = h_{n}^{\alpha'} _{n} = h_{n-1, n-1}^{\alpha'} = h_{n, n-1}^{\alpha'} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{\alpha'} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{\alpha'} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{\alpha'} = 0, \\ h_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha'} = h_{\beta}^{\alpha'} _{n} = 0, \quad h_{\beta}^{\alpha''} _{n-1} = \lambda \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\mu_{2}}, \quad h_{n}^{\alpha''} = h_{n-1, n-1}^{\alpha''} = h_{n, n-1}^{\alpha''} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{\alpha''} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{\alpha''} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{\alpha''} = 0, \\ h_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha''} = h_{\beta, n}^{\alpha''} = h_{\beta, n-1}^{n_{11}} = 0, \quad h_{n, n}^{n_{11}} = 2\lambda \sqrt{\mu_{1}}, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{11}} = h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{11}} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{n_{11}} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{n_{11}} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{n_{11}} = h_{\beta\gamma}^{n_{11}} = h_{\beta, n-1}^{n_{11}} = 0, \quad h_{n, n}^{n_{11}} = 2\lambda \sqrt{\mu_{1}}, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{n_{22}} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{n_{22}} = h_{\beta, n-1}^{n_{22}} = h_{n, n}^{n_{22}} = 0, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = 2\lambda \sqrt{\mu_{2}}, \quad h_{n-1}^{n_{22}} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{n_{22}} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{n_{22}} = h_{\beta, n-1}^{n_{22}} = h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = 0, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = 0, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = 0, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = h_{n-1, N+1}^{n_{22}} = h_{\beta, n-1}^{n_{22}} = h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = 0, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = 0, \quad h_{n-1, n-1}^{n_{22}} = \lambda \sqrt{\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}}, \quad h_{\beta, N+1}^{n_{12}} = h_{\beta, N+1}^{n_{12}}$$

where λ is a positive C²-smooth function, and μ_1, μ_2 are C¹-smooth functions in the neighborhood of 0 in M.

Recall from (15), any first-order adapted lift $s = (e_0, e_j, e_\mu, e_{N+1}) : M \to SU(N+1, 1)$ of F where $1 \le i, j \le n, n+1 \le \mu, \nu \le N$, we have $ds = s\theta$ where θ is the pull-back of the Maurer-Cartan form from SU(N+1, 1):

$$d(e_0, e_j, e_\mu, e_{N+1}) = (e_0, e_i, e_\nu, e_{N+1}) \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^0 & \theta_j^0 & \theta_\mu^0 & \theta_{N+1}^0 \\ \theta_0^i & \theta_j^i & \theta_\mu^i & \theta_{N+1}^i \\ 0 & \theta_j^\nu & \theta_\mu^\nu & \theta_{N+1}^\nu \\ \theta_0^{N+1} & \theta_j^{N+1} & 0 & \theta_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall $\theta_{j}^{\mu} = h_{ji}^{\mu} \eta^{i} + h_{j N+1}^{\mu} \eta$ and $\theta_{N+1}^{\mu} = h_{N+1 i}^{\mu} \eta^{i} + h_{N+1 N+1}^{\mu} \eta$. We still use notation in (74) and we write F as $F = (f_{\alpha}, f_{n-1}, f_{n}, \phi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha''}, \phi_{n_{11}}, \phi_{n_{22}}, \phi_{n_{12}}, \phi_{b}, g)$.

For simplicity, we replace $\lambda \sqrt{\mu_1}$ by $\sqrt{\mu_1}$; replace $\lambda \sqrt{\mu_2}$ by $\sqrt{\mu_2}$; and replace $\lambda \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}$ by $\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}$, by changing notation. Then by the formulas above, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\beta}^{\prime\prime} = h_{\beta}^{\prime\prime} \,_{\gamma} \eta^{\prime} + h_{\beta}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\beta}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n} \eta^{n} + h_{\beta}^{\prime\prime} \,_{N+1} \eta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\mu_{1}} \eta^{n}, \\ \theta_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} = h_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} \,_{\gamma} \eta^{\gamma} + h_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n} \eta^{n} + h_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} \,_{N+1} \eta = 0, \\ \theta_{n}^{\prime\prime} = h_{n}^{\prime\prime} \,_{\gamma} \eta^{\gamma} + h_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} \eta^{n-1} + h_{n}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n} \eta^{n} + h_{n}^{\prime\prime} \,_{N+1} \eta = \sqrt{\mu_{1}} \eta^{\alpha}, \\ \theta_{N+1}^{\prime\prime} = h_{n}^{\prime\prime} \,_{\gamma} \eta^{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{\eta} \eta^{n} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \,_{N+1} \eta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\mu_{2}} \eta^{n-1}, \\ \theta_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} = h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \,_{\gamma} \eta^{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{\eta} \eta^{n} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{\eta} \eta^{n} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + \eta^{\prime\prime} \,_{\eta} \eta^{n} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n-1} + h_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \,_{n-1} \eta^{n$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are C^1 -smooth positive functions defined on M.

7 Lemma for mappings of rank 2

Let $F \in CR_2(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with geometric rank $\kappa_0 = 2$. Then by the inequality $N \geq n + \frac{(2n+1-\kappa_0)\kappa_0}{2}$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (i)), $N \geq n + \frac{(2n+1-\kappa_0)\kappa_0}{2} = 3n-1$, i.e., $N+1 \geq 3n$. In the remaining of the paper, Einstein summation notation is used without mentioning it.

Lemma 7.1 Let $F \in Prop_3(\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ with the expression in above section and with $4 \le n+1 \le N+1 \le 4n-3 \text{ and } \kappa_0 = 2. \text{ If } N+1 > 3n. \text{ Then } \theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma'} = \theta_{\beta}^{n} = \theta_{\beta_{11}}^{\gamma'} = \theta_{\beta_{11}}^n = \theta_{\beta_{12}}^{\gamma'} = 0.$

Proof of Lemma: It suffices to prove the case N+1 > 3n for the proof of the case N+1 = 3is similar. We use the notation in the section 6. The facts that $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma'} = \theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma''} = \theta_{\beta}^n = \theta_{n_{11}}^{\gamma'} = \theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma''} = \theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma''}$

Is similar. We use the notation in the section 6. The facts that $\sigma_{n_{12}} = \sigma_{n_{12}} = \sigma_{\beta} = \sigma_{n_{11}}$ $\theta_{n_{22}}^{\gamma'} = \theta_b^{\gamma'} = 0$ will be proved in Step 2(C), 2(D), 2(A'), 4, 2(C) and 9 below, respectively. **Step 1(A)** Differentiating $\theta_{\beta}^{n_{11}} = 0$, we get $d\theta_{\beta}^{n_{11}} = 0$. By $d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega$, we have $-\theta_0^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_0^{\beta} - \theta_{n_{11}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n} - \theta_{n_{11}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n} - \theta_{\alpha''}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{n_{11}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{11}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{22}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{22}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{22}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{22}} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} + \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} + \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22}} - \theta_{\beta}^{n_{22$ $A_{\beta}^{(1)}, B_{\beta}^{(1)}$ and $D_{\beta}^{(1)}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta_{\beta'}^{n_{11}} - 2\theta_{\beta}^n) \\ \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_{\beta''}^{n_{11}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\beta}^{(1)} & B_{\beta}^{(1)} \\ B_{\beta}^{(1)} & D_{\beta}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \eta^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 1(A') Differentiating $\theta_{\alpha}^{n_{22}} = 0$, we get $d\theta_{\alpha}^{n_{22}} = 0$. Similarly as in Step 1(A), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_2} (\theta_{\alpha''}^{n_{22}} - 2\theta_{\alpha}^{n-1}) \\ \sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{\alpha'}^{n_{22}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\alpha}^{(111)} & B_{\alpha}^{(111)} \\ B_{\alpha}^{(111)} & D_{\alpha}^{(111)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n-1} \\ \eta^n \end{pmatrix}$$

for some coefficients $A_{\alpha}^{(111)}$, $B_{\alpha}^{(111)}$ and $D_{\alpha}^{(111)}$.

Step 1(B) Differentiating $\theta_{\beta}^{b} = 0$, we get $d\theta_{\beta}^{b} = 0$. As the calculation in Step 1(A) and §6, this implies with $\sqrt{\mu_1}\eta^n \wedge \theta^b_{\beta'} + \sqrt{\mu_2}\eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta^b_{\beta''} = 0$. By Cartan's lemma, there are some coefficients $C_{\beta}^{(2)b}, B_{\beta}^{(2)b}$, and $D_{\beta}^{(2)b}$ so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_1} \theta^b_{\beta'} \\ \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta^b_{\beta''} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2C^{(2)b}_{\beta} & B^{(2)b}_{\beta} \\ B^{(2)b}_{\beta} & D^{(2)b}_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \eta^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 2(A) Differentiating $\theta_{\beta}^{\alpha'} = 0$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, we get $d\theta_{\beta}^{\alpha'} = 0$. By §6, this implies $\theta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1} \eta^n + \theta^n_{\beta} \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1} \eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_1} \eta^n \wedge \theta^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} + \sqrt{\mu_2} \eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta^{\alpha'}_{\beta''} = 0, \text{ i.e., } \sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \theta^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}) \wedge \eta^n - \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta^{\alpha'}_{\beta''} \wedge \eta^{n-1} + \sqrt{\mu_1} \theta^n_{\beta} \wedge \eta^{\alpha} = 0.$ By Cartan's lemma

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_1}(\theta_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{\beta}^{\alpha})\\ \sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\beta''}^{\alpha'}\\ -\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{\beta}^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & F_{\beta}^{(3)\alpha} & G_{\beta}^{(3)}\\ 0 & G_{\beta}^{(3)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n\\ \eta^{n-1}\\ \eta^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \neq \beta,$$

for some coefficients $F_{\beta}^{(3)\alpha}$ and $G_{\beta}^{(3)}$. Here we use the facts that θ_{β}^{n} is independent of α , that $\theta_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{\beta}^{\alpha} = -\overline{\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'}} + \overline{\theta_{\alpha}^{\beta}}$ by (16) and that the matrix is symmetric. So $\theta_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} = \theta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$, $\forall \alpha \neq \beta$. **Step 2(A')** Consider $\theta_{\alpha}^{\beta''} = 0$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, and $d\theta_{\alpha}^{\beta''} = 0$. Similarly as in Step 2(A), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_2}(\theta_{\alpha''}^{\beta''} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta})\\ \sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta''}\\ -\sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\alpha}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & F_{\alpha}^{(333)\beta} & G_{\alpha}^{(333)}\\ 0 & G_{\alpha}^{(333)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n-1}\\ \eta^n\\ \eta^{\beta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

for some coefficients $F_{\alpha}^{(333)\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha}^{(333)}$. Then $\theta_{\alpha''}^{\beta''} = \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta}$, for any $\alpha \neq \beta$. By comparing both formulas for $\theta_{\beta''}^{\alpha'} = -\overline{\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta''}}$ above and in Step 2(A), we get $F_{\beta}^{(3)\alpha} = G_{\alpha}^{(3)} = F_{\beta}^{(333)\alpha} = G_{\beta}^{(333)} = 0$, $\forall \alpha \neq \beta$. Then $\theta_{\beta}^{n-1} = \theta_{\beta}^{n} = 0$. Hence $\theta_{\beta''}^{\alpha'} = 0$, $\forall \alpha \neq \beta$. **Step 2(B)** Differentiating $\theta_{\beta}^{n_{12}} = 0$, we get $d\theta_{\beta}^{n_{12}} = 0$. Similarly as in Step 1(A), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{\beta'}^{n_{12}}\\ \sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\beta''}^{n_{12}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\beta}^{(4)} & B_{\beta}^{(4)}\\ B_{\beta}^{(4)} & E_{\beta}^{(4)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n\\ \eta^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some coefficients $A_{\beta}^{(4)}, B_{\beta}^{(4)}$ and $E_{\beta}^{(4)}$.

Step 2(C) Differentiating $\theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} = 0$, we get $d\theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} = 0$. By §6 and $\theta_{\beta}^{n-1} = 0$, this implies $\theta_{n-1}^n \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1}\eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_2}\eta^{\gamma} \wedge \theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} + 2\sqrt{\mu_2}\eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} + \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}\eta^n \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = 0$. Recall $\sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} = 0$. $F_{\gamma}^{(3)\alpha}\eta^{n-1} + G_{\gamma}^{(3)}\eta^{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \gamma. \text{ Then } \theta_{n-1}^{n} \wedge \sqrt{\mu_{1}}\eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_{2}}\eta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} + 2\sqrt{\mu_{2}}\eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} + \sqrt{\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}}\eta^{n} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = 0. \text{ In other words, } \eta^{n} \wedge \sqrt{\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}}\theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \eta^{n-1} \wedge 2\sqrt{\mu_{2}}\theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta_{n_{22$ $(-\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{n-1}^n + \sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'}) = 0$. By Cartan's lemma, there are coefficients $A^{(5)\alpha}$ etc. so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} \\ 2\sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} \\ -\sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{n-1}^n + \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(5)\alpha} & B^{(5)\alpha} & C^{(5)\alpha} \\ B^{(5)\alpha} & D^{(5)\alpha} & E^{(5)\alpha} \\ C^{(5)\alpha} & E^{(5)\alpha} & F^{(5)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \eta^{n-1} \\ \eta^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall Step 1(A'), $\theta_{\alpha'}^{n_{22}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} (B_{\alpha}^{(111)} \eta^{n-1} + D_{\alpha}^{(111)} \eta^n)$. Then $\theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} (\overline{B_{\alpha}^{(111)}} \overline{\eta^{n-1}} + D_{\alpha}^{(111)} \overline{\eta^n})$. $\overline{D_{\alpha}^{(111)}}\overline{\eta^{n}}$ so that, by comparing above, $D^{(5)\alpha} = E^{(5)\alpha} = B_{\alpha}^{(111)} = D_{\alpha}^{(111)} = 0$. Hence $\theta_{\alpha'}^{n_{22}} = 0$.

Recall Step 2(B), $\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = -\overline{A_{\alpha}^{(4)}}\overline{\eta^n} - \overline{B_{\alpha}^{(4)}}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}$, From above we have $\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}\theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = A^{(5)\alpha}\eta^n + B^{(5)\alpha}\eta^{n-1} + C^{(5)\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}$. Then $A_{\alpha}^{(4)} = B_{\alpha}^{(4)} = A^{(5)\alpha} = B^{(5)\alpha} = C^{(5)\alpha} = 0$ and $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = 0$. **Step 2(D)** Differentiating $\theta_n^{\beta''} = 0$, we get $d\theta_n^{\beta''} = 0$. Similarly as in Step Step 2(C), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \theta_{n_{12}}^{\beta''} \\ 2\sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{n_{11}}^{\beta''} \\ \sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{\beta'}^{\beta''} - \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(555)\beta} & B^{(555)\beta} & C^{(555)} \\ B^{(555)\beta} & D^{(555)\beta} & E^{(555)} \\ C^{(555)} & E^{(555)} & F^{(555)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n-1} \\ \eta^n \\ \eta^\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

for some coefficients $A^{(555)\beta}, B^{(555)\beta}, C^{(555)}, D^{(555)\beta}, E^{(555)}$ and $F^{(555)}$. By the formula for $\theta_{\beta''}^{n_{11}}$ in Step 1(A), it implies $B_{\beta}^{(1)} = B^{(555)\beta} = D^{(555)\beta} = E^{(555)} = 0$, and $\theta_{\beta''}^{n_{11}} = 0$. By the formula for $\theta_{\beta''}^{n_{12}}$ in Step 2(B), it implies $E_{\beta}^{(4)} = A^{(555)\beta} = C^{(555)\beta} = 0$, and $\theta_{\beta''}^{n_{12}} = 0$.

Step 3(A) Differentiating $\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\mu_1}\eta^n$, we get $d\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} = d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) \wedge \eta^n + \sqrt{\mu_1}d\eta^n$. By §6 and $\theta_n^{\beta} = 0$, this implies $\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1}\eta^n + \sqrt{\mu_1}\eta^n \wedge \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} + \sqrt{\mu_2}\eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} = d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) \wedge \eta^n + \sqrt{\mu_1}(\theta_0^0 \wedge \eta^n + \eta^{\gamma} \wedge \theta_{\gamma}^n + \eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n-1}^n + \eta^n \wedge \theta_n^n)$, mod (η) . By writing $\Delta_{\alpha} := \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \theta_0^0 - \theta_n^n$, we have $\eta^n \wedge (\sqrt{\mu_1}\Delta_{\alpha} + d(\sqrt{\mu_1})) + \eta^{n-1} \wedge (\sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} - \sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{n-1}^n) = 0, \mod(\eta).$ By Cartan's lemma,

$$\sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} - \sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{n-1}^n = B^{(6)\alpha}\eta^{n-1} + C^{(6)\alpha}\eta^n, \ mod(\eta),$$

$$\sqrt{\mu_1}\Delta_{\alpha} = -d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) + C^{(6)\alpha}\eta^{n-1} + A^{(6)\alpha}\eta^n, \ mod(\eta).$$

Recall from Step 2(C) that $\sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} - \sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{n-1}^n = F^{(5)}\eta^{\alpha}$. Then $F^{(5)} = B^{(6)\alpha} = C^{(6)\alpha} = 0$. Hence $\sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_n^{n-1}$.

Step 3(A') Differentiating $\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha''} = \sqrt{\mu_2}\eta^{n-1}$, we get $d\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha''} = d(\sqrt{\mu_2}) \wedge \eta^{n-1} + \sqrt{\mu_2}d\eta^{n-1}$. Similarly as in Step 3(A), there are some coefficients $A^{(666)\alpha}$, $B^{(666)\alpha}$ and $E^{(666)\alpha}$ such that

$$\sqrt{\mu_2}(\theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha''} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \theta_0^0 - \theta_{n-1}^{n-1}) = -d(\sqrt{\mu_2}) + A^{(666)\alpha}\eta^{n-1} + B^{(666)\alpha}\eta^n, \ mod(\eta)$$

$$\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha''} - \sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_n^{n-1} = B^{(666)\alpha}\eta^{n-1} + E^{(666)\alpha}\eta^n, \ mod(\eta).$$

Recall Step 2(D), $\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{\beta'}^{\beta''} - \sqrt{\mu_2}\theta_n^{n-1} = F^{(555)}\eta^{\beta}$. Then, from above, we obtain $F^{(555)} =$ Recall Step 2(D), $\sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{\beta'} = \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_n = F \otimes \eta'$. Then, from above, we obtain $F \otimes \gamma = B^{(666)\alpha} = E^{(666)\alpha} = 0$. Hence $\sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{\beta'}^{\beta''} = \sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_n^{n-1}$. Recall from Step 3(A) that $\sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha''} = \sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_n^{n-1}$. It implies either $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, $\theta_{\beta'}^{\beta''} = \theta_n^{n-1}$, or $\theta_{\beta'}^{\beta''} = \theta_n^{n-1} = 0$. **Step 3(B)** Differentiating $\theta_{n-1}^{n_{12}} = \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \eta^n$, we get $d\theta_{n-1}^{n_{12}} = d(\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}) \wedge \eta^n + \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} d\eta^n + \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} d\eta^n$. By §6, $\theta_{\gamma}^n = 0$ and $\theta_{\gamma''}^{n_{12}} = 0$ in Step 2(D), this implies $\theta_{n-1}^{n-1} \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \eta^n + \theta_{n-1}^n \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \eta^{n-1} + 2\sqrt{\mu_2} \eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n_{22}}^{n_{12}} + \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \eta^n \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} = d(\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}) \wedge \eta^n + \sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} (\theta_0^0 \wedge \eta^n + \eta^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n-1}^n + \eta^n \wedge \theta_n^n)$, mod(η). Denote $\Delta_{n-1} := \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} - \theta_{n-1}^{n-1} + \theta_0^0 - \theta_n^n$. Then $\eta^n \wedge (\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \Delta_{n-1} + d(\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2})) + \eta^{n-1} \wedge (2\sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_{n_{22}}^{n_{12}} - 2\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \theta_{n-1}^n) = 0$, mod(η). By Cartan's lowmed

Cartan's lemma.

$$\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \Delta_{n-1} = -d(\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}) + A^{(7)} \eta^n + B^{(7)} \eta^{n-1}, \quad mod(\eta),$$
$$2\sqrt{\mu_2} \theta_{n_{22}}^{n_{12}} - 2\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \theta_{n-1}^n = B^{(7)} \eta^n + C^{(7)} \eta^{n-1}, \quad mod(\eta).$$

Step 4. Differentiating $\theta_n^{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\mu_1}\eta^{\alpha}$, $d\theta_n^{\alpha'} = d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) \wedge \eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_1}d\eta^{\alpha}$. By §6 θ_{α}^{n-1} and $\theta^n_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ and } \theta^{n_{12}}_{\alpha'} = 0 \text{ in Step 2(C), this implies } \theta^n_n \wedge \sqrt{\mu_1} \eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_1} \eta^{\gamma} \wedge \theta^{\alpha'}_{\gamma'} + 2\sqrt{\mu_1} \eta^n \wedge \theta^{\alpha'}_{n_{11}} = d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) \wedge \eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta^0_0 \wedge \eta^{\alpha} + \eta^{\gamma} \wedge \theta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}), \mod(\eta), \text{ i.e., } \eta^{\alpha} \wedge \left[\sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta^{\alpha'}_{\alpha'} - \theta^{\alpha}_{\alpha} + \theta^0_0 - \theta^n_n) + d(\sqrt{\mu_1})\right] + d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) = d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) \wedge \eta^{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta^0_0 \wedge \eta^{\alpha} + \eta^{\gamma} \wedge \theta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}), \mod(\eta), \text{ i.e., } \eta^{\alpha} \wedge \left[\sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta^{\alpha'}_{\alpha'} - \theta^{\alpha}_{\alpha} + \theta^0_0 - \theta^n_n) + d(\sqrt{\mu_1})\right] + d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) + d($ $\eta^n \wedge (2\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{n_{11}}^{\alpha'}) = 0, \, \mathrm{mod}(\eta).$

$$\sqrt{\mu_1} (\theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \theta_0^0 - \theta_n^n) = -d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) + A^{(77)\beta} \eta^{\beta} + B^{(77)\beta} \eta^n, \ mod(\eta),$$
$$2\sqrt{\mu_1} \theta_{n_{11}}^{\alpha'} = B^{(77)\beta} \eta^{\beta} + E^{(77)\beta} \eta^n, \ mod(\eta).$$

By Step 1(A), $\sqrt{\mu_1}\theta_{\beta'}^{n_{11}} = A_{\beta}^{(1)}\eta^n$. It implies $A_{\beta}^{(1)} = B^{(77)\beta} = E^{(77)\beta} = 0$ and $\theta_{n_{11}}^{\alpha'} = 0$. By Step 3(A), $\sqrt{\mu_1}\Delta_{\alpha} = -d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) + A^{(6)\alpha}n^n \mod(n)$ it implies $A^{(6)\alpha} = 0$.

By Step 3(A), $\sqrt{\mu_1}\Delta_{\alpha} = -d(\sqrt{\mu_1}) + A^{(6)\alpha}\eta^n$, $mod(\eta)$, it implies $A^{(6)\alpha} = 0$. **Step 5** Consider $\theta^n_{\beta} = 0$. Then $d\theta^n_{\beta} = 0$. By §6 and $\theta^{n-1}_{\beta} = \theta^n_{\beta} = 0$, this implies $\eta^n \wedge (-\theta^0_{\beta}) - \mu_1\eta^n \wedge \overline{\eta^{\beta}} + 2i\overline{\eta^{\beta}} \wedge \theta^n_{N+1} = 0$. Hence $\eta^n \wedge (-\theta^0_{\beta} - \mu_1\overline{\eta^{\beta}}) + \overline{\eta^{\beta}} \wedge (2i\theta^n_{N+1}) = 0$. Then by Cartan's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} -\theta^0_\beta - \mu_1 \overline{\eta^\beta} &= A^{(17)\beta} \eta^n + C^{(17)} \overline{\eta^\beta}, \\ 2i\theta^n_{N+1} &= C^{(17)} \eta^n + F^{(17)} \overline{\eta^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F^{(17)} = 0$. Recalling $\theta_{\beta}^{0} = -2i\overline{\theta_{N+1}^{\beta}}$, we obtain $-2i\theta_{N+1}^{\beta} = \overline{A^{(17)\beta}}\overline{\eta^{n}} + (\mu_{1} + \overline{C^{(17)}})\eta^{\beta}$. **Step 6** From $\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta''} = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ by Step 2(A), $d\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta''} = 0$. By the known formulas, this implies $\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \wedge \theta_{\beta'}^{\beta''} + \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha''} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{\beta''} = 0$. By Step 2(A) and 2(A'), $\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} = \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ and $\theta_{\alpha''}^{\beta''} = \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta}$, $\forall \alpha \neq \beta$. By Step 1(B), $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{1}}}(2C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n} + B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1}) \wedge \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{2}}}(-\overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n}} - \overline{D_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}) = 0$. Then

$$C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\overline{D_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\overline{D_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Step 7} \quad & \textbf{Consider } \theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} = \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \text{ where } \alpha \neq \beta \text{ by Step 2(A). Then } d\theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} = d\theta_{\alpha}^{\beta}. \text{ By the known formulas, } -\theta_{n}^{\beta'} \land \theta_{\alpha'}^{\eta'} - \theta_{b'}^{\beta'} \land \theta_{\alpha'}^{b} = -\theta_{0}^{\beta} \land \theta_{\alpha}^{0} - \theta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \land \theta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} - \theta_{N+1}^{\beta} \land \theta_{\alpha}^{N+1}, \text{ i.e., } \\ -\mu_{1}\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\beta} + \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta} \theta_{\alpha'}^{\gamma'} \land \theta_{\gamma'}^{\beta'} + \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} \land \theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} + \theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \land \theta_{\beta'}^{\beta'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{1}}}(2C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n} + B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1}) \land \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{1}}}(-2\overline{C_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n}} - \overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}) = (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\beta} + \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta} \theta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \land \theta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \land + \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \land \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta} + \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \land \\ \theta_{\beta}^{\beta} + 2i\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \frac{i}{2}(\mu_{1} + \overline{C^{(17)}})\eta^{\beta}. \text{ Since } \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta} \theta_{\alpha'}^{\gamma'} \land \theta_{\gamma''}^{\beta'} = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta} \theta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \land \theta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \land \theta_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \\ \theta_{\beta'}^{\beta'} - \theta_{\beta}^{\beta} \text{ and } \theta_{\beta''}^{\alpha'} = 0 \ \forall \alpha \neq \beta, \text{ the above identity becomes } -\mu_{1}\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\beta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{1}}}(2C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n} + B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n}) \\ +B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1}) \land \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{1}}}(-2\overline{C_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n}} - \overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}) = (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\beta} + 2i\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \frac{i}{2}(\mu_{1} + \overline{C^{(17)}})\eta^{\beta}. \\ \textbf{Then we obtain } C^{(17)} + \overline{C^{(17)}} = -\mu_{1} \text{ again and } \sum_{b} C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\overline{C_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = 0, \ \forall \alpha \neq \beta. \\ \textbf{Step 8} \text{ Notice } \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \theta_{\beta'}^{\beta'} - \theta_{\beta}^{\beta}, \ \forall \alpha \neq \beta \text{ (see Step 6). Then } d\theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - d\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = d\theta_{\beta'}^{\beta'} - d\theta_{\beta}^{\beta}. \\ \textbf{By the known formulas, } d\theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - d\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = -\mu_{1}\overline{\eta^{n}} \land \eta^{n} - \mu_{1}\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\alpha} + \mu_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} \land \theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'} + (2C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n} + B_{\alpha''}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1}) - (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\alpha} + \mu_{1}\eta^{n} \land \overline{\eta^{n}} + \mu_{2}\eta^{n-1} \land \overline{\eta^{n-1}} - \\ \theta_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1} - (-2\overline{C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\overline{\eta^{n}} - \overline{B_{\alpha'}^{(2)b}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}}) - (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\alpha} + \mu_{1}\eta^{n} \land \overline{\eta^{n}} + \mu_{2}\eta^{n-1} \land \overline{\eta^{n-1}} - \\ \theta_{\alpha''}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1} - \theta_{\alpha''}^{(2)b}\overline{\eta^{n-1}} - (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \land \eta^{\alpha} + \mu_{1}\eta^{n} \land \theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha'$

 $2i\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \wedge (-\frac{i}{2})(-C^{(17)} - \mu_{1})\eta^{\alpha}. \text{ Since } \Delta_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - \theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \theta_{0}^{0} - \theta_{n}^{n} \text{ is independent of } \alpha \text{ by its formula} \text{ in Step 3(A), we have } d\theta_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} - d\theta_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = d\theta_{\beta'}^{\beta'} - d\theta_{\beta}^{\beta}, \text{ i.e., } -\mu_{1}\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \wedge \eta^{\alpha} + (2C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n} + B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}\eta^{n-1}) \wedge (-2\overline{C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n}} - \overline{B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}) - (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \wedge \eta^{\alpha} - 2i\overline{\eta^{\alpha}} \wedge \frac{i}{2}(\overline{C^{(17)}} + \mu_{1})\eta^{\alpha} = -\mu_{1}\overline{\eta^{\beta}} \wedge \eta^{\beta} + (2C_{\beta}^{(2)b}\overline{\eta^{n}} - \overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}}\overline{\eta^{n-1}}) - (-\mu_{1} - C^{(17)})\overline{\eta^{\beta}} \wedge \eta^{\beta} - 2i\overline{\eta^{\beta}} \wedge \frac{i}{2}(\overline{C^{(17)}} + \mu_{1})\eta^{\beta}.$ Here we also use the fact that $\theta_{\alpha''}^{\alpha''} = \theta_{n-1}^{n}$ by Step 2(D). Hence $C^{(17)} + \overline{C^{(17)}} = -\mu_{1}$ (known) and

$$\sum_{b} |C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}|^2 = \sum_{b} |C_{\beta}^{(2)b}|^2, \quad \sum_{b} |B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}|^2 = \sum_{b} |B_{\beta}^{(2)b}|^2, \quad \alpha \neq \beta$$

It means that $\sum_{b} |C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}|^2$ and $\sum_{b} |B_{\alpha}^{(2)b}|^2$ are independent of α . Recall $\sum_{b} B_{\alpha}^{(2)b} \overline{B_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = \sum_{b} C_{\alpha}^{(2)b} \overline{C_{\beta}^{(2)b}} = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ in Step 6 and Step 7. Recall $b \in \{3n, 3n + 1, ..., N\}$ and denote $\vec{x}_{\alpha} := C_{\alpha}^{(2)b}$. Then the set of vectors $\{\vec{x}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \{1,2,...,n-2\}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N-3n+1}$ satisfies

$$\langle \vec{x}_{\alpha}, \vec{x}_{\beta} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \neq \beta; \quad \langle \vec{x}_{\alpha}, \vec{x}_{\alpha} \rangle = c$$

where c is independent of α . By the hypothesis $N+1 \leq 4n-3$, we have $\{\vec{x}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \{1,2,\dots,n-2\}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{(4n-4)-3n+1} = \mathbb{C}^{n-3}$. Since $\#\{1,2,\dots,n-2\} = n-2$, it implies

$$C_{\alpha}^{(2)b} = B_{\alpha}^{(2)b} = 0$$

Step 9 Now $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma'} = 0$ by Step 2(C); $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma''} = 0$ by Step 2(D); $\theta_{\beta}^{n} = 0$ by Step 2(A) and $G_{\beta}^{(3)} = 0$ (Step 2(A')); $\theta_{n_{11}}^{\gamma'} = 0$ by Step 1(A) and by $\theta_{\beta}^{n} = 0$ and by $A^{(1)_{\beta}} = 0$ (Step 4) and by $B_{\beta}^{(1)} = 0$ (Step 2(D)); $\theta_{n_{22}}^{\gamma'} = 0$ by Step 2(C); and $\theta_{b}^{\gamma'} = 0$ by Step 1(B) and $B_{\beta}^{(2)b} = C_{\beta}^{(2)b} = 0$ (Step 8). \Box

8 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1: If F is linear fractional, $II_M \equiv 0$ and $II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$ by Corollary 5.2 and [JY10]. Then $II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$. Conversely, if $II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$, we want to show: F is linear fractional. Recall that F is

Conversely, if $II_M - II_M^{CR} \equiv 0$, we want to show: F is linear fractional. Recall that F is linear fractional if and only if $\kappa_0 = 0$. Suppose that F is not linear fractional, i.e., $\kappa_0 \geq 1$. We seek a contradiction.

Since $N + 1 \leq 4n - 3$, by the inequality $N \geq n + \frac{(2n+1-\kappa_0)\kappa_0}{2}$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (i)), it implies that the geometric rank κ_0 of F satisfies $\kappa_0 \leq 2$. Then its geometric rank $\kappa_0 = 1$ or 2.

Suppose first that $\kappa_0 = 2$. Then $N \ge n + \frac{(2n+1-\kappa_0)\kappa_0}{2} = 3n-1$, i.e., $N+1 \ge 3n$.

If $\kappa_0 = 2$ with N+1 > 3n, by Lemma 7.1(i), we have $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = 0$. Differentiating, we obtain $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma} \wedge \theta_{\gamma'}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n} \wedge \theta_{n'}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma'} \wedge \theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{n_{11}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{22}} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{n_{11}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{22}} \wedge \theta_{n_{22}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{11}} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{\gamma''}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n_{12}} \wedge \theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n$

If $\kappa_0 = 2$ with N + 1 = 3n, by Lemma 7.1(ii), we have $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\alpha'} = 0$, i.e., $\theta_{n_{12}}^{\gamma} \wedge \theta_{\gamma'}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n_{12}}^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n-1}^{n-1} \wedge \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} \wedge \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'} + \theta_{n-1}^{\alpha'}$

Next suppose that $\kappa_0 = 1$. By Theorem 3.1 in [HJX06], we can write

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = z_1 f_1^*, \\ f_j = z_j, \quad \forall 2 \le j \le n, \\ \phi_{lk} = \mu_{lk} z_l z_k + z_1 \phi_{lk}^*, \quad \forall (l,k) \in \mathcal{S}_0, \\ \phi_{lk} = z_1 \phi_{lk}^*, \quad \forall (l,k) \in \mathcal{S} \backslash \mathcal{S}_0, \\ g = w \end{cases}$$

where $f_1^* = 1 + \frac{i\mu_1}{2}w + O(|(z, w)|^2)$, and $\phi_{lk}^* = O_{wt}(2), \ \forall (l, k) \in \mathcal{S}_0$. Since $F(z, w) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{N+1}$, we have

$$Im(w) = |z_1 f_1^*|^2 + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|^2 + |z_1|^2 \sum_{(l,k) \in \mathcal{S}} |\phi_{lk}^*|^2, \quad \forall Im(w) = |z|^2,$$

i.e.,

$$0 = |f_1^*|^2 - 1 + \sum_{(l,k) \in \mathcal{S}} |\phi_{lk}^*|^2, \quad \forall Im(w) = |z|^2.$$

Then the mapping $(z, w) \mapsto (f_1^*, \phi_{lk}^*)$ is a proper holomorphic mapping from $\partial \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ into $\partial \mathbb{B}^{N-n+1}$. Since $f_1^* = 1 + \frac{i\mu_1}{2}w + O(|(z, w)|^2)$, we conclude that at least one of the components $\{\phi_{lk}^*\}_{(l,k)\in\mathcal{S}}$ must contain a nonzero w term. This is a contradiction with (73). \Box

Acknowledgments The authors would like to express our gratitude to the referee for pointing our some errors in the original version of this paper. The second author would like to thank Prof. Qing-zhong Li who kindly made arrangement for his visits at the Department of mathematics, Capital Normal University, in 2009 winter and 2010 summer, and would like to thank Prof. X. Huang for his discussion on this problem.

References

[BS76] D. jr. Burns and S. Shnider, Spherical hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, Invent. Mat. 33(1976), 223-246.

- [Car] E. Cartan, Sur les variétés pseudo-conformal des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 11(1932), 17–90.
- [CM] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser, Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, Acta Math. 133(1974), 219–271.
- [H99] X. Huang, On a linearity problem of proper holomorphic mappings between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions, J. of Diff. Geom. 51(1999), 13–33.
- [H03] X. Huang, On a semi-rigidity property for holomorphic maps, Asian J. Math. Vol(7) No. 4(2003), 463-492.
- [Hu04] X. Huang, Lectures on the Local Equivalence Problems for Real Submanifolds in Complex Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1848 (C.I.M.E. Subseries), Springer-Verlag, 2004, 109–163.
- [H06] X. Huang, Isolated complex singularities and their CR links, Science in China Sereis A: Mathematics, vol.49(2006), No.11, 1441-1450.
- [HJ01] X. Huang and S. Ji, *Mapping* \mathbb{B}^n into \mathbb{B}^{2n-1} , Invent Math, **145**(2001), 219–250.
- [HJX05] X. Huang, S. Ji and D. Xu, Several Results for Holomorphic Mappings from \mathbb{B}^n into \mathbb{B}^N , Geometric analysis of PDE and several complex variables, 267–292, Contemp. Math., 368, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [HJX06] X. Huang, S. Ji and D. Xu, A new gap phenomenon for proper holomorphic mappings from \mathbb{B}^n into \mathbb{B}^N , Math. Research Letter, **13**(2006)4, 509-523.
- [IL03] T.A. Ivey and J. Landsberg, Cartan for beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems, Graduate Studies in Math, Vol. 61, AMS. 2003.
- [JX04] S. Ji and D. Xu, Maps between \mathbb{B}^n and \mathbb{B}^N with Geometric Rank κ_0 less than n-1 and Minimum N, Asian J. Math, Vol.8, No.2(2004), 233-258.
- [JY10] S. Ji and Y. Yuan, Flatness of CR submanifolds in a sphere, submitted, 2010.
- [Wang09] S. H. Wang, Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps from \mathbb{B}^{n+1} to \mathbb{B}^{3n-1} , J. Korean Math. Soc. 46(2009), no. 5, 895-905.

Xiaoliang Cheng (chengxiaoliang92@163.com), department of mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, China, and department of mathematics, Jilin Normal University, Siping, 136000, China

Shanyu Ji (shanyuji@math.uh.edu), Department of Mathematics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA.