Department of Mathematics, University of Houston Math 4332. Intro to Real Analysis. David Blecher, Spring 2015 Homework 13 Key.

As usual, exercises marked with * are to be turned in by the graduate students in the class.

(1) f(0,1) = (-1,0), and $f' = \begin{bmatrix} 3u^2 & -2v \\ \cos u & -1/v \end{bmatrix}$, which equals $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ at (0,1). The determinant of this is $2 \neq 0$, so f^{-1} exists in a neighborhood of (-1,0), and $(f^{-1})'(-1,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$, which is easy to compute.

(2) (i) The Jacobian matrix at (0,0,0) is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, which has nonzero determinant (= 1). Thus by the inverse function theorem, it is possible to solve for x, y, and z explicitly in terms of u, v, w, in a neighborhood of the point (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0).

(ii) The Jacobian matrix of derivatives of x, y, and z with respect to u, v, w, at (0, 0, 0) is the inverse matrix of the matrix in (i), that is, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

(iii) Reading the first row of the last matrix, we have $\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = 1, \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = 0.$

(3) $f' = [6x^2 - 6x \quad 6y^2 + 6y]$. Since we wish to solve for y in terms of x by the implicit function, we look at $A = 6y^2 + 6y$, which is not invertible exactly iff y = 0 or y = -1. Solving f(x, 0) = 0 and f(x, -1) = 0 gives points (0,0), (3/2,0), (1,-1), (-1/2,-1) in Z. At all other points of Z there exists a neighborhood in which the equation f(x,y) = 0 can be solved explicitly for y in terms of x by the implicit function theorem. We show at these four points above there is no local explicit solution to f(x, y) = 0. Consider (3/2, 0): for x > 3/2 there is no y close to 0 with f(x,y) = 0 since $2y^3 + 3y^2$ has local minimum 0 when y = 0 and $2x^3 - 3x^2 > 0$ here. A similar argument works for (-1/2, -1). The treatment of (0,0) and (1,-1) are similar so we just consider (0,0). For x close to 0 there are two values y_1, y_2 close to 0 with $f(x, y_1) = f(x, y_2) = 0$ (look at the graphs of $2x^3 - 3x^2$ and of $2y^3 + 3y^2$). Hence there is no g with [y = g(x) iff f(x, y) = 0] (close to (0,0)).

(4) Let
$$f(x, y, u, v, w) = (u^5 + xv^2 - y + w, v^5 + yu^2 - x + w, w^4 + y^5 - x^4)$$
, and we want to solve for (u, v, w) in terms $\begin{bmatrix} 5u^4 & 2xv & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

of (x, y), nearby the point (x, y, u, v, w) = (1, 1, 1, 1, -1). If $\vec{z} = (u, v, w)$ then $f'_{\vec{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2yu & 5v^4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4w^3 \end{bmatrix}$, which

equals $\begin{vmatrix} 5 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{vmatrix}$ at (x, y, u, v, w) = (1, 1, 1, 1, -1). The determinant of this is $-4(21) \neq 0$, so by the implicit

function theorem it is possible to solve for \vec{z} explicitly in terms of x, y, in a neighborhood of the point (x, y) = (1, 1), and this solution is continuously differentiable.

(5) Clearly $f(0,1,-1) = 0, f'(0,1,-1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Here the implicit function theorem tells us to look at the 'matrix' A = 1 (similarly to the first lines of the solution to Q3 above, which is invertible. So by the implicit function theorem there does exist such a differentiable function g. Also by the implicit function theorem $g'(1,-1) = -1^{-1}[0, 1] =$ [0 - 1].

(6*) This is similar in spirit to the related theorem from the notes. Fix $\vec{x} \in S$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\delta > 0$ with $B(\vec{x},\delta) \subset S$ and $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{M\sqrt{n}}$. Let $\vec{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\vec{h}\|_2 < \delta$, and set v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_n be as in that theorem from the notes. Then $|f(\vec{x} + \vec{h}) - f(\vec{x})| = |\sum_{k=1}^{m} f(\vec{x} + v_k) - f(\vec{x} + v_{k-1})| = |\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(\xi_k)h_k|$, after applying the ordinary MVT to $g_j(t) = f(\vec{x} + v_{k-1} + t(v_k - v_{k-1}))$. We obtain $|f(\vec{x} + \vec{h}) - f(\vec{x})| \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} M |h_k| \le M\sqrt{n} ||\vec{h}||_2 < \epsilon$. Hence fis continuous on S.