

HW #2

(7.3.1)

We compare rugby player (r) vs multisport players (m)

We test  $H_0 : \mu_r \leq \mu_m$  against  $H_1 : \mu_r > \mu_m$  with  $\alpha = 0.01$ .Data:  $n_r = 24$ ,  $\bar{x}_r = 27.75$ ,  $s_r = 2.64$ ;  $n_m = 40$ ,  $\bar{x}_m = 22.41$ ,  $s_m = 1.27$ .The sample variance is  $s_p^2 = \frac{(n_r-1)s_r^2 + (n_m-1)s_m^2}{n_r+n_m-2} = 3.600$ .

Test statistic (Student t pdf):

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_r - \bar{x}_m}{\sqrt{\frac{s_p^2}{n_r} + \frac{s_p^2}{n_m}}} = \frac{27.75 - 22.41}{\sqrt{\frac{3.600}{24} + \frac{3.600}{40}}} = 10.90$$

Rejection region:  $t > t_{0.01;63} = 2.390$ Since  $t > t_{0.005;63} = 2.390$ , then  $H_0$  is REJECTED.NOTE: If one assumes that  $\sigma_r$  and  $\sigma_m$  are known and uses the Normal distribution, then Rejection region:  $z > z_{0.01} = 2.326$  and the decision is the same.

(7.3.3)

We compare male patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (o) and healthy male subjects (c).

We test  $H_0 : \mu_o = \mu_c$  against  $H_1 : \mu_o \neq \mu_c$  with  $\alpha = 0.01$ .Data:  $n_o = 26$ ,  $\bar{x}_o = 111.060$ ,  $s_o^2 = 48.398$ ;  $n_c = 37$ ,  $\bar{x}_c = 95.854$ ,  $s_c^2 = 31.237$ . The sample variance is  $s_p^2 = \frac{(n_o-1)s_o^2 + (n_c-1)s_c^2}{n_o+n_c-2} = 38.270$ .

Test statistic (Student t pdf):

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_o - \bar{x}_c}{\sqrt{\frac{s_p^2}{n_o} + \frac{s_p^2}{n_c}}} = \frac{111.060 - 95.854}{\sqrt{\frac{38.270}{26} + \frac{38.270}{37}}} = 9.61$$

Rejection region:  $t > t_{0.005;62} = 2.660$  or  $t < -t_{0.005;62} = -2.660$ Since  $t > t_{0.005;63} = 2.660$ , then  $H_0$  is REJECTED.

(7.4.3)

We compare max pain intensity with metadone (m) or placebo (p). We consider the paired differences  $d_i = p_i - m_i$ Pair t-test. We test  $H_0 : \mu_d \leq 0$  against  $H_1 : \mu_d > 0$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Data:  $n = 11$ ,  $\bar{d} = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=1}^{11} d_i = 9.618$ ,  $s_d^2 = 102.204$ .

Test statistic (Student t pdf):

$$t = \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_d}{s_{\bar{d}}} = \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_d}{s_d/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{9.618}{\sqrt{\frac{102.204}{11}}} = 3.155$$

Rejection region:  $t > t_{0.05;10} = 1.812$

Since  $t > t_{0.05;10} = 1.812$ , then  $H_0$  is REJECTED.

(7.5.1)

We examine proportions of gynecologists-obstetricians in the Flanders who performed at least one cesarean section

We test  $H_0 : p \geq 0.35$  against  $H_1 : p < 0.35$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Data:  $n = 295$ ,  $x = 90$ ,  $\hat{p} = \frac{90}{295} = 0.305$ .

Test statistic (Standard Normal pdf):

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.305 - 0.350}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.35)(0.65)}{295}}} = -1.621$$

Rejection region:  $z < -z_{0.95} = -1.645$

Since  $z > -z_{0.95} = -1.645$ , then  $H_0$  is not REJECTED.

(7.6.2)

We examine rates of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in mothers (m) and fathers (f).

We test  $H_0 : p_f \geq p_m$  against  $H_1 : p_f < p_m$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Data:  $n_f = 175$ ,  $x_f = 28$ ,  $\hat{p}_f = 0.160$ ;  $n_m = 180$ ,  $x_m = 43$ ,  $\hat{p}_m = 0.239$ ;

Test statistic (Standard Normal pdf):

$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m}}$$

where

$$\bar{p} = \frac{x_n + x_f}{n_m + n_f} = \frac{71}{355} = 0.200; \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n_f} + \frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n_m}} = 0.042$$

Hence  $z = (0.160 - 0.239)/0.042 = -1.667$

Rejection region:  $z < -z_{0.95} = -1.645$

Since  $z < -z_{0.95} = -1.645$ , then  $H_0$  is REJECTED.

Quiz #2

(1) *Subjects in a Body Mass Index (BMI) study included a sample of 7 male soccer players and a sample of 8 male rugby players whose measured BMI was*

*Soccer players: 23.5, 22.8, 23.2, 23.6, 22.9, 23.1, 23.6*

*Rugby players: 24.1, 23.8, 24.6, 23.9, 24.9, 24.3, 24.1, 23.6*

*Assume each population sample is normally distributed. Is there sufficient evidence for one to claim that, in general, soccer players have a different BMI than rugby players? Assume that the unknown variances are the same and set  $\alpha = 0.01$ .*

Let  $\mu_s$  and  $\mu_r$  be the means of the BMI distribution of soccer and rugby players respectively

We test  $H_0 : \mu_r = \mu_s$  against  $H_1 : \mu_r \neq \mu_s$  with  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

Using R:

```
> x<-c(23.5, 22.8, 23.2, 23.6, 22.9, 23.1, 23.6)
> y<-c(24.1, 23.8, 24.6, 23.9, 24.9, 24.3, 24.1, 23.6)
> t.test(x,y,alternative = "two.sided", paired = FALSE,var.equal = TRUE)
```

Two Sample t-test

```
data: x and y
t = -4.6047, df = 13, p-value = 0.0004935
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
-1.351111 -0.488175
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
23.24286 24.16250
```

Since  $p - value = 0.0004935 < 0.001$ , then  $H_0$  is REJECTED.

(2) *A study compares the rates of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in mothers (m) and fathers (f). In this study, 28 out of 175 fathers and*

43 out of 180 mothers were found to meet the criteria for PTSD. Is there enough evidence to conclude that fathers are less likely to develop PTSD than mothers. Use  $\alpha = 0.01$  and compute the p-value of the hypothesis testing problem.

We test  $H_0 : p_f \geq p_m$  against  $H_1 : p_f < p_m$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Data:  $n_f = 175$ ,  $x_f = 28$ ,  $\hat{p}_f = 0.160$ ;  $n_m = 180$ ,  $x_m = 43$ ,  $\hat{p}_m = 0.239$ ;

Test statistic (Standard Normal pdf):

$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m}}$$

where

$$\bar{p} = \frac{x_n + x_f}{n_m + n_f} = \frac{71}{355} = 0.200; \quad \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})}{n_f} + \frac{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})}{n_m}} = 0.042$$

Hence  $z = (0.160 - 0.239)/0.042 = -1.667$

Rejection region:  $z < -z_{0.99} = -2.326$

Since  $z > -z_{0.99} = -1.645$ , then  $H_0$  is not REJECTED.

p-value = `pnorm(-1.667)` = 0.0477572

R solution

```
> prop.test(x=c(28,43),n=c(175,180),alternative = "less",conf.level = 0.95, correct
= TRUE)
```

2-sample test for equality of proportions with continuity correction

data: c(28, 43) out of c(175, 180)

X-squared = 2.9759, df = 1, p-value = 0.04226

```
> prop.test(x=c(28,43),n=c(175,180),alternative = "less",conf.level = 0.95, correct
= FALSE)
```

2-sample test for equality of proportions with continuity correction

data: c(28, 43) out of c(175, 180)

X-squared = 3.4514, df = 1, p-value = 0.0316