

4.2 A (a) Let $(x_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. suppose $\lim x_k = a$.

this implies that $\lim \|x_k - a\| = 0$

Since $|\|x_k\| - \|a\|| \leq \|x_k - a\|$.

then $\lim \|x_k\| = \|a\|$.

(b) Converse is False. Let $x_k = ((-1)^k, \dots, (-1)^k)$.

$\lim x_k$ does not exist, but $\lim \|x_k\| = \|(-1, \dots, -1)\|$.

4.2 B

Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| < \infty$.

Let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|$. Since $\lim S_n$ exists, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| = 0$

Also, (S_n) is Cauchy, here given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ s.t. $|S_n - S_m| < \epsilon$ if $n, m > N$

Assume $n > m$. $S_n - S_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| + \sum_{k=1}^m \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|$
 $= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| < \epsilon$ if $n, m > N$

$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \dots + \|x_{m+1} - x_m\| = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|$

This shows that (x_n) is Cauchy.

4.2 E

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be an ONB of M

(a) A sequence $(x_k) \subset M$ converges to $a \in M$ ~~$a \in M$~~

$a = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j v_j$ iff $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_k, v_j \rangle = \alpha_j \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$

Proof Any $x_k \in M$ can be written as

$$x_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle x_k, v_j \rangle v_j$$
 since $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is an ONB of M

• Suppose $\lim x_k = a$.

then $\lim \|x_k - a\|^2 = \lim \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (\langle x_k, v_j \rangle - \langle a, v_j \rangle) v_j \right\|^2 =$
 $= \lim \sum_j |\langle x_k, v_j \rangle - \langle a, v_j \rangle|^2 = \lim \sum_j |\langle x_k, v_j \rangle - \alpha_j|^2$

then $\lim \langle x_k, v_j \rangle = \alpha_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m)$.

• Conversely, suppose $\lim \langle x_k, v_j \rangle = \alpha_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$

By the same sequence of equalities above,

$\lim \|x_k - a\| = 0$.

then $\lim x_k = a$.

(5) Let $(x_n) \subset M$ be a Cauchy seq.

It follows that, given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ s.t.

$$\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon \text{ if } n, m \geq N$$

Since $(x_n) \subset M$, we can write

$$x_n = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle x_n, v_j \rangle v_j$$

As in the calculation in part (a),

$$\|x_n - x_m\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle x_n, v_j \rangle - \langle x_m, v_j \rangle|^2 < \epsilon^2 \text{ if } n, m \geq N$$

This shows that the numerical sequences $(\langle x_n, v_j \rangle)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are

Cauchy for any $1 \leq j \leq m$. Hence $\langle x_n, v_j \rangle \rightarrow \langle y, v_j \rangle$ $1 \leq j \leq m$

Thus, letting $y = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle y, v_j \rangle v_j \in M$, we conclude

that $\lim x_n = y \in M$. This shows that M is complete.

4.3 B

Let $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\lim a_n = a$.

a is clearly a limit point of $S = \{a_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{a\}$

For any $a_n \in S$, the seq. (a_n, a_n, \dots) has limit point $a_n \in S$.

Hence S contains all its limit points and is closed.

4.3 G

As shown in 4.3 L, \mathbb{Q} and hence \mathbb{Q}^n have empty interior but are not closed.

4.3 J

Suppose U open, A closed.

Let $S = U \setminus A = U \cap A^c$. $S^c = U^c \cup A$. This is the union of two closed

sets and must be closed.

\bullet $A \cup U$ can be neither open nor closed. Ex. $A = [0, 1]$, $U = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $A \cup U = (-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

4.3 L

(a) Let $\mathbb{Q} = \text{rationals}$, $\mathbb{I} = \text{irrational}$, $\mathbb{R} = \text{reals}$.

Clearly $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Need to show that $\bar{\mathbb{I}} = \mathbb{R}$.

By the Archimedean property, given any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can find a sequence of irrational numbers converging to x . Hence x is a limit point of \mathbb{I} , $x \in \bar{\mathbb{I}}$.

This shows that $\mathbb{R} \subset \bar{\mathbb{I}}$

(b) By the Archimedean property, given any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, for any $r > 0$, the ball

$B_r(q)$ contains points belonging to \mathbb{I} .

Hence \mathbb{Q} has empty interior.