

# HOMEWORK # 9

5.5 I ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = L$ .

Here, give  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon/2$  if  $0 < x < \delta$

Here  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - L| + |L - f(y)| < \epsilon$  if  $x, y \in (0, \delta]$

If  $x \in [\delta, 1]$ ,  $f$  is unif continuous since the domain is a closed and bounded interval. Thus  $f$  is uniformly continuous

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $f$  is unif continuous on  $(0, 1]$ . Arguing by contradiiction, suppose that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x)$  does not exist. Then, we can find sequences  $(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| > \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . This implies that  $f$  is not uniformly continuous. Contradiction.

5.5.K (a) Suppose  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq M |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $r = (\epsilon/M)^{1/\alpha}$ . If  $|x_1 - x_2| < r$ , then

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq M \left(\frac{\epsilon}{M}\right)^{\alpha/\alpha} = \epsilon. \quad \text{Here } f \text{ is continuous.}$$

(b) Since  $\alpha > 1$ , we can write  $\alpha = 1 + \delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M |x - y|^\alpha = M |x - y| |x - y|^\delta$$

Here  $\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq M |x - y|^\delta$ . This implies that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} = 0$ .

This shows that  $f$  is differentiable and  $f'(x) = 0$ . Hence  $f$  is constant

(c) Suppose  $x, y \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Consider  $f(z) = (z+1)^\alpha - (z^\alpha - 1)$ , for  $z \geq 1$

$$f'(z) = \alpha(z+1)^{\alpha-1} - \alpha z^{\alpha-1} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow (z+1)^{\alpha-1} \geq z^{\alpha-1} \Leftrightarrow z^{1-\alpha} \geq (z+1)^{1-\alpha}$$

This shows that  $f'(z) \geq 0$  if  $z \geq 1$ .

Hence  $f(z) \geq f(1) = 0$  for  $z \geq 1$ .

Let  $z = \frac{x}{y}$ , where  $x \geq y$ . Then we have that

$$\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^\alpha - 1 \leq \left(\frac{x}{y} - 1\right)^\alpha \Rightarrow |x^\alpha - y^\alpha| \leq (x - y)^\alpha$$

5.6 D

If  $P(x)$  is a polynomial of odd degree, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P(x) = \infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} P(x) = -\infty.$$

By IVT, there should be at least one point  $x_0$  when  $P(x_0) = 0$



5.6 F Let  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  when  $S$  is a circle and  $f$  is continuous.

Let  $\gamma(t), t \in [0, 1]$  be a parametrization of the circle.

Since  $f$  is continuous, then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} f(\gamma(t)) = L$

If  $f$  is constant, then it is ~~not~~ one-to-one and the proof is complete.

If  $f$  is not constant, then  $f$  must achieve a max and a min, at some

location  $x_0 = \gamma(t_0)$ . wlog, assume  $f(\gamma(t_0)) \geq M > L$

By IVT  $f$  must assume all values in  $(L, M)$  for  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $(t_0, 1]$ .

Hence  $f$  is not one-to-one.

5.6 H(a) Suppose  $f$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}$  and takes every value EXACTLY TWICE.

Here, there are exactly 2 points  $x_1, x_2$  when  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = 0$ .

By EXTREME VALUE THM,  $f$  has a local max and local min in  $(x_1, x_2)$ .

Note that  $f$  cannot be constant in  $(x_1, x_2)$ , since it has only 2 zeros.

wlog, suppose  $f$  has a local max at  $c \in (x_1, x_2)$ .

Note that it must be  $f(x) < 0$  for  $x < x_1, x > x_2$ .

Hence there are no values of  $x$  for which  $f(x) < f(c)$ . CONTRADICTION.

There cannot be any function as assumed in the problem.

5.7 A (a)  $x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow g(x_1) \geq g(x_2) \Rightarrow f(g(x_1)) \geq f(g(x_2))$

(b)  $x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \geq f(x_2), g(x_1) \geq g(x_2) \Rightarrow f(x_1) + g(x_1) \geq f(x_2) + g(x_2)$

(c)  $x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1)g(x_1) \geq f(x_2)g(x_1) \geq f(x_2)g(x_2)$

5.7 B Suppose  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and one-to-one

For any  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1], 0 \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq 1$  it must be  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$

Assume  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ . By ~~IVT~~  ~~$f$  takes~~  $f(c) > f(x_2)$  or  $f(c) < f(x_1)$

Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $\exists c \in (x_1, x_2)$  s.t.  $f(c) > f(x_2)$  or  $f(c) < f(x_1)$

By IVT, both situations would violate the INJECTIVITY. In the first case

$f$  would take all values between  $f(x_1)$  and  $f(c)$  ~~between~~  $[x_1, c]$  and

all values between  $f(c)$  and  $f(x_2)$  ~~between~~  $[c, x_2]$ , so that there would

be two values when  $f(x) = m \in (f(c), f(x_2))$ .

Similarly for the other case.

This implies that for any  $x$  s.t.  $x_1 < x < x_2$

it must be  $f(x_1) < f(x) < f(x_2)$ .

The argument is exactly the same if we assume that

$f(x_1) > f(x_2)$ . This shows that  $f$  must be strictly INCREASING or DECREASING.

