

3.2.1

Recall that $l_{t,w}(a) = l_{-t,-w}(a) = l_{-t,w}(a+\pi)$

This implies that $RF(t,w(a)) = RF(-t,w(a+\pi))$

3.4.2

Let $f = \chi_{B_1(0)}$, where $B_1(0)$ is a ball of radius 1 and center 0

Denote by $f_a(x) = f(x-a)$. Then $f_a = \chi_{B_1(a)}$.

For $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we can write $a = \langle a, w \rangle w + \langle a, w^\perp \rangle w^\perp$

$$RF_a(w, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_a(sw^\perp + tw) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(sw^\perp + tw - a) ds$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f((s - \langle a, w^\perp \rangle)w^\perp + (t - \langle a, w \rangle)w) ds$$

use $\sigma = s - \langle a, w^\perp \rangle$
 $d\sigma = ds$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\sigma w^\perp + (t - \langle a, w \rangle)w) d\sigma$$

$$= RF(w, t - \langle a, w \rangle)$$

Recall the fact that $RF(w, t) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{1-t^2} & |t| \leq 1 \\ 0 & |t| > 1 \end{cases}$

Thus:

$$RF_a(w, t) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{1 - (t - \langle a, w \rangle)^2} & |t - \langle a, w \rangle| \leq 1 \\ 0 & |t - \langle a, w \rangle| > 1 \end{cases}$$

You can write $\langle a, w \rangle = a_1 \cos \theta + a_2 \sin \theta$, when $a \in (a_1, a_2)$

3.4.6

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} RF(s, w) \varphi(t-s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\sigma w^\perp + s w) d\sigma ds$$

Note that $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ (continuous with compact support) and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$

Hence, by Fubini's theorem, $G(s, \sigma) = f(\sigma w^\perp + s w) \varphi(t-s) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ w

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} RF(s, w) \varphi(t-s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t-s) f(\sigma w^\perp + s w) ds d\sigma = 0$$

since $G(s, \sigma) = 0$ except on a set of measure 0

Implications: The convolution of RF and a continuous filter φ is useful to remove noise outside the support of f .

If, for example, during acquisition, noise produces f on a set of measure zero ~~area~~, the convolution of RF and φ can be used to remove this effect.

Alternative argument

We claimed in class that if $f = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^2 , then $RF = 0$ a.e.

By contradiction, suppose $\int_{\mathbb{R}} RF(s, w) \varphi(t-s) ds \neq 0$ for some $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$
then, $\exists I$ interval s.t. $\int_I RF(s, w) ds > 0$

Thus $\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\sigma w^\perp + s w) dt ds > 0 \rightarrow$ CONTRADICTION

3.4.9

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a line segment of length L

We can find a collection of balls of radius $\frac{1}{n}$ s.t. $I \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x_i)$, where $N = \frac{nL}{2}$

Here N is obtained by placing equally spaced balls centered on I .

$$\text{Now: } \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 = N \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{nL}{2} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{L}{2n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This shows that the measure of the cover of I can be made arbitrarily small. Hence I has measure 0, according to Def 3.4.5 in book

3.4.11

Let $E = \{(t, \omega) : \omega \in S^1\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1$

Under the map $(t, \theta) \mapsto (t, \omega(\theta))$, the set E is isomorphic to the line segment

$\{0\} \times (0, 2\pi)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi)$.

By Ex. 3.4.4, the segment has measure 0 in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 2\pi]$

4.2.7

By direct calculation (in class), when $k=0$, $h_0(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(h_0) = \sqrt{2\pi} h_0$$

claim: $\lambda_k = (-i)^k \sqrt{2\pi}$

Suppose $\mathcal{F}(h_k) = \lambda_k h_k$

Use the notation $DF(x) = \frac{df}{dx}(x)$, $Mf(x) = xf(x)$. Then: $h_{k+1}(x) = (D-M)^k h_0(x)$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(h_{k+1}) &= \mathcal{F}((D-M)h_k) = iM\mathcal{F}(h_k) - iD\mathcal{F}(h_k) \\ &= (-i)(D-M)\mathcal{F}(h_k) \\ &= (-i)(D-M)\lambda_k h_k = (-i)(-i)^k \sqrt{2\pi} (D-M)h_k \\ &= (-i)^{k+1} \sqrt{2\pi} h_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

In first line, I used property that $\mathcal{F}(DF) = iM\mathcal{F}(f)$, $\mathcal{F}(Mf) = -iD\mathcal{F}(f)$
these formulae were proven in class.

Note: $h_1(x) = (D-M)h_0(x) = -2x e^{-x^2/2}$

$$h_2(x) = (D-M)h_1(x) = (-2 + 4x^2) e^{-x^2/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(x) &= (D-M)h_2(x) = 8x e^{-x^2/2} - x(-2 + 4x^2) e^{-x^2/2} + \cancel{(-10x^3 + 4x^3) e^{-x^2/2}} \\ &= (12x - 8x^3) e^{-x^2/2} \end{aligned}$$