

# Laplace Equation Problem VI

**PROBLEM:** Suppose that  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  and each of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is a function defined on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Find the solution  $u$  to Laplace's equation in polar coordinates

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) = 0 \quad (1)$$

in the annulus consisting of all  $(r, \theta)$  where

$$r_1 \leq r \leq r_2 \text{ and } -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$$

subject to

$$u(r, -\pi) = u(r, \pi) \text{ for } r_1 \leq r \leq r_2, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, -\pi) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, \pi) \text{ for } r_1 \leq r \leq r_2, \quad (3)$$

$$u(r_1, \theta) = f_1(\theta) \text{ for } -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, \text{ and} \quad (4)$$

$$u(r_2, \theta) = f_2(\theta) \text{ for } -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi. \quad (5)$$

Then find the solution in case  $r_1 = 3$ ,  $r_2 = 6$ ,  $f_1(\theta) = 5 \cos \theta$ , and  $f_2(\theta) = 10 \sin \theta$ .

**SOLUTION:** Suppose that  $u$  is an elementary separated solution to (1). This means

$$u(r, \theta) = \varphi(\theta)G(r)$$

for some pair of one-place functions  $\varphi$  and  $G$ . Inserting this into (1), we have

$$\varphi(\theta)G''(r) + \frac{1}{r}\varphi(\theta)G'(r) + \frac{1}{r^2}\varphi''(\theta)G(r) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Assuming for now that

$$u(r, \theta) \neq 0,$$

and dividing each side of (6) by

$$\frac{\varphi(\theta)G(r)}{r^2}$$

we have

$$r^2 \frac{G''(r)}{G(r)} + r \frac{G'(r)}{G(r)} = -\frac{\varphi''(\theta)}{\varphi(\theta)}$$

This holds for all  $r$  with  $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$  and  $\theta$  with  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ; so there is a constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$r^2 \frac{G''(r)}{G(r)} + r \frac{G'(r)}{G(r)} = -\frac{\varphi''(\theta)}{\varphi(\theta)} = \lambda \quad (7)$$

for all  $r$  with  $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$  and  $\theta$  with  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . From (7) we then have

$$-\varphi''(\theta) = \lambda\varphi(\theta) \text{ for all } \theta \text{ in } [-\pi, \pi] \quad (8)$$

and

$$r^2 G''(r) + rG'(r) - \lambda G(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \text{ in } [r_1, r_2]. \quad (9)$$

It is worth noting that if

$$u(r, \theta) = \varphi(\theta)G(r)$$

and (8) and (9) hold, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) &= \varphi(\theta)G''(r) + \frac{1}{r}\varphi(\theta)G'(r) + \frac{1}{r^2}\varphi''(\theta)G(r) \\ &= \varphi(\theta) \left( G''(r) + \frac{1}{r}G'(r) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{r^2}\varphi''(\theta)G(r) \right) \\ &= \varphi(\theta)\lambda \frac{1}{r^2}G(r) - \frac{1}{r^2}\lambda\varphi(\theta)G(r) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so the PDE (1) will be satisfied, and we no longer need to assume that  $u(r, \theta) \neq 0$ .

Continuing with our assumption that

$$u(r, \theta) = \varphi(\theta)G(r)$$

we have from conditions (2) and (3) which stated that

$$u(r, -\pi) = u(r, \pi) \text{ for } r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, -\pi) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, \pi) \text{ for } r_1 \leq r \leq r_2,$$

that either  $G(r) = 0$  for all  $r$  in  $[r_1, r_2]$  which we reject because of (3) and (4) (which stated that  $u(r_1, \theta) = f_1(\theta)$  and  $u(r_2, \theta) = f_2(\theta)$  for  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ) or

$$\varphi(-\pi) = \varphi(\pi) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\varphi'(-\pi) = \varphi'(\pi) \tag{11}$$

which we then must accept.

The two-point boundary value problem consisting of (8), (10), and (11) (which we repeat here)

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi'' &= \lambda\varphi \text{ on } [-\pi, \pi] \\ \varphi(-\pi) &= \varphi(\pi), \text{ and} \\ \varphi'(-\pi) &= \varphi'(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

is one which we have studied. A proper listing of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for this problem is  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  where

$$\lambda_0 = 0, \varphi_0(\theta) = 1 \text{ for } -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{2k-1} &= \lambda_{2k} = k^2 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \varphi_{2k-1}(\theta) &= \cos k\theta, \text{ and } \varphi_{2k}(\theta) = \sin k\theta \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{ and } -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (9) is a Cauchy-Euler equation. When  $\lambda = 0$  then

$$G(r) = c_1 + c_2 \ln r,$$

and when  $k$  is a positive integer and  $\lambda = k^2$  then

$$G(r) = c_1 r^k + c_2 r^{-k}.$$

Considering the possible combinations, we expect the solution to (1)-(5) to be of the form

$$u(r, \theta) = A_0 + B_0 \ln r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ (A_k r^k + B_k r^{-k}) \cos k\theta + (C_k r^k + D_k r^{-k}) \sin k\theta \right]. \quad (12)$$

for  $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$  and  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ .

In order that (4) and (5) hold it is necessary and sufficient that

$$f_1(\theta) = A_0 + B_0 \ln r_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ (A_k r_1^k + B_k r_1^{-k}) \cos k\theta + (C_k r_1^k + D_k r_1^{-k}) \sin k\theta \right] \quad (13)$$

and

$$f_2(\theta) = A_0 + B_0 \ln r_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ (A_k r_2^k + B_k r_2^{-k}) \cos k\theta + (C_k r_2^k + D_k r_2^{-k}) \sin k\theta \right] \quad (14)$$

for  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . Equations (13) and (14) express  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  as limits of Fourier series. Thus

$$A_0 + B_0 \ln r_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_j(\theta) d\theta \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2, \quad (15)$$

$$A_k r_j^k + B_k r_j^{-k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_j(\theta) \cos k\theta d\theta \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2, \quad (16)$$

and

$$C_k r_j^k + D_k r_j^{-k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_j(\theta) \sin k\theta d\theta \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2. \quad (17)$$

Equations (15) give two linear equations in the unknowns  $A_0$  and  $B_0$ ; equations (16) give two linear equations in the unknowns  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ; and equations (17) give two linear equations in the unknowns  $C_k$  and  $D_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We have  $r_1 \neq r_2$ ; so in each case, the coefficient matrix is nonsingular. If the functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are reasonable, the solution to (1)-(5) is given by (12) where the coefficients are determined by (15)-(17).

**Example 1** Find the solution in case  $r_1 = 3$ ,  $r_2 = 6$ ,  $f_1(\theta) = 5 \cos \theta$ , and  $f_2(\theta) = 10 \sin \theta$ .

**Solution:** The functions  $\{\varphi_k\}$  are orthogonal on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $\langle \varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle = 2\pi$ ,  $\langle \varphi_k, \varphi_k \rangle = \pi$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $f_1 = 5\varphi_1$ , and  $f_2 = 10\varphi_2$ . Thus

$$A_0 + B_0 \ln r_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2,$$

$$A_1 r_1^1 + B_1 r_1^{-1} = 5,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 r_2^1 + B_1 r_2^{-1} &= 0, \\
A_k r_j^k + B_k r_j^{-k} &= 0 \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2 \text{ and } k = 2, 3, \dots, \\
C_1 r_1^1 + D_1 r_1^{-1} &= 0, \\
C_1 r_2^1 + D_1 r_2^{-1} &= 10,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_k r_j^k + D_k r_j^{-k} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } j = 2 \text{ and } k = 2, 3, \dots$$

From these it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= -\frac{5}{9}, \\
B_1 &= 20, \\
C_1 &= \frac{20}{9}, \\
D_1 &= -20,
\end{aligned}$$

and all other coefficients are zero. Thus

$$u(r, \theta) = \left(-\frac{5r}{9} + \frac{20}{r}\right) \cos \theta + \left(\frac{20r}{9} - \frac{20}{r}\right) \sin \theta.$$