

Math 4397/6397, Fall 2009
Problem Set 6, due Thursday, Oct 8

- Problem 1. In this problem we will verify that standardized means of iid normal data follow Gossett's t distribution. Randomly generate $1,000 \times 20$ normals with mean 5 and variance 2. Place these results in a matrix with 1,000 rows. Using two apply statements on the matrix, create two vectors, one of the sample mean from each row and one of the sample standard deviation from each row. From these 1,000 means and standard deviations, create 1,000 outcomes which come from a t distribution. Now use R's `rt` function to directly generate 1,000 outcomes of t random variables with 19 df. Compare the p th quantiles for $p = 0.1, p = 0.2, \dots$, and $p = 0.9$ for both the constructed t random variables and R's t random variables. Do the quantiles seem to agree?
- Problem 2. Here we will verify the chi-squared result. Simulate 1,000 sample variances of 20 observations from a normal distribution with mean 5 and variance 2. Convert these sample variances so that they should be chi-squared random variables with 19 degrees of freedom. Now simulate 1,000 random chi-squared variables with 19 degrees of freedom using R's `rchisq` function. Compare the p th quantiles for $p = 0.1, p = 0.2, \dots$, and $p = 0.9$ for both the constructed t random variables and R's t random variables. Do the quantiles seem to agree? Describe why they should.
- Problem 3. If X_1, \dots, X_n are iid $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then we know that $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2$ is chi-squared with $n-1$ degrees of freedom. Use the fact that $E[S^2] = \sigma^2$ to derive the expected value of the chi-squared distribution.
- Problem 4. You repeat Mendel's experiment at home. Let p denote the unknown proportion of peas in your garden that are wrinkled. Suppose that $X = 12$ of a sample of $n = 20$ peas collected at random locations are found to be wrinkled.
- Use the CLT to create a 95% confidence interval for the true proportion of peas that are wrinkled. Interpret your results.
 - You plan a much larger study. How large should n be to have a margin of error (half the width of the confidence interval) no larger than .01 for estimating the proportion of wrinkled peas with a 95% confidence interval? Use the fact that $p(1-p) \leq 1/4$. Also try the calculation with the estimate of p from the current study.
- Problem 5. This problem investigates the performance of the Wald confidence interval.
- Using R, generate 1000 observations $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{1000}$ of a Binomial random variable X for $n = 10$ and $p = .3$ Calculate the percentage of times that
$$\hat{p} \pm 1.96\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})/n}$$
contains the true value of p . Here for each i , $\hat{p} = x_i/n$ where x_i is the observation of the binomial variable. Do the intervals appear to have the coverage that they are supposed to?

- b. Repeat the calculation only now use the interval

$$\tilde{p} \pm 1.96\sqrt{\tilde{p}(1-\tilde{p})/n}$$

where $\tilde{p} = (x_i + 2)/(n + 4)$. Does the coverage appear to be closer to .95?

- c. Repeat this comparison (parts a. - d.) for $p = .1$ and $p = .5$. Which of the two intervals appears to perform better?