

# Lecture Notes from 22 September 2022

taken by Sean Campbell

## Last time

- Properties of Representations
  - non-degeneracy
  - irreducibility
  - cyclicity
- Invariant Subspaces and Projections

## Warm up:

*1.2 Question.* Is the involutive structure necessary for us to decompose Hilbert spaces into direct sums of invariant subspaces?

*1.3 Answer.* Yes, we can construct a counter example when the representation does not respect the involutive structure.

Consider the semigroup  $S = \mathbb{N}$ , with addition. Let  $\pi$  be a semigroup representation given by

$$\pi(1) = S^*$$

where  $S^* : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  is the shift operator  $(S^*(x))_j = \begin{cases} 0, & j = 1 \\ x_{j-1}, & j \geq 2 \end{cases}$ .

*1.4 Question.* What are the invariant subspaces of  $\ell^2$  under the action of  $\pi$ ?

Cheaply, we see that  $\{0\}$  and  $\ell^2$  are invariant, but additionally, the fact that  $S^*$  always leaves a 0 as the first element of a sequence in  $\ell^2$  leads us to consider the family of subspaces

$$V_k := \{x \in \ell^2 : x_j = 0 \forall j < k\}.$$

Each  $V_k$  is an invariant subspace, but their nesting is suspicious. To check our suspicions, we note that if  $k \geq 2$ , then we may denote  $P_k$  to represent the orthogonal projection onto  $V_k$  and see that

$$\begin{aligned} P_k \pi(1) e_{k-1} &= P_k S^* e_{k-1} \\ &= P_k e_k = e_k \\ &\neq 0 \\ &= \pi(1) 0 \\ &= \pi(1) P_k e_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the nice properties for involutive semigroups regarding projections onto invariant subspaces truly rely on the presence of the involution.

## Finishing the proof from last time

Picking up where we left off, we wanted to show that for any representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  of an involutive semigroup  $S$  and a closed subspace  $E \subset \mathcal{H}$  the following are equivalent:

1.  $E$  is invariant under  $\pi(S)$
2.  $E^\perp$  is invariant under  $\pi(S)$
3.  $P_E \pi(s) = \pi(s) P_E, \forall s \in S$ .

*Proof.* We left off last time having shown  $1 \iff 2 \implies 3$ . So we now assume that the projection onto  $E$  commutes with any realization of the representation. Then if we choose  $v \in E$  and  $s \in S$  we have

$$\pi(s)v = \pi(s)P_E v = P_E \pi(s)v \in E.$$

Thus,  $E$  is invariant under  $\pi(S)$ . □

*1.5 Question.* How serious is the non-degeneracy issue?

*1.6 Answer.* Not that serious, as we will see in the next Theorem.

**1.7 Theorem.** *If  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  is a representation of an involutive semigroup  $S$  then*

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{v \in \mathcal{H} : \forall s \in S, \pi(s)v = 0\}$$

*is a closed subspace. Moreover,  $\pi$  is non-degenerate when restricted to  $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\pi(S)\mathcal{H})^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* To see that  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is closed, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= \{v \in \mathcal{H} : \forall s \in S, \pi(s)v = 0\} \\ &= \bigcap_{s \in S} \{v \in \mathcal{H} : \pi(s)v = 0\} \\ &= \bigcap_{s \in S} \ker(\pi(s)) \end{aligned}$$

Which is the intersections of closed spaces. Using the relationship between the orthogonal complement and adjoint we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= \bigcap_{s \in S} \ker(\pi(s)) = \bigcap_{s \in S} ((\pi(s))^* \mathcal{H})^\perp \\ &= \bigcap_{s \in S} (\pi(s^*) \mathcal{H})^\perp = \bigcap_{s \in S} (\pi(s) \mathcal{H})^\perp \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{s \in S} \pi(s) \mathcal{H} \right)^\perp = (\pi(S)\mathcal{H})^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp = \overline{\text{span}(\pi(S)\mathcal{H})}$ . By the previous lemma, we get that  $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp$  is invariant by the invariance of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , and restricting  $\pi$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp$  yields a non-degenerate representation by construction as  $\pi(S)\mathcal{H} = \pi(S)(\mathcal{H}_0^\perp)$  so  $\text{span}(\pi(S)(\mathcal{H}_0^\perp))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp$ . □

The preceding theorem gives an example of how to decompose a Hilbert space into invariant subspaces under a representation. Conversely, we may combine representations via the next theorem.

**1.8 Theorem.** *Let  $(\pi_j, \mathcal{H}_j)$  be a family of representations of the same involutive semigroup  $S$  indexed by the set  $J$ . If  $\sup_{j \in J} \|\pi_j(s)\| < \infty \forall s \in S$ , then for  $v = (v_j)_{j \in J} \in \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_j$ ,*

$$(\pi(s)v)_j \equiv \pi_j(s)v_j$$

*defines a representation of  $S$  on  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_j$ .*

*Proof.* First, we verify that  $\pi(s)$  maps  $\mathcal{H}$  to itself. For  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $s \in S$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(s)v\|^2 &= \sum_{j \in J} \|\pi_j(s)v_j\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in J} \|\pi_j(s)\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in J} \sup_{k \in J} \|\pi_k(s)\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{k \in J} \|\pi_k(s)\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} \|v_j\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of each  $\pi_j$  being a homomorphism, so too is  $\pi$ . Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(s)v, w \rangle &= \sum_{j \in J} \langle \pi_j(s)v_j, w_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \langle v_j, (\pi_j(s))^* w_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \langle v_j, \pi_j(s^*) w_j \rangle \\ &= \langle v, \pi(s^*) w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So  $(\pi(s))^* = \pi(s^*)$ . □

**1.9 Definition.** The representation that combines  $(\pi_j, \mathcal{H}_j)$  as above is called the *direct sum representation* and is denoted by

$$\pi = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \pi_j$$

**1.10 Lemma** (Decomposition of non-degenerate representations into cyclic components). *Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a representation of an involutive semigroup  $S$  and  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\pi$  is cyclic when restricted to  $\overline{\text{span}(\pi(S)v)}$ . Additionally, if  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, then  $v \in \overline{\text{span}(\pi(S)v)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \overline{\text{span}(\pi(S)v)}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is invariant under  $\pi(S)$  and so is  $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp \equiv \mathcal{H}_2$  by our characterization of invariant subspaces. Hence, we may write  $v \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  uniquely as  $v = v_1 + v_2$  where  $v_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $v_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ . So if  $s \in S$  we know

$$\mathcal{H}_2 \ni \pi(s)v_2 = \pi(s)(v - v_1) = \pi(s)v - \pi(s)v_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \implies \pi(s)v_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\}.$$

Thus,  $\overline{\pi(s)v} = \overline{\pi(s)v_1}$ , and  $v_1$  is a cyclic vector for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . If the representation is non-degenerate, then  $\overline{\text{span}(\pi(S)\mathcal{H})} = \mathcal{H}$ . So

$$\{0\} = (\pi(S)\mathcal{H})^\perp = ((\pi(S))^*\mathcal{H})^\perp = \ker(\pi(S)) \ni v_2$$

and  $v = v_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . □