

Functional Analysis II, Math 7321

Lecture Notes from March 07, 2017

taken by Zainab Alshair

Last Time: Spectrum of multiplication operators and complemented subspaces.

3.B More Examples of Complemented Subspaces

3. Every closed subspace E in a Hilbert space is complemented by E^\perp .

4. If

$$H^p = \overline{\text{span}}\{e^{2\pi inx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in L^p([0, 1]), \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

then H^p is complemented by

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{e^{2\pi inx}\}_{n < 0}$$

It will be shown that projections do in fact provide an equivalent formulation of complemented subspaces.

3.20 Definition. An operator $P \in B(X)$ is a **projection** if $P^2 = P$.

3.21 Claim. $\ker P = \text{ran}(I - P)$.

Proof. If $Px = 0$, then $x = x - Px = (I - P)x$, and so if $x \in \ker P$, then $x \in \text{ran}(I - P)$. Conversely, if $y = (I - P)x$, then

$$Py = P(I - P)x = (P - P^2)x = Px - Px = 0.$$

So if $y \in \text{ran}(I - P)$, then $y \in \ker P$. □

The following two theorems are the equivalent formulation of complemented subspaces provided by projections; the first theorem is the "easy" direction of such equivalence and part of the second is its converse.

3.22 Theorem. *If P is a projection, then $\text{ran} P$ is closed and complemented by $\ker P$.*

Proof. Let $Q = I - P$. Then Q is a projection, $\ker Q = \text{ran}(I - Q)$ by the previous claim, and $\text{ran}(I - Q) = \text{ran}P$. Moreover, $\text{ran}P$ is complemented by $\ker P = \text{ran}(I - P)$ because if $x \in \text{ran}P = \ker(I - P)$ and $x \in \ker$, then

$$0 = (I - P)x = x.$$

Finally, for any $z \in X$, z is given by

$$z = Pz + (I - P)z$$

where $Pz \in \text{ran}P$ and $(I - P)z \in \ker P$. □

3.23 Theorem. *A closed subspace E of X is complemented if and only if there is a projection $P \in B(X)$ such that $P^2 = P$ with $E = \text{ran}P$.*

Proof. If there is a projection, then by the theorem above, $E = \text{ran}P$ is complemented. Conversely, let F be a complementary subspace to E . If $z \in X$, with $x \in E$ and $y \in F$ being unique, one can write $z = x + y$. Let $Pz = x$, then by uniqueness, this is a well-defined linear map. Also, $\text{ran}P = E$ because if $x \in E$ and $0 \in F$, then

$$x = x + 0 \Rightarrow Px = x.$$

Moreover,

$$P^2z = P(Pz) = Px = x = Pz, \quad Pz \in \text{ran}P.$$

Hence, $P^2 = P$.

To show $P \in B(X)$, consider the graph of $P \in X \oplus X$ with norm $\|(z, x)\| = \|z\| + \|x\|$, and let $(z_n, x_n) \rightarrow (z, x)$. Then $z_n = x_n + y_n$ where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \in E$ and $y_n \in F$. So, $Pz_n = x_n \rightarrow x \in E$ by $\overline{E} = E$. Consequently, $y_n = z_n - x_n \rightarrow z - x \in F$ since F is closed. Thus, $z = x + (z - x)$ and $Pz = x$. Therefore, the limit is in the graph of P and hence P has a closed graph. Using the Closed Graph theorem, P is bounded. □

Complemented subspaces can also be used to study weak forms of invertibility. Given Banach spaces X and Y , if $T \in B(X, Y)$, then T is said to be **left-invertible** if there is $S \in B(Y, X)$ such that $ST = I_X$.

3.24 Theorem. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $T \in B(X, Y)$. Then T is left-invertible if and only if T is injective and $\text{ran}T$ is closed and complemented.*

Proof. If T is injective and $\text{ran}T$ is closed and complemented, then taking P as the projection onto $\text{ran}T$,

$$T_0 = P \circ T : X \rightarrow \text{ran}T$$

is a projection onto a Banach space, so it is invertible by the open mapping theorem [W. Rudin, Theorem 2.11, (1)]. Hence, if $S = T_0^{-1}P$, then $ST = T_0^{-1}PT = I_X$ where $PT = T_0$. Therefore, T is left-invertible. On the other hand, if $S \in B(Y, X)$ is such that $ST = I_X$, then T is injective and

$$(TS)^2 = (TS)(TS) = T(ST)S = TS.$$

So, TS is a projection with $\text{ran}(TS) \subset \text{ran}T$, but

$$\text{ran}T = \text{ran}(TST) \subset \text{ran}(TS)$$

and hence $\text{ran}T = \text{ran}(TS)$. Therefore, $\text{ran}T$ is the range of the projection that is closed and complemented 3.2.23. \square

A second look at the result on ergodicity; recall that to show $A_n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n T^j x \rightarrow \bar{T}x$, one must assume power boundedness, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty$.

3.25 Proposition. *If $T \in B(X)$ is power bounded, then $r(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. From $\|T^n\| \leq C$, one gets

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1.$$

It was shown that $\bar{T}x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n x = y$ with $Ty = y$, equivalently, $y \in \ker(I - T)$. Conversely, if $y \in \ker(I - T)$, then $A_n y = y$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\bar{T}y = y$. Therefore, $\bar{T}(X) = \ker(I - T)$. \square

One can also characterize the kernel of \bar{T} . From the statement on complementary projection, the $\ker \bar{T} = \text{ran}(I - \bar{T})$.

3.26 Corollary. *If \bar{T} is as above, then the spaces $E = \ker \bar{T}$ and $F = \text{ran} \bar{T}$ are complementary and $F = \ker(I - T)$.*

References

[1] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, 2nd edition, McGraw Hill, 1991.