

# MATH 7321 Lecture Notes

Note-taker: Kumari Teena

April 6, 2023

## Last Time:

- States and convex cones.
- The GNS construction.

## Warm up: Examples of the GNS construction.

We know that given a state  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is a positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\|\phi\| = 1$ , the GNS construction associates to  $\phi$  a Hilbert space representation  $\pi_\phi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  together with a cyclic vector  $v$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  such that  $\phi(a) = \langle \pi_\phi(a)v, v \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . We have following examples for GNS construction.

(a) Take  $\mathcal{A} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\phi(a) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[a]$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_\phi = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{tr}[ab^*]$  and  $\pi_\phi(s)a = sa$ . The cyclic vector is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}I$ , because

$$\frac{1}{n} \langle \pi(s)1, 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \langle s, 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[s] = \phi(s) .$$

(b) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then for  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ , with  $\|v\| = 1$  and  $\phi(a) = \langle av, v \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It is easy to verify that  $\phi$  is a positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\|\phi\| = 1$ . So we can apply the GNS construction to obtain a Hilbert space representation  $\pi_\phi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ .

Then, the GNS construction gives  $\pi_\phi(s) = s$ . This follows from the fact that  $\phi(a) = \langle av, v \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , which implies that  $\phi(a) = \langle \pi_\phi(a)v, v \rangle = \langle av, v \rangle$ . Since  $v$  is a unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ , this implies that  $\pi_\phi(a)v = av$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $\pi_\phi(a) = a$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Hence, in this case, the GNS construction does not give rise to a new Hilbert space or a new representation of  $\mathcal{A}$ , but simply recovers the original Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and the identity representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Next, We examine consequences of the GNS construction.

## Cyclic Representations vs GNS Construction

**Theorem 1.** *A representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is cyclic if and only if it is equivalent to  $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi)$ , with  $\phi$  a state on  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a state and  $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi)$  the associated GNS representation. We need to show  $\phi$  is cyclic.

Indeed  $\phi = K_1$ ,  $\pi_\phi(s)K_1 = K_{s^*}$ . So,  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})\phi = \mathcal{H}^0$ , which is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . (This is already given in the proof of previous Theorem in the notes from April 4, 2023)

Conversely, let  $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$  be cyclic. So, there is  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|v\| = 1$ , which gives

$$\phi(s) = \langle \rho(s)v, v \rangle, \quad \phi \in \rho(\mathcal{A}) .$$

We want to show  $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$  and  $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi)$  are equivalent.

Consider, the map  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\phi$ , defined by

$$\Phi(w)(s) = \langle \rho(s)w, v \rangle,$$

then  $\Phi$  is injective.

If  $\phi(w) = 0$ , then  $\langle \rho(s)w, v \rangle = \langle w, \rho(s^*)v \rangle = 0$ , for each  $s \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Hence,  $w \in (\rho(\mathcal{A})v)^\perp = \{0\}$ .

We also note that  $\Phi \circ \rho(s) = \pi(s) \circ \Phi$ , because for  $w \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi(s)\Phi(w))(x) &= \Phi(w)(xs) \\
&= \langle \rho(xs)w, v \rangle \\
&= \langle \rho(x)\rho(s)w, v \rangle \\
&= \Phi(\rho(s)w)(x) .
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\Phi$  is unitary. To see this we observe that  $\Phi(v) = \phi$  and by the intertwining relationship

$$\Phi(\rho(\mathcal{A})v) = \pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})\phi = \mathcal{H}^0 .$$

For  $s, t \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi(\rho(s)v), \Phi(\rho(t)v) \rangle &= \langle \pi_\phi(s)\phi, \pi_\phi(t)\phi \rangle \\
&= \langle \pi_\phi(t^*)\pi_\phi(s)\phi, \phi \rangle \\
&= \langle \pi_\phi(t^*s)\phi, \phi \rangle \\
&= \langle \rho(t^*s)v, v \rangle \\
&= \langle \rho(s)v, \rho(t^*v) \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Phi|_{\rho(\mathcal{A})v} : \rho(\mathcal{A})v \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0$  is an isometry, which extends to  $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\phi$ . Since  $\text{range}(\Phi)$  is contained/dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

By continuity,  $\tilde{\phi}$  is an isometry and its range is  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since, an isometry between two Hilbert spaces is also a unitary operator, so  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a unitary operator between  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ .

Also, we know that, in  $C^*$ -algebras two representations are equivalent if there exists a unitary operator between the Hilbert spaces on which the representations act, which intertwines the representations. Thus, representations  $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$  and  $(\pi_\pi, \mathcal{H}_\phi)$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$