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Why wavelets?

The scoop about wavelets:

- Similar filtering capabilities as Fourier series/transform.
- Adaptability to typical signals.
- Good for compression and denoising.
- Numerical implementation as fast as FFT.
- Wavelet design based on digital filters.

More information about analog-digital conversion, filtering, wavelet design and applications in MATH 4355, “Mathematics of Signal Representations” (From Fourier to Wavelets in 1 Semester) in Spring 2009!
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The typical examples of vector spaces with an inner product are given by *sequences* or by *functions*. A fundamental relationship between vectors in inner product spaces is orthogonality.

**Definition**

Let $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the vector space of all (bi-infinite) sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < \infty$. For $x, y \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we define an **inner product**

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n \overline{y_n}.$$  

This means, we can measure the “length” of a square-summable sequence $x$, the **norm** $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ and an “angle” $\theta$ between two non-zero sequences $x$ and $y$ by

$$\cos \theta = \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|}{\|x\| \|y\|}.$$  

We call them **orthogonal** if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.  
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Example

An example for an inner product space of functions is given by all trigonometric polynomials, convention: \( i = \sqrt{-1} \),

\[
V = \left\{ p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, p(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} c_k e^{2\pi i kt}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ all } c_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\},
\]
equipped with the inner product

\[
\langle v, w \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} v(t)\overline{w(t)} \, dt.
\]
An orthogonal pair of (real-valued) polynomials

A nearly collinear pair of polynomials
Because of the orthogonality of complex exponentials, the inner product of two trigonometric polynomials $v$ and $w$ is expressed in terms of their coefficients $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \overline{d_k}.$$  

The space of sequences can be thought of as the space of digitized signals, given by coefficients stored in a computer. The function space of trig polynomials, on the other hand, can be thought of as a space of analog signals. We have just converted the inner product from an integral to a series, from analog to digital, without changing it!
We can make a more general type of function space by linear combinations of complex exponentials of the form \( e^{2\pi i nt/(b-a)} \).

**Definition**

Let \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b \), then we define

\[
L^2([a, b]) = \left\{ f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}, f(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi i k t/(b-a)}, c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}
\]

and for two such square-integrable functions \( f \) and \( g \), we write

\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \overline{g(t)} \, dt.
\]

Again, the inner product of \( f \) and \( g \) can be rewritten as inner product of their coefficients in \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \).
Orthogonality and basis expansions

**Definition**

Let $V$ be a vector space with an inner product. A set $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_N\}$ is called **orthonormal** if $\|e_i\| = 1$ and $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. We call $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_N\}$ an **orthonormal basis** for its linear span. Given an infinite orthonormal set $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we say that it is an orthonormal basis for all vectors obtained from summing the basis vectors with square-summable coefficients.

**Definition**

Two subspaces $V_1, V_2$ are called **orthogonal**, abbreviated $V_1 \perp V_2$, if all pairs $(x, y)$ with $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$ are orthogonal.
We consider two examples of subspaces of $L^2([a, b])$:

**Example**

Let $V_0$ be the complex subspace of $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ given by

$$V_0 = \{ f(x) = c_1 \cos x + c_2 \sin x \text{ for } c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$

Then the set $\{e_1, e_2\}$,

$$e_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos x \text{ and } e_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin x,$$

is an orthonormal basis for $V_0$. 
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Example

Another subspace of of \( L^2([0, 1]) \) is the space of functions which are (almost everywhere) constant on \([0, 1/2)\) and \([1/2, 1]\). It has the orthonormal basis \( \{\phi, \psi\} \) with

\[
\phi(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & 0 \leq x < 1/2 \\
-1, & 1/2 \leq x \leq 1 
\end{cases}
\]

Such finite-dimensional subspaces of \( L^2([a, b]) \) are often chosen to specify approximations of signals.
Once we have orthonormal bases, we can use them to expand vectors.

**Theorem**

Let $V_0$ be a subspace of an inner product space $V$, and \{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_N\} an orthonormal basis for $V_0$. Then for all $v \in V_0$,

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k .$$

**Question**

What is the result

$$\hat{v} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k$$

if $v \notin V_0$?

It turns out that $\hat{v}$ is the best you can get with a linear combination from $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_N\}$. 
Orthogonal projections

Theorem

Let $V_0$ be an inner product space, $V_0$ an $N$-dimensional subspace with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$. Then for $v \in V$,

$$\hat{v} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k$$

satisfies

$$\langle v - \hat{v}, w_0 \rangle = 0$$

for all $w_0 \in V_0$.

Since the difference vector $v - \hat{v}$ is orthogonal to $V_0$, we call $\hat{v}$ the **orthogonal projection** of $v$ onto $V_0$. 
A least squares property

Here is why the vector $\hat{v}$ is the “best possible” choice in the subspace $V_0$:

**Theorem**

Let $V_0$ be a finite-dimensional subspace of an inner product space $V$. Then for any $v \in V$, its orthogonal projection $\hat{v}$ onto $V_0$ has the least-squares property

$$\|v - \hat{v}\|^2 = \min_{w \in V_0} \|v - w\|^2.$$
Exercise

Given $V_0 \subset L^2([0, \pi])$ which has the orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^N$ of functions $e_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(kx)$. Compute the projection of the constant function $f(x) = C$, $C \in \mathbb{R}$, onto $V_0$.

This exercise amounts to computing the first $N$ terms in the Fourier sine expansion of $f$. We can include cosines, choose the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and take $N \to \infty$ in order to get the Fourier series.

Theorem

The set $\{\ldots, \frac{\cos(2x)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \frac{\cos(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{\sin(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \frac{\sin(2x)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \ldots\}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$. 
Theorem

If a function is given as a series,

\[ f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos(kx) + b_k \sin(kx)) \]

which converges with respect to the norm in \( L^2([-\pi, \pi]) \), then

\[ a_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \, dx, \]

\[ a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos(nx) \, dx, \]

and

\[ b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin(nx) \, dx. \]
**Convergence of Fourier series**

**Theorem**

Let $f$ be square integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then the partial sums of the Fourier series

$$S_N(x) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} (a_k \cos(kx) + b_k \sin(kx))$$

converge in square mean to $f$,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(f - S_N)(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$ 

**Remark**

This is just convergence in the norm of $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$. Other types of convergence can also be proved, but they require more assumptions.
Fourier Transform
Definition and elementary properties

Fact

If \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), then

\[
\hat{f}(\omega) = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-L}^{L} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} \, dt
\]

exists for almost all \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \), that is, up to a set which does not count under the integral. Moreover, \( \hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and

\[
f(t) = \lim_{\Omega \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} \, d\omega ,
\]

again, up to a set of \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) which does not count in integrals.
When a function \( f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi]) \) is expanded in an orthonormal basis \( \{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \), \( f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j \), Pythagoras gives

\[
\|f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, e_j \rangle|^2.
\]

A similar statement is true for the Fourier transform.

**Theorem (Plancherel)**

Let \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), then denoting \( F[f] = \hat{f} \), we have

\[
\|F[f]\|^2 = \|f\|^2.
\]

The same is true for inner products of \( f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \langle F[f], F[g] \rangle.
\]

Transforming a signal from time to frequency domain preserves geometry (inner products)!
Proposition

Let \( f, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), \( h(t) = f(bt) \) for \( b > 0 \). Then \( \hat{h}(\omega) = \frac{1}{b} \hat{f}(\frac{\omega}{b}) \).

Example

If

\[
f(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & -\pi \leq t \leq \pi \\
0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

then \( h(t) = f(bt) \) has the Fourier transform

\[
\hat{h}(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sin(\pi \omega / b)}{\omega}.
\]

Proposition

Let \( f, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), \( h(t) = f(t - a) \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{R} \). Then \( \hat{h}(\omega) = e^{-i\omega a} \hat{f}(\omega) \).
Sampling and reconstruction

Definition

A function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is called $\Omega$-bandlimited if $\hat{f}(\omega) = 0$ for almost all $\omega$ with $|\omega| > \Omega$.

Theorem

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be $\Omega$-bandlimited, then it is continuous and

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\Omega}\right) \frac{\sin(\Omega t - k\pi)}{\Omega t - k\pi}$$

and the series on the right-hand side converges in the norm of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. 
Sampling and reconstruction in action
Convolutions and filters

**Definition**

Let \( f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then we denote the **convolution** of \( f \) and \( g \) by

\[
(f \ast g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t - x)g(x)\,dx.
\]

**Example**

Take

\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
  1/a, & 0 \leq x \leq a \\
  0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

then for any integrable (or square-integrable) \( f \),

\[
(f \ast g)(t) = \int_{0}^{a} f(t - x)\,dx = \int_{t-a}^{t} f(x)\,dx.
\]
Theorem

Let $f, g$ be integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $f \ast g$ is again integrable and

$$F[f \ast g] = \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{f} \hat{g}.$$ 

If, in addition $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $F^{-1}[\hat{f} \hat{g}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f \ast g$.

Remark

Convolving $f$ with an integrable function $g$ on $\mathbb{R}$ amounts to multiplying the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ with $\sqrt{2\pi} \hat{g}$.
Definition

A filter on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear map $L : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for which there is a bounded function $m$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$F[Lf] = m \hat{f},$$

or equivalently,

$$Lf = F^{-1}[m \hat{f}].$$

The function $m$ is called the system function of the filter.

Exercise

Find the system function $m$ for the filter

$$Lf(t) = \frac{1}{2}(f(t) + f(t - a)), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$
We now examine filtering for bandlimited signals.

Question

Given a filter with a system function $m$ and a bandlimited function $f$, can we express the sampled values of $Lf$ in terms of those of $f$?

Remark

For filtering an $\Omega$-bandlimited function, only the restriction of the system function $m$ to $[-\Omega, \Omega]$ matters, because $\hat{f}$ vanishes outside of this interval. We can thus expand $m$ in a Fourier series,

$$m(\omega) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e^{-i\pi k \omega / \Omega},$$

where we have changed the sign in the exponent because it is a series for a function on the frequency domain.
**Theorem**

Given an $\Omega$-bandlimited function $f$ and a filter $L$ with system function $m$ whose restriction to $[-\Omega, \Omega]$ has Fourier coefficients $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then

$$Lf\left(\frac{k\pi}{\Omega}\right) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{(k-l)\pi}{\Omega}\right) \alpha_l.$$  

The upshot is that the convolution is replaced by a series formula for the sampled values of $f$.

**Definition**

For two sequences $x, y \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we define the **discrete convolution** as

$$(x \ast y)_k = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} x_l y_{k-l}.$$
Oversampling

Since any function with band limit \( \Omega \) is also \( a\Omega \)-bandlimited for any \( a \geq 1 \), we have a generalization of the sampling theorem

**Proposition**

Let \( f \) be square-integrable and \( \Omega \)-bandlimited. Then

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{a\Omega}\right) \frac{\sin(a\Omega t - k\pi)}{a\Omega t - k\pi}.
\]

If we now apply a filter which has a system function such that

\[
m(\omega) = 1 \text{ if } |\omega| \leq \Omega
\]

then

\[
f(t) = Lf(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{a\Omega}\right)(L \text{sinc})(a\Omega t - k\pi)
\]

where \( \text{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(t)}{t} \).
Since the requirement on the system function of \( L \) only concerns the interval \([-\Omega, \Omega]\) there is freedom in choosing \( L \) and thus the resulting function for reconstructing the signal! This can be used to improve the convergence of the series used for reconstruction.

**Example**

Let \( f \) be square-integrable and \( \Omega \)-bandlimited. Then

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{a\Omega}\right) \cos(\Omega(t - \frac{k\pi}{a\Omega})) - \cos(a\Omega(t - \frac{k\pi}{a\Omega})) \frac{a(a - 1)\Omega^2(t - \frac{k\pi}{a\Omega})^2}{a(a - 1)\Omega^2(t - \frac{k\pi}{a\Omega})^2}.
\]

Note that for fixed \( t \), the series decays as \( k^{-2} \)!
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Haar Wavelets
Spaces of piecewise constant functions

Functions that are constant on all intervals \([n, n + 1), n \in \mathbb{Z}\), can be written as

\[
 f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \phi(x - k)
\]

where

\[
 \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 
 1, & 0 \leq x < 1 \\
 0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

Definition

We define the space of square-integrable integer-wide step functions as

\[
 V_0 = \{ f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \phi(x - k), a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \}.
\]
Adding details

**Exercise**
Show that the translates \( \{ \phi(\cdot - k) \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) form an orthonormal basis for \( V_0 \).

**Question**
Knowing the values of a function \( f \) at one point in each interval \([k, k+1)\) determines the function completely. How can we have a function space with more details?

**Answer**
Take \( \{ 2^{j/2} \phi(2^j x - k) \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) as an orthonormal basis instead of \( \{ \phi(x - k) \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \).
Levels of resolution

**Definition**

The space of square-integrable step functions of width $2^{-j}$, denoted by $V_j$, is the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the orthonormal basis

$$\left\{2^{j/2}\phi(2^j x - k)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

**Remark**

Functions in this space have possible discontinuities at $x = 2^{-j}k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that sampling the function values at $2^j$ evenly-spaced points in the interval $[k, k + 1)$ determines the function on this interval. We also note that for $j > 0$, we have the inclusions $V_{-j} \subset V_{-j+1} \subset \cdots \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{j-1} \subset V_j \subset V_{j+1}$. 
Proposition

For any square integrable function $f$, $f \in V_0$ if and only if $f(2^j x) \in V_j$, or equivalently $f \in V_j$ if and only if $f(2^{-j} x) \in V_0$.

Question

Is there an orthonormal basis for layers of detail? We would like to have a basis of translates for $V_1 \cap V_0^\perp$.

Try

$$\psi(x) = \phi(2x) - \phi(2x - 1)$$

then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \psi(x) dx = \int_{0}^{1/2} 1 dx - \int_{1/2}^{1} 1 dx = 0$$

and because $\psi$ is supported in $[0, 1]$, it is orthogonal to all $\phi(x - k)$!
Indeed, the translates of $\psi$ form a basis for the detail spaces that bridge between $V_0$ and $V_1$. More generally, we can define a subspace of $V_{j+1}$ which is orthogonal to $V_j$.

**Theorem**

Let $W_j$ be the span of all functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \psi(2^j x - k).$$

Then $W_j = V_j^\perp \cap V_{j+1}$. 
Now we can perform a recursive splitting. Each $f_j \in V_j$ is expressed uniquely as the sum

$$f_j = w_{j-1} + f_{j-1}$$

where $w_{j-1} \in W_{j-1}$ and $f_{j-1} \in V_{j-1}$. This orthogonal splitting is abbreviated by

$$V_j = W_{j-1} \oplus V_{j-1}.$$ 

Iterating the splitting gives

$$V_j = W_{j-1} \oplus W_{j-2} \oplus V_{j-2}$$

and so on.
If we let $j \to \infty$ and keep the last term in this direct sum decomposition fixed, say $V_0$, then we obtain a unique representation of each vector as a series of vectors from $W_j$, $j \geq 0$, and $V_0$.

**Theorem**

For each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, denote by $w_j$ the orthogonal projection of $f$ onto $W_j$. Then

$$f = f_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j$$

with vectors that are orthogonal and a series that converges in norm. In short,

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = V_0 \oplus W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots$$
Question

Suppose we have \( f_j(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \phi(2^j x - k) \), given by the values \( \{a_k\} \). How do we compute the coefficients with respect to the orthonormal basis of \( V_j \) given by

\[
\{\phi(x - k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \{2^{l/2}\psi(2^l x - k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq l \leq j - 1}.
\]

Lemma

For the Haar scaling function \( \phi \) and the wavelet \( \psi \),

\[
\phi(2^j x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(2^{j-1} x) + \phi(2^{j-1} x))
\]

and

\[
\phi(2^j x - 1) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(2^{j-1} x) - \psi(2^{j-1} x)).
\]

So we can use this to convert \( \sum_k a_k \phi(2^j x - k) \in V_j \) into

\[
\sum_k (c_k \phi(2^{j-1} x - k) + d_k \psi(2^{j-1} x - k)).
\]
Theorem

Given a square integrable function $f_j(x) = \sum_k a_k^{(j)} \phi(2^j x - k)$ then

$$f_j(x) = \sum_k b_k^{(j-1)} \psi(2^{j-1} x - k) + \sum_k a_k^{(j-1)} \phi(2^{j-1} x - k)$$

with

$$b_k^{(j-1)} = \frac{a_{2k}^{(j)} - a_{2k+1}^{(j)}}{2}$$

and

$$a_k^{(j-1)} = \frac{a_{2k}^{(j)} + a_{2k+1}^{(j)}}{2}.$$

We note that both of these expressions are obtained from a digital filter applied to $\{a_l^{(j)}\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We can repeat this procedure iteratively to obtain a coefficient tree containing $\{b_k^{(j)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{b_k^{(j-1)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{b_k^{(j-2)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \ldots$ and finally $\{a_k^{(0)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$
Reconstruction

Question

Can we reverse this procedure, that is, reconstruct the coefficients \( \{a_k^{(j)}\} \) from \( \{b_k^{(j-1)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \), \( \{b_k^{(j-2)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \), \ldots, \( \{b_k^{(0)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \{a_k^{(0)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) in this coefficient tree?

Answer

We can reverse the decomposition by

\[
a_{2k}^{(j+1)} = a_k^{(j)} + b_k^{(j)}
\]

and

\[
a_{2k+1}^{(j+1)} = a_k^{(j)} - b_k^{(j)}
\]

and iterate this procedure.
Filters and up/downsampling

**Definition**

For any sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, both in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we define the **digital/discrete filter** of $x$ by

$$(Hx)_k = (h \ast x)_k = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k-n} h_n.$$

**Definition**

The **downsampling operator** $D$ acts on a square-summable sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$(Dx)_k = x_{2k}.$$
With these two operations, we can express the analysis and reconstruction algorithm.

### Remark

Let

\[ h = (\ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \ldots) \]

and let

\[ l = (\ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \ldots). \]

Then

\[ (Hx)_k = (h \ast x)_k = \frac{1}{2}x_k - \frac{1}{2}x_{k+1} \]

and

\[ (Lx)_k = (l \ast x)_k = \frac{1}{2}x_k + \frac{1}{2}x_{k+1}. \]
Remark
Therefore,
\[ b^{(j-1)}_k = \frac{1}{2} (a^{(j)}_{2k} - a^{(j)}_{2k+1}) = (DHa^{(j)})_k \]
and
\[ a^{(j-1)}_k = (DLa^{(j)})_k. \]

The reconstruction algorithm can also be cast in a similar form, if we define the upsampling operator.

Definition
The upsampling operator \( U \) acts on a square-summable sequence \( \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) by
\[ (Ux)_k = \begin{cases} x_{k/2}, & k \text{ even} \\ 0, & k \text{ odd} \end{cases} \]
Remark

Let \( \tilde{H} \) and \( \tilde{L} \) be given by

\[
\tilde{h} = (\ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \underbrace{1}_{k=0}, -1, 0, \ldots)
\]

and let

\[
\tilde{l} = (\ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \underbrace{1}_{k=0}, 1, 0, \ldots).
\]

Thus,

\[
a^{(j)} = \tilde{L}Ua^{(j-1)} + \tilde{H}Ub^{(j-1)}.
\]
Wavelet decomposition and reconstruction with filters

Split detail from lower resolution level:

\[ b_k^{(j-1)} = (DHa^{(j)})_k \]

and

\[ a_k^{(j-1)} = (DLa^{(j)})_k . \]

Fuse lower resolution and detail level:

\[ a^{(j)} = \tilde{L}ua^{(j-1)} + \tilde{H}ub^{(j-1)} . \]
Remark

If a function $f \in V_j$ is slowly changing except for finitely many discontinuities, then applying the decomposition shows that only wavelet coefficients $b_{k(j-1)}$ near discontinuities are large!

Comparison: Signal vs. wavelet coefficients
Compression?

For piecewise constant functions, many coefficients would be exactly zero, i.e. can be discarded. Only need to store detail coefficients close to steps, and coefficients for low resolution level everywhere. Note: Downsampling reduces data by factor 2 in each decomposition step. Compression!

Question

Is there a version of $\phi$, $\psi$ which will compress piecewise quadratic/cubic/etc functions similarly?

Answer

The family of Daubechies wavelets have the desired property.
Multiresolution Analysis
Defining properties and examples

Definition

Let \( \{V_j\} \) be a family of subspaces in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) such that any Cauchy sequence in each \( V_j \) converges. Then \( \{V_j\} \) is called a **multiresolution analysis** if the following properties hold.

1. \( V_j \subset V_{j+1} \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \),
2. \( \bigcup_j V_j = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) (union is dense)
3. \( \bigcap_j V_j = \{0\} \)
4. \( f \in V_j \leftrightarrow f(2^{-j}x) \in V_0 \)
5. There is \( \phi \in V_0 \) such that \( \{\phi(x - k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is an orthonormal basis for \( V_0 \). Each \( V_j \) is called an approximation subspace. The resulting \( W_j = V_j^\perp \cap V_{j+1} \) are called detail spaces.
In short, MRAs have decomposition and reconstruction algorithms like the Haar wavelet transform.

**Example**

As first example of a multiresolution analysis, we note that the Haar scaling function satisfied the required properties.

**Example**

A second example of a multiresolution analysis is given by the approximation spaces which consist of $2^j \pi$-bandlimited functions:

$$V_j = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f}(\omega) = 0 \text{ for all } |\omega| > 2^j \pi \}.$$ 

**Remark**

The Daubechies construction is “in between” these two examples.
The scaling relation

**Theorem**

If \{V_j\} is a multiresolution analysis with scaling function \(\phi\), then there is a sequence \(\{p_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\) such that for almost every \(x \in \mathbb{R}\),

\[
\phi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k \phi(2x - k)
\]

and

\[
p_k = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \overline{\phi(2x - k)} \, dx.
\]

**Example**

For the Haar MRA, \(p_0 = p_1 = 1\), and all other \(p_j\) are zero.
Wavelet design in the frequency domain

Problem

Find scaling coefficients \( \{p_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) that belong to MRAs. Design MRAs with special properties such as smooth scaling functions, compactly supported ones, etc.

Strategy

It will become apparent that the frequency-domain formulation is convenient for such problems.

To this end, we examine the two-scale relation. We use the notation

\[
p(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k e^{-ik\omega}
\]

or

\[
P(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k z^k.
\]
**Theorem**

If the integer translates of \( \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) form an orthonormal set and 
\[
\phi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k \phi(2x - k),
\]
then \( P(z) \) satisfies the **quadrature mirror property**

\[
|P(z)|^2 + |P(-z)|^2 = 1, \quad |z| = 1.
\]

**Example (Haar MRA)**

For

\[
P(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + z)
\]

we obtain for \( |z| = 1 \) that

\[
|P(z)|^2 + |P(-z)|^2 = \frac{1}{4}(|1 + z|^2 + |1 - z|^2) = \frac{1}{4}(2 + 2|z|^2) = 1.
\]
The next theorem addresses is whether the quadrature-mirror property of $P(z)$ is enough to create a scaling function $\phi$ for an MRA.

**Theorem**

Given $P(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k p_k z^k$ with a summable sequence $\{p_k\}$ satisfying

1. $P(1) = 1$,
2. $|P(z)|^2 + |P(-z)|^2 = 1, |z| = 1$, 
3. $|P(e^{it})| > 0, |t| \leq \pi/2$,

then the iteration 

$$\phi_n(x) = \sum_k p_k \phi_{n-1}(2x - k)$$

starting with the Haar scaling function $\phi_0$ converges to the scaling function $\phi$ of an MRA.
Suppose we are given an MRA with summable scaling coefficients \( \{p_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \), which satisfy the three properties on the preceding slide.

**Proposition**

A wavelet \( \psi \) is obtained by

\[
\psi(x) = \sum_k (-1)^k p_{1-k} \phi(2x - k)
\]

or alternatively

\[
\hat{\psi}(\xi) = Q(e^{-i\xi/2}) \hat{\phi}(\xi/2)
\]

with

\[
Q(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (-1)^k p_{1-k} z^k.
\]
If $\phi$ is integrable, then $|\hat{\phi}(\xi/2)| \leq M$ and

$$|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| \leq M|Q(e^{-i\xi/2})|.$$ 

**Corollary**

_This means, if $Q(e^{-i\xi/2})$ has vanishing derivatives at $\xi = 0$, then so does $\hat{\psi}$. _

For example assuming $\hat{\psi}(0) = \hat{\psi}'(0) = 0$, then we can conclude

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x\psi(x)dx = 0.$$ 

Consequently, any function which is linear on the support of $\psi$, $f(x) = ax + b$ for all $x$ where $\psi(x) \neq 0$, gives vanishing wavelet coefficients

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\psi(x)dx = 0.$$
Compression
Wavelet coefficients for a piecewise linear signal

If the wavelet has a vanishing first moment, then the coefficients are zero where the signal is linear:
Try the simplest case first, a polynomial $P$.

**Problem**

*Find a polynomial $P$ such that*

\[ p(\xi) = P(e^{-i\xi}) \]

*has the following properties:*

1. $p(0) = 1$,
2. $|p(\xi)|^2 + |p(\xi + \pi)|^2 = 1$,
3. $|p(\xi)| > 0$ for $-\pi/2 \leq \xi \leq \pi/2$

*and the associated $\psi$ has vanishing zeroth and first moments.*
Example

The polynomial

\[ p_3(\xi) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}}{8} + \frac{3 + \sqrt{3}}{8} e^{-i\xi} + \frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{8} e^{-2i\xi} + \frac{1 - \sqrt{3}}{8} e^{-3i\xi} \]

is an example, which belongs to the Daubechies wavelet.
Theorem

The Daubechies wavelet $\psi$ is continuous and has vanishing zeroth and first moments,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x\psi(x) dx = 0.$$
Beyond 60 slides:

- Daubechies wavelets for piecewise quadratic, cubic etc. can also be found.
- More generally, given a space of typical signals, we can find wavelets which mimic signal properties and are most efficient for decomposition, denoising and compression.
- Wavelets in higher dimensions – stay tuned!
- Wavelets and oversampling? Ditto!
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