

Information Theory with Applications, Math6397

Lecture Notes from November 06, 2014

taken by Carlos Ortiz

Last Time

- Channel coding for continuous channels
- Additive Gaussian white noise (AGWN)

Capacity of AGWN, continued

Recall that for a AGWN channel with $E[X^2] \leq S$,

$$C(S) = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{S}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Now it turns out that this channel is the worst among all channels with $E[N_j] = 0$ and $E[N_j^2] = \sigma^2$.

5.6.29 Theorem. *If γ is an additive memoryless channel with noise $\{N_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of zero mean and variance σ^2 then,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{S}{\sigma^2}\right) \leq C(S)$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a AWGN channel with \tilde{N}_j having mean zero and variance σ^2 . Also, consider a Gaussian sequence $\{\tilde{X}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $E[\tilde{X}_j^2] = S$. Now let us compare the mutual information between the Gaussian and the (possibly) non-Gaussian Channel.

$$\begin{aligned} & I(\tilde{X}; \gamma(\tilde{X})) - I(\tilde{X}; \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{X})) \\ &= \int \int p_{\tilde{X}}(x) p_N(y-x) \ln\left(\frac{p_N(y-x)}{p_Y(y)}\right) dy dx - \int \int p_{\tilde{X}}(x) p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x) \ln\left(\frac{p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)}{p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)}\right) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

By equality of second moment we can replace \tilde{N} with N and get,

$$= \int \int p_{\tilde{X}}(x) p_N(y-x) \ln\left(\frac{p_N(y-x)}{p_Y(y)}\right) dy dx - \int \int p_{\tilde{X}}(x) p_N(y-x) \ln\left(\frac{p_N(y-x)}{p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)}\right) dy dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \int p_{\tilde{X}}(x)p_N(y-x) \ln\left(\frac{p_N(y-x)p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)}{p_Y(y)p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)}\right)dydx \geq \int \int p_{\tilde{X}}(x)p_N(y-x)\left(1-\frac{p_Y(y)p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)}{p_N(y-x)p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)}\right)dydx \\
&= 1 - \int \int \frac{p_{\tilde{X}}(x)p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)p_Y(y)}{p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)} = 1 - \int \frac{p_Y(y)}{p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)} \left[\int p_{\tilde{X}}(x)p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)dx \right] dy \\
&= 1 - \int \frac{p_Y(y)}{p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)} [p_{\tilde{X}+\tilde{N}=\tilde{Y}}(y)] dy = 1 - \int p_Y(y) dy = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{p_Y(y)}{p_{\tilde{Y}}(y)} = \frac{p_N(y-x)}{p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)}$$

Now if we pick a y such that this equality holds, then the left hand side is fixed and the right hand side is a constant for almost every x , so $p_N(y-x) = c \cdot p_{\tilde{N}}(y-x)$ and normalization forces $c = 1$. This shows equality holds if and only if $\{N_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is Gaussian. Next lets compare the Gaussian and the (possibly) non-Gaussian Capacity,

$$\max_{\substack{P_X \\ E[X^2] \leq S}} I(X; \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{X})) = I(\tilde{X}; \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{X})) \leq I(\tilde{X}; \gamma(\tilde{X})) \leq \max_{\substack{P_X \\ E[X^2] \leq S}} I(X; \gamma(X)) = C(S)$$

□

5.7 Partially Noisy Channel

Suppose you have $Y_j = X_j + N_j$ where

$$N_j = \begin{cases} \tilde{N}_j & \text{with probability 0.1} \\ 0 & \text{with probability 0.9} \end{cases}$$

where \tilde{N}_j are Gaussian with mean zero and variance σ^2 .

What is the Capacity of this Channel?

We have that

$$p_N(x) = 0.1\delta(x) + \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2}$$

hence,

$$h(N) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} (H(N^\Delta) + \ln(\Delta)) = -\infty$$

Now if we choose X so that $h(Y)$ is finite we get,

$$C(S) \geq h(Y) - h(N) = \infty$$

How is this possible?

Pick X uniformly distributed between $-\sqrt{S}$ and \sqrt{S} and transmit rational numbers repeatedly.

If the receiver gets a rational number as an output, the $\tilde{N}_j = 0$ almost surely. As a result, using the channel n times gives decoding error probability of $(0.9)^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

5.8 Capacity for parallel AGWN channels

5.8.30 Theorem. Suppose we have k Channels with Gaussian white noisy variables having variance $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ and an overall power constraint $\sum_{i=1}^k E[X_i^2] \leq S$, then

$$C(S) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{S_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right)$$

where $S_i = \max\{0, \theta - \sigma_i^2\}$ and θ is chosen such that $\sum_{i=1}^k S_i = S$. The process of choosing this θ is often known as “water filling algorithm”.

Proof. By definition,

$$\max_{\substack{P_{X \otimes k} \\ \sum_{i=1}^k E[X_i^2] \leq S}} I(X; Y)$$

Now since noise is independent of X ,

$$\begin{aligned} I(X, Y) &= h(Y) - h(Y|X) = h(Y) - h(X + N|X) = h(Y) - h(N|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(N) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k h(Y_i) - h(N_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k I(Y_i; N_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{S_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now if we maximize the right hand side subject to $\sum_{i=1}^k S_i = S$ we get our desired result.

To this end, we note that the sum of the logarithms is concave in $\{(S_i + \sigma_i^2)/\sigma_i^2\}$, thus averaging among $S_i + \sigma_i^2$ for indices with $S_i > 0$ increases the right hand side. For a given θ , then $S_i + \sigma_i^2 = \theta$ when $S_i > 0$ achieves the maximum. Using the monotonicity of the logarithm, we can choose θ so that $\sum_{i=1}^k S_i = S$. \square