# Lecture Notes from September 29, 2022 

taken by Caleb Barnett

## Last Time

- $\pi$ is non-degenerate iff $\pi$ is the direct sum of cyclic representations.
- Irreducible finite-dimensional representations of abelian semigroups.


## Warm up:

1.0 Question. Consider the semigroup $S=\{0,1\}$ with multiplication. Let $s^{*}=s$. Given a representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}=\mathrm{n}$, how many different representations are there up to unitary equivalence?

We know $\pi(1)=\mathcal{P}$, an orthogonal projection, by $\pi(1)\left(\pi(1)^{*}\right)=\pi\left(11^{*}\right)=\pi(1)$. If $\pi$ is non-degenerate, we claim that $\mathcal{P}$ is onto, i.e. $\mathcal{P}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is finite-dimensional, the claim follows from

$$
\overline{\pi(S)(\mathcal{H})}=\pi(S)(\mathcal{H})=\pi(1) \pi(\mathrm{S})(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{P} \pi(\mathrm{S})(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) .
$$

And from $0=00^{*}$, we also know that $\pi(0)$ is an orthogonal projection.
In the degenerate case, we have $\mathcal{P}=\pi(1)$ is an orthogonal projection onto some subspace of $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{Q}=\pi(0)$ is an orthogonal projection onto a subspace of $\pi(1)(\mathcal{H})$.

Up to unitary equivalence, there is only one orthogonal projection onto a subspace of dimension k for each $\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$. So for each projection $\mathcal{P}$ of dimension $k$, there are $\mathrm{k}+1$ choices of $\mathcal{Q}$.
1.1 Answer. Hence, the number of distinct representations up to unitary equivalence is given by the following sum:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1)=\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}
$$

## Characters of Semigroups

1.2 Definition. A representation $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is called a character of $S$, and we write $\widehat{S}_{0}$ for all such characters, and $\widehat{S} \equiv \widehat{S}_{0} \backslash\{0\}$.

We recall the following two results from the lecture on September 29.
1.3 Theorem. Each finite dimensional representation $\pi$ of an involutive semigroup $S$ is a direct sum of irreducible representations.
1.4 Theorem. If S is abelian, then each irreducible finite-dimensional representation is onedimensional.

Now we can prove the following decomposition theorem.
1.5 Theorem. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of an abelian involutive semigroup S . For $\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{S}}$, we let

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\chi}=\{v \in \mathcal{H}:(\forall s \in S) \pi(s) v=\chi(s) v\}
$$

then $\mathcal{H}=\underset{x \in \hat{S}}{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\chi} .\left(\right.$ Note that at most finitely many $\mathcal{H}_{x} \neq\{0\}$.)
Proof. From Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, we know that each finite-dimensional representation is a direct sum of irreducible representations, and we also know that each irreducible representation of an abelian semigroup is one-dimensional.

We employ some arguments from the proof of Theorem 1.4.
Consider one of these one-dimensional representations $\left(\pi_{j}, \mathcal{H}_{j}\right)$. Then for any $\pi_{j}(s)$, there is a $\lambda_{s} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_{j}$ (spanning this space) such that $\pi_{j}(s) v=\lambda_{s} v$. Define the character $\chi_{j}: S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ by $\chi_{j}(s)=\lambda_{s} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{j}}$. Then $\pi_{\mathfrak{j}}(s) v=\chi_{j}(s) v$ for all $s \in S$. And since $v$ spans $\mathcal{H}_{j}$, $\pi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{s}) v=\chi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{s}) v$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathcal{H}_{\chi_{j}}$.

Hence, $\mathcal{H}=\underset{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{J}}{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{j}}=\underset{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{J}}{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}}=\underset{x \in \hat{\mathrm{~S}}}{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\chi}$.
We conclude with a classification result, which
1.6 Theorem. Let $S$ be an abelian involutive semigroup, then each finite-dimensional representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ has a multiplicity function

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{n}_{\pi}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \\
\chi \mapsto \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

1. two representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ and $\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent iff $\mathrm{n}_{\pi}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\pi}^{\prime}$ are identical,
2. if $\mathfrak{n}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ is non-vanishing for finitely many $\chi$, then there is $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ with $\mathfrak{n}_{\pi}=\mathfrak{n}$.

Proof.

1. $(\Rightarrow)$ If $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ and $\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent, then there is a unitary $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$, and for any character $\chi \in \widehat{S}, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\chi}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{\prime}$, because if $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\chi}, s \in S$,

$$
\pi^{\prime}(s) \mathcal{U} v=\mathcal{U} \pi(s) v=\mathcal{U} \chi(s) v=\chi(s) \mathcal{U} v
$$

so $\mathcal{U} v \in \mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{\prime}$.
Conversely, given $\nu^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\pi(s) \mathcal{U}^{*} v^{\prime}=\mathcal{U}^{*} \pi^{\prime}(s) v^{\prime}=\mathcal{U}^{*} \chi(s) v^{\prime}=\chi(s) \mathcal{U}^{*} v^{\prime}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{U}^{*} \nu^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}_{\chi}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^{*}=\mathcal{U}^{-1}$, this establishes $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\chi}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{\prime}$ and consequently, $n_{\pi}(\chi)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{\chi}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{\prime}=n_{\pi}^{\prime}(\chi)$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Conversely, given two representations and for each $\chi \in \widehat{S}, \mathcal{H}_{\chi}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\chi}^{\prime}$ have the same dimension, then they are isomorphic. Consider the isomorphism $\mathcal{U}_{x}: \mathcal{H}_{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{x}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{x}$ intertwines $\left.\pi\right|_{\mathcal{H}_{x}}$ and $\left.\pi\right|_{\mathcal{H}_{\dot{x}}^{\prime}}$.
Next, let $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime},\left.\mathcal{U}\right|_{\mathcal{H}_{x}}=\mathcal{U}_{x}$, then $\mathcal{U}$ intertwines $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ on the direct sum spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. Thus, $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are equivalent.
2. Given $n$ as described, let $\mathcal{H}_{x}=\mathbb{C}^{n(x)}$ and define $\pi_{x}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{x}$ by

$$
\pi_{\chi}(s)=\chi(s) \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\chi}} .
$$

Since n is only nonzero for finitely many $\chi, \oplus \pi_{\chi}$ defines a finite-dimensional representation with multiplicity function $n$.
1.7 Remark. The above theorem extends the statement "All Hilbert spaces of the same dimension are unitarily equivalent" to equivalence of representations when the dimension of subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{\chi}$ are equivalent.

