Functional Analysis II, Math 7321 Lecture Notes from February 02, 2017

taken by Zainab Alshair

Last Time: Annihilators, rank-nullity, quotient spaces and duality

The following proposition was previously stated during last lecture.

2.33 Proposition. Let M be a closed subspace of a Banach space B. Then

- (i) M is isometrically isomorphic to B'/M^{\perp} .
- (ii) (B/M)' is isometrically isomorphic to M^{\perp} .

Proof. The first result was proved last time.

(ii) Consider $\tau : (B/M)' \to B'$, $\langle \tau(f), x \rangle = \langle f, [x] \rangle$. Note that if $x \in M$, then [x] = [0], so $\langle \tau(f), x \rangle = \langle f, [0] \rangle = 0$. Thus, Range $(\tau) \subset M^{\perp} \subset B'$. Next, one must show surjectivity of τ on M^{\perp} . If $y \in M^{\perp}$, then there is a $f \in (B/M)'$ with $\langle f, [x] \rangle = \langle g, x \rangle$. Hence, $g = \tau(f)$. Finally, one shows τ preserves the norm. Let $f \in (B/M)^{\perp}$, [x] in the unit sphere of B/M and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is $y \in [x]$ with $1 \leq ||y|| \leq 1 + \epsilon$. The following can be estimated by maximizing over the choice of x on the LHS,

$$|\langle f, [x] \rangle| = |\langle \tau(f), y \rangle| \le ||\tau(f)||(1+\epsilon).$$

Minimizing over the choice of $\epsilon > 0$ on RHS above yields $||f|| \le ||\tau(f)||$. On the other hand, by taking

$$|\langle \tau(f), x \rangle| = |\langle f, [x] \rangle| \le ||f|| ||x||$$

and maximizing over $x \in B$ with ||x|| = 1 yields $||\tau(f)|| \le ||f||$.

Thus, $\|\tau(f)\| = \|f\|$. By isometric property, one also gets injectivity. Therefore, τ is an isomorphism.

2.B Reflexivity

Note that a Banach space is reflexive if it is linearly isometric to its bidual under a canonical embedding. That is, a Banach space B is reflexive if the map $B \to B''$ given by

$$x \mapsto (x' \mapsto \langle x', x \rangle)$$

is surjective.

2.34 Proposition. If a Banach space B is reflexive, then so is its bidual B''.

Proof. Recall that $\iota: B \to B''$ is an isometric isomorphism and, by duality, so is $\iota': B'' \to B'$, and so, $\iota'': B'' \to B'''$. One still need to show that ι'' is the canonical embedding of B'' in B''''. For $x''' \in B'''$, one has by definition

$$\langle \iota''(x''), x''' \rangle = \langle x''', x'' \rangle = \langle x'', \iota'(x''') \rangle.$$

Next, ι is a canonical embedding, so

$$\langle x'', \iota'(x''') \rangle = \langle \iota(\iota^{-1}(x'')), \iota'(x''') \rangle = \langle \iota'(x'''), \iota^{-1}(x'') \rangle.$$

Using isomorphisms $\iota: B \to B''$ and $(\iota')^{-1}: B' \to B'''$ yields

$$\langle x'', \iota'(x''') \rangle = \langle (\iota')^{-1}(\iota'(x''')), \iota(\iota^{-1}(x'')) \rangle = \langle x''', x'' \rangle.$$

In conclusion, the map ι'' is indeed the canonical embedding of B'' in B''''.

Next, one can relate the reflexivity of B to that of B' as in the following result.

2.35 Proposition. Let B be a Banach space. Then B is reflexive if and only if its dual B' is reflexive.

Proof. Assume B' is reflexive. Denote the canonical embeddings of B in B' by $\iota : B \to B''$ and $\iota_1 : B' \to B'''$. By assumption, ι_1 is invertible. Thus, for $x''' \in B'''$,

$$\langle x''', x'' \rangle = \langle \iota_1(\iota_1^{-1}(x''')), x'' \rangle$$

= $\langle x'', \iota_1^{-1}(x''') \rangle.$

The surjectivity of ι must be ascertained. Let $x'' \in (\text{Range}(i))^{\perp}$. Since ι is an isometry, its range is closed and is equal to B''. Then by definition of \bot ,

$$0 = \langle x''', \iota(x'') \rangle = \langle \iota(x''), \iota_1^{-1}(x''') \rangle = \langle \iota_1^{-1}(x'''), x'' \rangle.$$

The RHS vanishes for any argument in B'', hence x''' = 0. One concludes that

$$((\mathsf{Range}(\iota))^{\perp})^{\perp} = B''$$

and so $\text{Range}(\iota)$ is dense in B''. Because ι is an isometry, it has closed range and thus it follows that B is reflexive.

Conversely, assume B is reflexive. Then by the preceding proposition, so is B''. Using the first part of this proof, one gets that B' is reflexive.

Finally, it can be shown that reflexivity is inherited by closed subspaces of Banach space.

2.36 Proposition. A closed subspace of a reflexive Banach space is reflexive.

Proof. Let M be a closed subspace of reflexive Banach space B. The surjectivity of $\iota : M \to M''$ is what needs to be shown. Let $m'' \in M''$ and extend m'' to a functional $\sigma(m'')$ on B' by

$$\langle \sigma(m''), x' \rangle = \langle m'', x'|_M \rangle.$$

Note that $\sigma(m'') \in B''$, $x' \in B'$, $m'' \in M''$ and $x'|_M \in M'$. By reflexivity of B, $\sigma(m'') = \iota(x)$ for some $x \in B$. Doing so for each $m'' \in M''$ yields

$$\langle m'', x'|_M \rangle = \langle \iota(x), x' \rangle = \langle x', x \rangle.$$

Next, it needs to be shown that $x \in M$. If there is m'' for which $x \notin M$, then by Hahn-Banch Theorem $(M = \overline{M})$, there is $x' \in B'$ with $x'|_M = 0$ but $\langle x', x \rangle \neq 0$. Hence,

$$0 = \langle m'', x'|_M \rangle = \langle \iota(x), x' \rangle = \langle x', x \rangle \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x \in M$.