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#### Abstract

Smooth orthogonal projections with good localization properties were originally studied in the wavelet literature as a way to both understand and generalize the construction of smooth wavelet bases on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Smoothness plays a critical role in the construction of wavelet bases and their generalizations as it is instrumental to achieve excellent approximation properties. In this paper, we extend the construction of smooth orthogonal projections to higher dimensions, a challenging problem in general for which relatively few results are found in the literature. Our investigation is motivated by the study of multidimensional nonseparable multiscale systems such as shearlets. Using our new class of smooth orthogonal projections, we construct new smooth Parseval frames of shearlets in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Smooth orthogonal projections on the real line have played an important role in the wavelet literature due to their connection with the construction of well localized wavelet bases. Wavelets became very popular in the 90 's because of their remarkable ability to approximate piecewise regular functions on the real line $[7,8]$. To achieve such ability, the localization properties of the basis are critical, that is, the basis elements are required to have compact support and rapid decay in the Fourier domain, or rapid decay and compact support in the Fourier domain, or rapid decay in both domains [7]. When one of the three situations happen, the basis is called 'well-localized'.

A very important example of such bases are the local Fourier bases of Coifman and Meyer [6]. The main idea of their construction is to start from a complex exponential basis on a finite interval and to generate a well localized basis of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by piecing together dilated copies of the same basis. The challenge here is about piecing together the different sub-systems without losing the regularity of the overall system. Clearly, one cannot simply put together bases after multiplication by the characteristic function of an appropriate interval since this would introduce discontinuities. Instead one has to define smooth localization windows. One solution to this problem is to construct orthogonal projections $P_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the property that (i) $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{k} L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and (ii) the orthogonal projections $P_{k}$ are smooth in the sense that each $P_{k} f$ preserves the regularity of $f$.

A detailed study of the construction of smooth orthogonal projections on the real line was carried out by Auscher, Weiss and Wickerhauser [1] (see also [15]) by generalizing results by Coifman and Meyer in [6]. The extension of this approach to higher dimensions though is highly nontrivial and only a few results are available in the literature. We recall the extension of smooth projections to manifolds in $[3,4]$ including an interesting result about localized Parseval frames on the sphere.

The main goal of this paper is to extend the construction of smooth orthogonal projections to higher dimensions. For this, we introduce a novel approach based on cyclic permutation operators on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ to define smooth orthogonal projections associate with certain partitions of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The construction presented here shows that the complex periodization with quarter-rotations used in the case of $\mathbb{R}^{2}[2]$ can be replaced by an explicitly real symmetrization procedure. In addition, this paper provides insights into the treatment of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geq 3$.

Our study is motivated in part by applications in the theory of shearlets [19,22], a multidimensional extension of wavelets that has received a significant interest in harmonic analysis during the last decade. Similar to curvelets [5] and other systems of parabolic molecules [17], shearlets owe their success to their ability to provide nearly optimally sparse approximations for a large class of multivariate functions, outperforming conventional multiscale methods [10, $16,21,27]$. They form a collection of well-localized waveforms defined not only over a range of scales and locations, like wavelets, but also over multiple orientations so that they are more apt at representing edges and other anisotropic
features. While curvelets use rotations, the directionality of shearlets is controlled by the action of a shear matrix. One advantage of using shearing is that - unlike rotations - this operation is integer lattice invariant and this invariance property can be very beneficial in discrete application. However, while the group of rotations is compact, this property is not true for the shear group. As a result, a shearlet system on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is typically constructed by combining $N$ cone-based shearlet systems, each one defined by truncating the shear parameter on a compact interval. To ensure that the system obtained by the union of the cone-based shearlet systems is a Parseval frame of welllocalized waveforms, special handling is required. For instance, the widely used approach originally proposed in [13] requires to construct a set of 'boundary shearlets' that are specially defined to ensure that the combination of conebased shearlets is still smooth.

Using the new class of smooth orthogonal projections introduced in this paper, we define a novel approach to construct smooth Parseval frames of conebases shearlets on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. This approach, that consists in taking the union of different shearlet systems that have been smoothly projected into appropriate subspaces, is not only simpler and more straightforward than the classical construction involving boundary shearlets but also more flexible.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Below we set the notation and state basic definitions that will be used in the paper. In Section 2 we present an approach to define smooth orthogonal projections on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and a general approach to construct smooth orthogonal projections on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In Section 3 we apply our method for a new construction of smooth Parseval frames of shearlets of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

### 1.1 Notation and background

We recall some definitions and set some notation that will be used in the rest of the paper.

We adopt the convention that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a column vector, i.e., $x=\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N}\end{array}\right)$, and that $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{N}$ is a row vector, i.e., $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{N}\right)$. A vector $x$ multiplying a matrix $M \in G L_{N}(\mathbb{R})$ on the right is understood to be a column vector, while a vector $\xi$ multiplying $M$ on the left is a row vector. Thus, $M x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\xi M \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{N}$.

Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. For $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, the translation operator $T_{y}$ is defined by $T_{y} f(x)=f(x-y)$; for $M \in G L_{N}(\mathbb{R})$, the dilation operator $D_{M}$ is defined by $D_{M} f(x)=|\operatorname{det} M|^{-1 / 2} f\left(M^{-1} x\right)$; for $\nu \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{N}$.

### 1.1.1 Fourier transform

The Fourier transform is a map $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ that, for $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and any $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{N}$, is defines as

$$
\hat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i \xi x} d x
$$

It is well-known that this operator has a unique extension to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ that is a unitary operator. The inverse Fourier transform is the map $f \mapsto \check{f}$ defined as

$$
\check{f}(x)=\int_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{N}} f(\xi) e^{2 \pi i \xi x} d \xi
$$

By the Plancherel theorem, the Fourier transform is an isometry on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, that is,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|f(x)|^{2} d x=\int_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{N}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi
$$

We recall the following useful properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{y} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\left(M_{y} \hat{f}\right)(\xi) \\
& \left(D_{M} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\left(\widehat{D}_{M} \hat{f}\right)(\xi) \equiv|\operatorname{det} M|^{1 / 2} \hat{f}(\xi M)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will consider subspaces of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ associated with functions whose essential Fourier support is contained in a region $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and use the notation:

$$
L^{2}(S)^{\vee}=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \operatorname{ess} \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset S \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}
$$

### 1.1.2 Frames

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert with inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . A frame is a generalization of a$ basis where the frame elements are not necessarily linearly independent yet an approximate reproducing property still holds. A set $\left\{\gamma_{k}\right\}_{k \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, where $I$ is a countable indexing set, is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$ if there exist constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{k \in I}\left|\left\langle f, \gamma_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2}
$$

$A$ is called the lower frame bound and $B$ the upper frame bound of the frame. If $A=B$ then the frame is called a tight frame; if, in addition, $A=B=1$ then it is called 1-tight frame or Parseval frame. If $\gamma_{k}$ is a Parseval frame then, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{k \in I}\left|\left\langle f, \gamma_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}
$$

One property of frames that will be critical in this paper is that the frame condition is preserved under the action of an orthogonal projection. Recall that an operator $P$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a projection if $P=P^{2}$ and it is an orthogonal projection if, in addition, it is self-adjoint, i.e., $P=P^{*}$. We have the following known result whose proof is reported for completion.

Proposition 1 Let $\left\{\gamma_{k}: k \in I\right\}$ be a frame of $\mathcal{H}$ with frame bounds $0<$ $A \leq B<\infty$ and $P$ be an orthogonal projection mapping $\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspace $V \subset \mathcal{H}$ ，then $V$ is closed and $\left\{P \gamma_{k}: \in I\right\}$ is a frame for $V \subset \mathcal{H}$ with the same frame bounds $A, B$ ．

Proof．Any $g \in V$ can be written as $g=P f$ where $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ．Hence，using the frame property of $\left\{\gamma_{k}: k \in I\right\}$ ，we have that for any $g \in V$

$$
A\|g\|^{2}=A\|P f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{k \in I}\left|\left\langle P f, \gamma_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|P f\|^{2}=B\|g\|^{2}
$$

Since $P$ is an orthogonal projections，for any $g \in V$ ，we have that $\left\langle g, P \gamma_{k}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle P f, P \gamma_{k}\right\rangle=\left\langle P f, \gamma_{k}\right\rangle$ ．Hence，for any $g \in V$,

$$
A\|g\|^{2} \leq \sum_{k \in I}\left|\left\langle g, P \gamma_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|g\|^{2} .
$$

The closedness of $V$ follows since it is the image of an orthogonal projec－ tion．

## 2 Smooth projections on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$\left\langle\right.$ sec：1－2〉 As indicated above，a method for constructing smooth projections on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ was originally discussed in［15］with the goal to build localized wavelet bases． We start by recalling the basic ideas in the uni－variate setting and，specifically， the following result from［15，Section 1．3］．

〈pro．HW〉 Proposition 2 Let $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying

$$
|s(x)|^{2}+|s(-x)|^{2}=1
$$

Then the operator $P_{s}^{ \pm}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
P_{s}^{ \pm} f(x)=\overline{s(x)}(s(x) f(x) \pm s(-x) f(-x))
$$

is an orthogonal projection，that is，$P_{s}^{ \pm}$is idempotent and self－adjoint．
An example of a real valued function satisfying Proposition 2 is $s(x)=$ $\sin (\theta(x))$ where $\theta(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \phi(t) d t$ and $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is an even function with $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ and $\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \phi(t) d t=\frac{\pi}{2}$ ．Another example is $c(x)=\cos (\theta(x))$ ． These functions are illustrated in Fig． 1 where the plot shows that $s$ is sup－ ported on $[-\epsilon, \infty]$ and $c$ is supported on $[-\infty, \epsilon]$ ．

For $s(x)=\sin (\theta(x))$ the operator $P_{s}^{+}$is an orthogonal projection onto the subspace $H^{+}=P_{s}^{+} L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and for $c(x)=\cos (\theta(x))$ the operator $P_{c}^{-}$is an orthogonal projection onto the subspace $H^{-}=P_{c}^{-} L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ．Note that if $f \in H^{+}$then $f=\bar{s} g$ where $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ．Similarly，if $f \in H^{-}$then $f=\bar{c} g$ where $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ．In particular，if $f \in H^{+}$then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset[-\epsilon, \infty)$ and if $f \in H^{-}$ then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset(-\infty, \epsilon]$ ．Since $\epsilon$ can be chosen to be arbitrarily small，we say


Fig. 1 Graphs of smooth window functions $s$ and $c$.
$\langle 2 \mathrm{ds} 1\rangle$
that the operator $P_{s}^{+}$is an orthogonal projection associated with the positive reals and $P_{c}^{-}$is an orthogonal projection associated with the negative reals.

Since $\theta(x)+\theta(-x)=\pi / 2$, then it is easy to verify that $s(x)=c(-x)$. It follows from this property that, for any $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
P_{s}^{+} f+P_{c}^{-} f=f .
$$

Note that, due to the definition of $s$ and $c$, the projections $P_{s}^{+}$and $P_{c}^{-}$preserve the regularity of $f$. That is, if $f \in C^{m}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_{s} f, P_{c} f$ are also in $C^{m}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $P_{s}$ and $P_{c}$ are smooth orthogonal projections associated with the positive and negative reals, respectively.

This idea is further elaborated in [15] to build smooth projections onto more general intervals of $\mathbb{R}$.

### 2.1 Smooth projection in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

〈sec:1〉 In this section, we show how to adapt the one-dimensional construction above to build smooth orthogonal projections of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ associated with half-planes and cone-shaped domains in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (we use the wording 'associated with' in the sense defined above).

Similar to Proposition 2, for $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s, x}^{ \pm} f(x, y)=\overline{s(x)}(s(x) f(x, y) \pm s(-x) f(-x, y)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is given as in Proposition 2. We start with the following observation.
${ }^{\langle 1 \mathrm{em} .1 \mathrm{sx}\rangle}$ Lemma 1 Let $s$ be as in Proposition 2. Then $P_{s, x}^{ \pm}$, given by (1), is an orthogonal projection on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 2 which is given in [15].

Similar to the observations made in the one－dimensional case，if $s(x)=$ $\sin (\theta(x))$ the operator $P_{s, x}^{+}$is an orthogonal projection onto the subspace $H^{+}=P_{s, x}^{+} L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and for $c(x)=\cos (\theta(x))$ the operator $P_{c, x}^{-}$is an orthog－ onal projection onto the subspace $H^{-}=P_{c, x}^{-} L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ ．We have that $f \in H^{+}$ implies $f=\bar{s} g$ where $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $f \in H^{-}$implies $f=\bar{c} g$ where $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ ；also，if $f \in H^{+}$then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset[-\epsilon, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and if $f \in H^{-}$ then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset(-\infty, \epsilon] \times \mathbb{R}$ ．Hence，similar to the one－dimensional case，we say that the operator $P_{s, x}^{+}$is an orthogonal projection associated with the half－ plane $x>0$ and $P_{c, x}^{-}$is an orthogonal projection associated with the half－plane $x<0$ ．In addition，the operators $P_{s, x}^{+}$and $P_{c, x}^{-}$preserve the regularity of the function on which are they are acting in the sense described above．That is， they are smooth projection operators．

The next Lemma shows that $P_{s, x}^{+}$and $P_{c, x}^{-}$are orthogonal and complemen－ tary．

〈comp．proj〉 Lemma 2 Let $s(x)=\sin (\theta(x))$ and $c(x)=s(-x)$ where $\theta$ is defined as in Proposition 2．Then $P_{s, x}^{+}$and $P_{c, x}^{-}$are mutually orthogonal and complementary on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ in the sense that，for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ ，we have $P_{s, x}^{+} f+P_{c, x}^{-} f=f$ ．

Proof．Since $P_{s, x}^{+}$is an orthogonal projection，so is $I-P_{s, x}^{+}$，and $P_{s, x}^{+}\left(I-P_{s, x}^{+}\right)=$ 0.

We claim that $P_{c, x}^{-}=I-P_{s, x}^{+}$．In fact，since $s^{2}(x)+s^{2}(-x)=1$ ，we have：

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y)-P_{s, x}^{+} f(x, y) & =f(x, y)-s(x)[s(x) f(x, y)+s(-x) f(-x, y)] \\
& =f(x, y)\left[1-s^{2}(x)\right]-s(x) s(-x) f(-x, y) \\
& =f(x, y)\left[s^{2}(-x)\right]-c(-x) c(x) f(-x, y) \\
& =f(x, y)\left[c^{2}(x)\right]-c(-x) c(x) f(-x, y) \\
& =c(x)[c(x) f(x, y)-c(-x) f(-x, y)] \\
& =P_{c, x}^{-} f(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result is a direct consequence of Lemmata 1 and 2.
〈cor．comp．proj〉 Corollary 1 Let $s(x)=\sin (\theta(x))$ and $c(x)=s(-x)$ where $\theta$ is defined as in Proposition 2．Then $P_{s, y}^{+}$and $P_{c, y}^{-}$，defined as

$$
P_{s, y}^{+} f(x, y)=\overline{s(y)}[s(y) f(x, y)+s(-y) f(x,-y)]
$$

and

$$
P_{c, y}^{-} f(x, y)=\overline{c(y)}[c(y) f(x, y)-c(-y) f(x,-y)],
$$

are mutually orthogonal．In addition，for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ ，

$$
P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{c, y}^{-} f=f
$$

Similar to the projection operators $P_{s, x}^{+}$and $P_{c, x}^{-}$，also the operators $P_{s, y}^{+}$and $P_{c, y}^{-}$are smooth projections associated with the half－planes $y>0$ and $y<0$ ， respectively．

We next show how to define smooth projection operators associated conespaced domains. We define the regions

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \geq 0 \text { and }|x| \geq|y|\right\} \\
Q_{2} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \leq 0 \text { and }|x| \geq|y|\right\} \\
Q_{3} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y \geq 0 \text { and }|x| \leq|y|\right\} \\
Q_{4} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y \leq 0 \text { and }|x| \leq|y|\right\} \\
Q_{h} & =Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \text { and } Q_{v}=Q_{3} \cup Q_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The motivation for choosing such regions comes from the theory of shearlets where, as discussed in Sec. 3 below, it is useful to partition $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ into the 'horizontal' and 'vertical' cones $Q_{h}$ and $Q_{v}$ respectively.

We start by composing out projections operators to generate smooth projections associated with the orthogonal quadrants.

Proposition 3 Let $s, P_{s, x}^{+}, P_{c, x}^{-}$be as in Lemma 2 and $P_{s, y}^{+}$and $P_{s, y}^{-}$as in corollary 1. Then $P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}, P_{s, x}^{+,} P_{c, y}^{-}, P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{+}$, and $P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{-}$are projections and

$$
P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{-} f+P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{-} f=f
$$

Proof. It follows directly from the definition that $P_{s, x}^{+}, P_{s, y}^{+}, P_{c, x}^{-}$and $P_{s, y}^{-}$ commute with each other. Since $P_{s, x}^{+}$and $P_{s, y}^{+}$are projections,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}\right)^{2} & =\left(P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}\right)\left(P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}\right)=P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+} \\
& =\left(P_{s, x}^{+}\right)^{2}\left(P_{s, y}^{+}\right)^{2}=P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}\right)^{*}=\left(P_{s, y}^{+}\right)^{*}\left(P_{s, x}^{+}\right)^{*}=P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, x}^{+}=P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}
$$

Hence, $P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}$is an orthogonal projection. An identical argument shows that also the operators $P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{-}, P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{+}$and $P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{-}$are orthogonal projections. Finally using Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{-} f+P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{-} f \\
= & P_{s, x}^{+}\left(P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{s, y}^{-} f\right)+P_{c, x}^{-}\left(P_{s, y}^{+} f+P_{s, y}^{-} f\right) \\
= & P_{s, x}^{+} f+P_{c, x}^{-} f \\
= & f .
\end{aligned}
$$

With appropriate rotations, we can now define smooth orthogonal projections associated with the cones $Q_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Let $R_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$ be the rotation by the angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$, where the rotation operator in two dimensions is given by $R_{\theta} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1} \cos \theta-x_{2} \sin \theta, x_{1} \sin \theta+x_{2} \cos \theta\right)$. Then, we obtain:

1. $R_{-\frac{\pi}{4}} P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+} R_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$, associated with the cone $Q_{1}$;
2. $R_{-\frac{\pi}{4}} P_{c, x}^{-} P_{c, y}^{-} R_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$, associated with the cone $Q_{2}$;
3. $R_{-\frac{\pi}{4}} P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{+} R_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$, associated with the cone $Q_{3}$;

4．$R_{-\frac{\pi}{4}} P_{s, x}^{+} P_{c, y}^{-} R_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$ ，associated with the cone $Q_{4}$ ．
Using the above four projections，we obtain a smooth projection $P_{1}$ asso－ ciated with the horizontal cone $Q_{h}$ ，as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=R_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}\left(P_{s, x}^{+} P_{s, y}^{+}+P_{c, x}^{-} P_{c, y}^{-}\right) R_{\frac{\pi}{4}} f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a smooth projection $P_{2}$ associated with the horizontal cone $Q_{v}$ ，as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}=R_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}\left(P_{c, x}^{-} P_{s, y}^{+}+P_{s, x}^{+} P_{c, y}^{-}\right) R_{\frac{\pi}{4}} f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction，we have $P_{2} f+P_{1} f=f$ ．
We remark that the smooth orthogonal projections $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are asso－ ciated with the cones $Q_{h}$ and $Q_{v}$ in the sense defined above but they are not projections onto subspaces of $L^{2}$ with support on $Q_{h}$ and $Q_{v}$ ．Namely， by taking $\phi$ in Proposition 2 to have arbitrarily small $\epsilon$ support，the smooth function $s$ approximates the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$within $\epsilon$ ．Hence， for any function $f$ with support in $Q_{h}^{\epsilon}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|y|<|x|-\epsilon\right\}$ we have that $P_{1} f=f$ ；similarly for any for any function $f$ with support in $Q_{v}^{\epsilon}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|x|<|y|-\epsilon\right\}$ we have that $P_{2} f=f$ ．Also $P_{1} f(x, y)=0$ if $\| y\left|>|x|+\epsilon\right.$ and $P_{2} f(x, y)=0$ if $||x|>|y|+\epsilon$ ．

## 2．2 Projections on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

〈sec：2〉 We present a new general procedure to generate orthogonal projections into subspaces of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ associated with partitions of the domain $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ．We will use this procedure to build projections in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and an alternative method to build smooth projections associated with cone－shaped domains on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ．

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T$ be the cyclic coordinate permutation operator on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ． Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, s_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $s_{j}=s_{1}\left(T^{j-1}(\cdot)\right)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots N$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|s_{j}\right|^{2}=1
$$

For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ，define $V_{j}$ as

$$
V_{j} f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \overline{s_{j}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} w^{\tau j} f\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right),
$$

where $w=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{N}}$ ．We then have the following result．
${ }^{\text {（thm1〉 }}$ Theorem 1 For $V_{j}, T$ ，and $s_{j}$ defined as above，the operator $P_{j}$ given by

$$
P_{j} f(x)=V_{j} V_{j}^{*} f(x)=\overline{s_{j}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} \overline{w^{\tau j}} s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right) f\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right)
$$

is an orthogonal projection on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. If for each $\tau \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{\tau j} \overline{s_{j}}(x) s_{j+\tau}(x)=\delta_{\tau, 0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also have that, for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), f=\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{j} f$.
Remark 1 There are simple solutions to (4). Clearly, the constant functions $s_{j}=w \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, for every $j$, and $s_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, for every $j$, satisfy equation (4). A non-smooth solution can be obtained by using indicator functions. If $U_{j}$ are disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\chi_{U_{j+1}}=\chi_{U_{j}}(T(\cdot))$ with $\bigcup_{\tau=}^{N} U_{\tau}=R^{N}$, then $s_{j}=\chi_{U_{j}}$ is a solution of (4).

We also remark that there is a relationship between the solutions of (4) and the solution of the filter equations associated with M-band wavelets [24]. The exploration of this connection is beyond the scope of this paper and will be investigated in a separate work.

Before proving Theorem 1, we calculate $V_{j}^{*}$. Applying the change of variable $T^{\tau} x=y$ on the third line below and by the change of index $k=N-\tau$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle V_{j}^{*} f, g\right\rangle & =\left\langle f, V_{j} g\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) \overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \overline{s_{j}(x)}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} w^{\tau j} g\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \overline{w^{\tau j}} s_{j}(x) \overline{g\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right)} f(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w^{(N-\tau) j}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\tau}\right| s_{j}\left(T^{N-\tau}(y)\right) f\left(T^{N-\tau}(y)\right) \overline{g(y)} d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} w^{k j} s_{j}\left(T^{k}(y)\right) f\left(T^{k}(y)\right)\right) \overline{g(y)} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $V_{j}^{*} f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} w^{\tau j} s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right)$.
To prove Theorem 1, we use the fact that $P_{j}=V_{j} V_{j}^{*}$ is a projection if $\left(V_{j}^{*} V_{j}\right) V_{j}^{*}=V_{j}^{*}$. In fact, if $\left(V_{j}^{*} V_{j}\right) V_{j}^{*}=V_{j}^{*}$, then

$$
P_{j}^{2}=V_{j} V_{j}^{*} V_{j} V_{j}^{*}=V_{j}\left(V_{j}^{*} V_{j}\right) V_{j}^{*}=V_{j} V_{j}^{*}
$$

and the self adjointness of $P_{j}=V_{j} V_{j}^{*}$ is immediate from the definition.
Proof of Theorem 1.
Clearly $P_{j}^{*}=P_{j}$. To prove that $P_{j}$ is a projection, we will show that $V_{j}^{*} V_{j} V_{j}^{*}=V_{j}^{*}$. In the calculation below we make an explicit use of the fact
that $w$ and the operator $T$ are cyclic of order $N$. Using the expression of $V_{j}^{*} f$ from above and letting $m=k+\tau$ on the third line below, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j} V_{j}^{*} f & =V_{j}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} w^{\tau j} s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left(w^{k j} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} w^{\tau j} s_{j}\left(T^{k} T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{k} T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left(w^{(k+\tau) j} s_{j}\left(T^{k+\tau}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{k+\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=k}^{N+k-1}\left(w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=k}^{N-1}\left(w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=N}^{N+k-1}\left(w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=k}^{N-1}\left(w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1}\left(w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \overline{s_{j}} N \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) \\
& =\overline{s_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{j}^{*}\left(V_{j} V_{j}^{*} f\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left(w^{\tau j} s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right)\left(\overline{s_{j}}\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} w^{k j} s_{j}\left(T^{\tau} T^{k}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{\tau} T^{k}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left(\left|s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right|^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} w^{(k+\tau) j} s_{j}\left(T^{k+\tau}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{k+\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left(\left|s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right|^{2} \sum_{m=i}^{N+\tau-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left(| s _ { j } ( T ^ { \tau } ( \cdot ) ) | ^ { 2 } \left(\sum_{m=\tau}^{N-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{m=N}^{N+\tau-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left(| s _ { j } ( T ^ { \tau } ( \cdot ) ) | ^ { 2 } \left(\sum_{m=\tau}^{N-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1}\left|s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(\cdot)\right)\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} w^{m j} s_{j}\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right) f\left(T^{m}(\cdot)\right)=V_{j}^{*} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P_{j}$ is an orthogonal projection.
Finally, when for each $\tau \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{\tau j} \overline{s_{j}}(x) s_{j+\tau}(x)=\delta_{\tau, 0}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{j} f(x) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{s_{j}}(x)\left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} w^{\tau j} s_{j}\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right) f\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\tau=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{\tau j} \overline{s_{j}}(x) s_{j+\tau}(x) f\left(T^{\tau}(x)\right) \\
& =f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3 Smooth projections on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Alternative construction.

〈ss_smooth Let $s_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $T$ be the cyclic permutation operator on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $T^{2}=T$ and $s_{1}(T(\cdot))=s_{2}$ with $\left|s_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|s_{2}\right|^{2}=1$.

For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, following the result in Theorem 1 , the operators $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ given $P_{1} f=\overline{s_{1}}\left(s_{1} f+s_{2} f(T(\cdot))\right)$ and $P_{2} f=\overline{s_{2}}\left(s_{1} f-s_{2} f(T(\cdot))\right)$ are orthogonal projections. Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1} f+P_{2} f & =\overline{s_{1}}\left(s_{1} f-s_{2} f(T(\cdot))\right)+\overline{s_{2}}\left(s_{2} f+s_{1} f(T(\cdot))\right) \\
& =\left(\left|s_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|s_{2}\right|^{2}\right) f+\left(\overline{s_{2}} s_{1}-\overline{s_{1}} s_{2}\right) f(T(\cdot)) \\
& =f+\left(\overline{s_{2}} s_{1}-\overline{s_{1}} s_{2}\right) f(T(\cdot)) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 2 Graph of the smooth window function $s_{1}$.
$\langle$ fig. 2d〉
Assuming $s$ is a real valued function, then we have that $P_{1} f+P_{2} f=f$.
By constructing appropriate smooth real functions $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ satisfying $s_{1}^{2}+$ $s_{2}^{2}=1$, we can generate smooth projections associated with the cone shaped domains $Q_{i}, i=1, \ldots 4$. For that purpose, we will adapt the construction of a smooth function from Section 2.1 to the 2-dimensional setting. Similar to Section 2.1, let $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function with $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ and $\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \phi(t) d t=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Define

$$
\theta(x, y)=\int_{-\infty}^{x-y} \phi(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{-x-y} \phi(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{-x+y} \phi(t) d t
$$

Since $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is supported on $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, it follows that $\theta$ is supported in the region $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|y|<|x|+\epsilon\right\}$. In addition, the properties of $\phi$ imply that

$$
\theta(x, y)=\frac{\pi}{2} \text { if }|y|<|x|-\epsilon
$$

Moreover we claim that $\theta(x, y)+\theta(y, x)=\frac{\pi}{2}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(x, y)+\theta(y, x) \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{x-y} \phi(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{-x-y} \phi(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{-x+y} \phi(t) d t \\
+ & \int_{-\infty}^{y-x} \phi(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{-x-y} \phi(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{x-y} \phi(t) d t . \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x-y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{y-x} \phi(t) d t\right) \\
+ & \int_{-\infty}^{-x-y} \phi(t) d t\left(\int_{-\infty}^{y-x} \phi(t) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{x-y} \phi(t) d t\right) \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x-y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{x-y}^{\infty} \phi(t) d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{-\infty}^{-x-y} \phi(t) d t\left(\int_{-\infty}^{y-x} \phi(t) d t+\int_{y-x}^{\infty} \phi(t) d t\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{-x-y} \phi(t) d t\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x+y} \phi(t) d t+\int_{x+y}^{\infty} \phi(t) d t\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}=\frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we set $s_{1}(x, y)=\sin (\theta(x, y))$ and $s_{2}(x, y)=\sin (\theta(y, x))$. Then,

$$
s_{2}(x, y)=\sin (\theta(y, x))=\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta(x, y)\right)=\cos (\theta(x, y))
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|s_{1}(x, y)\right|^{2}+\left|s_{2}(y, x)\right|^{2}=\sin ^{2}(\theta(y, x))+\cos ^{2}(\theta(x, y))=1 .
$$

The projections $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ we have so constructed are smooth orthogonal projections associated with the horizontal cone $Q_{h}$ and the vertical cone $Q_{v}$, respectively, in the sense discussed at the end of Section 2.1. The smooth function $s_{1}$ is illustrated in Figure 2 and it shows that - as expected - it approximates the characteristic function of the cone $Q_{h}$.

Note that the projections we constructed in this section are very similar but not identical to the projections $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ defined in Section 2.1.

## 3 Smooth Parseval frames of shearlets

$\left\langle\right.$ sec: ${ }^{3\rangle}$ We now apply our newly constructed smooth projection operators to construct Parseval frames of shearlets that are localized on appropriate cone-shaped domains of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The general case $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N>3$, is technically challenging since - as shown in Sec. 2.2 - the cyclic coordinate permutation operators $T^{\tau}$ do not commute.

### 3.1 Construction of shearlets

We recall some basic facts about 2-dimensional shearlets from [10,13]. Given a function $\psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, a system of 2 -dimensional shearlets is a collection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi_{j, \ell, k}=\left|\operatorname{det} A_{(1)}\right|^{-\frac{j}{2}} \psi\left(B_{(1)}^{\ell} A_{(1)}^{-j} \cdot-k\right): j, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$ and $B_{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. The matrix $A_{(1)}$ is an anisotropic dilation matrix, so-called since it produces a different dilation factor along the
horizontal and vertical directions. The non-expanding matrix $B_{(1)}$ is called a shear matrix and its integer powers control the directional properties of the shearlet system. The generator function $\psi$ can be chosen to be a well-localized function such that the corresponding system (6) is a Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ [20]. Due to the action of the integer powers of the anisotropic dilation and shear matrices, the system (6) forms a Parseval frame of waveforms defined at various scales, orientations and locations, controlled by $j, \ell$, and $k$, respectively. The frequency tiling associated with this system is shown in Figure 3 (left). We refer the interested reader to $[9,14,18,25]$ for additional constructions of discrete shearlets and other related systems.


Fig. 3 Frequency tiling corresponding to horizontal shearlets (left) and to the system of cone-based shearlets (right).
$\langle f i g$. shear1 $\rangle$

### 3.2 Cone-based shearlet on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

?〈sec.coneb〉? As suggested by Figure 3 (left), the system of shearlets (6) has a directional bias. Namely, due to the action of the shear matrix $B_{(1)}$, the elements of this system are not very efficient at representing functions whose support is concentrated along the $\xi_{2}$ axis in the Fourier domain and to accurately represent such functions one needs $\ell \rightarrow \pm \infty$. This behavior is a limitation in applications from sparse approximations $[10,11,16]$ where it is desirable to uniformly represent information associated to any direction. For this reason, a modified system of shearlets, called cone-based shearlets was introduced [13,20]. We recall this construction below.

Let $\phi$ be a $C^{\infty}$ bivariate function such that

$$
0 \leq \hat{\phi} \leq 1, \hat{\phi}=1 \text { on }\left[-\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}\right]^{2}, \text { and } \hat{\phi}=0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\left[-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right]^{2}
$$

(7) eq.phi2
and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\xi)=\sqrt{\widehat{\phi}^{2}\left(2^{-2} \xi\right)-\widehat{\phi}^{2}(\xi)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\widehat{\phi}^{2}(\xi)+\sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right)=1 \text { for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

(9) eq.phi2+w

Each function $w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j}\right.$.) has support into the Cartesian corona

$$
K_{j}=\left[-2^{2 j-1}, 2^{2 j-1}\right]^{2} \backslash\left[-2^{2 j-4}, 2^{2 j-4}\right]^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

Also, let $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} v \subset[-1,1] \text { and } \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}|v(u-m)|^{2}=1 \quad \text { for } u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this notation we state the following definition.
Definition 1 For $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $V_{(1)}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=v\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}\right)$, a horizontal shearlet system for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a collection of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}=\left\{\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}: j \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}(\xi)=\left|\operatorname{det} A_{(1)}\right|^{-j / 2} w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) V_{(1)}\left(\xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell}\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell} k} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A_{(1)}, B_{(1)}$ are given after (6). Similarly, a vertical shearlet system for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a collection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}=\left\{\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}: j \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}(\xi)=\left|\operatorname{det} A_{(2)}\right|^{-j / 2} w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) V_{(2)}\left(\xi A_{(2)}^{-j} B_{(2)}^{-\ell}\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(2)}^{-j} B_{(2)}^{-\ell} k}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4\end{array}\right), B_{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $V_{(2)}(\xi)=v\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}\right)$.
It is shown in $[13,20]$ that a horizontal shearlet system $\Psi_{1}$, with the range of $\ell$ restricted to $\left[-2^{j}, 2^{j}\right]$, forms a Parseval frame of the subspace $L^{2}\left(Q_{h t}\right)^{\vee}$ corresponding to the truncated horizontal cone $Q_{h t}=Q_{h} \backslash\left[-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right]^{2}$. That is, given any $f \in L^{2}\left(Q_{h t}\right)^{\vee}$, we have that

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell=-2^{j}}^{2^{j}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\left\langle f, \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} .
$$

Similarly, a vertical shearlet system $\Psi_{2}$, with $\ell$-range restricted to $\left[-2^{j}, 2^{j}\right]$, forms a Parseval frame of the subspace $L^{2}\left(Q_{v t}\right)^{\vee}$ where $Q_{v t}=Q_{v} \backslash\left[-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right]^{2}$. One can obtain a Parseval frame of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by appropriately projecting and combining these systems with a system of coarse scale functions $\{\phi(2 \cdot-k), k \in$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}$, where $\phi$ satisfies (7). Namely, the cone-based shearlet system

$$
\begin{equation*}
S H=P_{Q_{0}} \Phi(\phi) \cup P_{Q_{h t}} \Psi_{1} \cup P_{Q_{v t}} \Psi_{2}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{Q_{0}} f=\left(\chi_{Q_{0}} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee}, P_{Q_{h t}} f=\left(\chi_{Q_{h t}} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee}$ and $P_{Q_{v t}} f=\left(\chi_{Q_{v t}} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee}$, is a Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ [20]. The corresponding tiling in the Fourier domain is shown in Fig. 3.

The cone-based Parseval frame of shearlets avoids the directional bias of the systems $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$. However, this construction has the drawback of introducing discontinuities in the Fourier domain for those elements whose Fourier supports overlap the boundaries of the regions $Q_{h}$ and $Q_{v}$. In several applications - most notably sparse approximations $[10,11,16]$ - it is important that the shearlet system avoids directional bias and that all its elements are smooth or have several continuous derivatives. Hence, the shearlet system (15) is not acceptable for such applications. To correct this limitation, a modified cone-based construction was introduced in [13] whose main idea consists in re-defining those shearlet elements whose Fourier support overlaps the boundaries of the cones $Q_{h}$ and $Q_{v}$.
3.3 New cone-based shearlet on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$
$\langle\boldsymbol{s e c} . \boldsymbol{c o n e c}\rangle$ We derive a novel construction of smooth cone-based shearlets that relies on the new smooth projections we constructed in Section 2.
${ }^{\langle t h m 2\rangle}$ Theorem 2 Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be the smooth orthogonal projections on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ defined in Section 2.1 by (2) and (3) (or their variants defined in Section 2.3), and let $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ be the systems (11) and (13), respectively, where the functions $w$ and $v$ in (12) and (14) are $C_{c}^{\infty}$ functions satisfying (8) and (10). Also, let

$$
\Phi(\phi)=\left\{\phi_{k}=\phi(\cdot-k): k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}
$$

(16) ?eqs.phi?
where $\phi$ satisfies (7). Then the shearlet system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\phi) \cup \Pi_{1} \Psi_{1} \cup \Pi_{2} \Psi_{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a smooth Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, where, $\Pi_{d} f=\left(P_{d} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee}$ for $d=1,2$. That is, for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left\langle f, \phi_{k}\right\rangle \phi_{k}+\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{|\ell| \leq 2^{j}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left\langle f, \Pi_{1} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle \Pi_{1} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)} \\
& +\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{|\ell| \leq 2^{j}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left\langle f, \Pi_{2} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}\right\rangle \Pi_{2} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)},
\end{aligned}
$$

with convergence in the $L^{2}$-norm. Here the functions $\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ and $\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}$ are the elements of $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$, respectively.

Before proving the theorem, we make the following observation.
${ }^{\langle\text {lem.3〉 }}$ Lemma 3 Under the assumptions and notation of Theorem 2, the system $\Phi(\phi) \cup \Psi_{1}$ is a Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Similarly, the system $\Phi(\phi) \cup \Psi_{2}$ is a Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is adapted from [13].
Since $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell}=\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-j} \xi_{2}-\ell 2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}\right)$, we can write $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ in (11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=2^{-\frac{3}{2} j} w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right) v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell} k} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the change of variable $\eta=\xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell}$ and the notation $K=\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}$, for $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2} j} \hat{f}(\xi) w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right) v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell} k} d \xi\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\int_{K} 2^{\frac{3}{2} j} \hat{f}\left(\eta B_{(1)}^{\ell} A_{(1)}^{j}\right) w\left(\eta_{1}, 2^{-j}\left(\eta_{2}+\ell \eta_{1}\right)\right) v\left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}}\right) e^{2 \pi i \eta k} d \eta\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{K} 2^{3 j}\left|\hat{f}\left(\eta B_{(1)}^{\ell} A_{(1)}^{j}\right)\right|^{2} w^{2}\left(\eta_{1}, 2^{-j}\left(\eta_{2}+\ell \eta_{1}\right)\right)\left|v\left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}}\right)\right|^{2} d \eta \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right)\left|v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right)\left|v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right) d \xi . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the last equality, we have used (10). A similar (simpler) computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\phi}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \hat{\phi}^{2}(\xi) d \xi \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (9), (19) and (20), we now conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\phi}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(\hat{\phi}^{2}(\xi)+\sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right)\right) d \xi \\
& =\|f\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof for the system $\Phi(\phi) \cup \Psi_{2}$ is very similar.

We can now prove Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2. The proof follows from Proposition 1, Lemma 3 and the observation that, for any $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), g=P_{1} g+P_{2} g$. In fact, for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\Pi_{1} f+\Pi_{2} f \\
& =\sum_{k}\left\langle\Pi_{1} f, \phi_{k}\right\rangle \phi_{k}+\sum_{j, \ell, k}\left\langle\Pi_{1} f, \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle \Pi_{1} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)} \\
& +\sum_{k}\left\langle\Pi_{2} f, \phi_{k}\right\rangle \phi_{k}+\sum_{j, \ell, k}\left\langle\Pi_{2} f, \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}\right\rangle \Pi_{2} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)} \\
& =\sum_{k}\left\langle f, \phi_{k}\right\rangle \phi_{k}+\sum_{j, \ell, k}\left\langle f, \Pi_{1} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle \Pi_{1} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}+\sum_{j, \ell, k}\left\langle f, \Pi_{2} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}\right\rangle \Pi_{2} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)},
\end{aligned}
$$

with convergence in $L^{2}$ norm. In addition, since projections $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are smooth, then the Parseval frame (17) consists of smooth functions.

Remark 2 The Fourier-domain tiling associated to the Parseval frame of shearlets (17) has the same geometry as the one illustrated in Fig. 3 for the coneshaped shearlets. In particular, we claim that, by choosing the smooth orthogonal projections $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ with a value of $\epsilon$ sufficiently small (see Proposition 2 and the comment after (3)), for each scale parameter $j$ only the elements $\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(d)}$ with $\ell= \pm 2^{j}, \ell= \pm\left(2^{j}-1\right)$ and $\ell= \pm\left(2^{j}+1\right)$ are affected by the action of the projections $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Below, we prove our claim for the projection $P_{1}$; the argument for $P_{2}$ is very similar.

Recall that, for any function $g$ with support in $Q_{h}^{\epsilon}=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|y|<\right.$ $|x|-\epsilon\}$, we have that $P_{1} g=g$. Hence to prove our claim it will be sufficient to show that: (i) the lines $\xi_{2}=\xi_{1}-\epsilon$ and $\xi_{2}=-\xi_{1}+\epsilon$, for $\left|\xi_{1}\right|>2^{-4}$ are contained inside the supports of the shearlet elements $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ with $\ell=2^{j}$ and $\ell=-2^{j}$, respectively; (ii) for any fixed $j$, the shearlet elements $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ only overlap those corresponding to $\ell+1$ and $\ell-1$.

For (ii), we observe that in the Fourier domain an element of the set $\Psi_{1}$, given by (12), is expressed as (18) Hence, by (10), at any fixed scale $j$, the functions $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ only overlap the adjacent functions $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell-1, k}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell+1, k}^{(1)}$. For (i), a direct calculation shows that the Fourier support of $\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ is given by the trapezoidal region

$$
Z_{j, \ell}=\left\{\left|\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell 2^{-j}\right| \leq 2^{-j}, \xi_{1} \in\left[-2^{2 j-1}, 2^{2 j-1}\right] \backslash\left[-2^{2 j-4}, 2^{2 j-4}\right]\right\} ;
$$

in particular the supports of the shearlet elements corresponding to $\ell=2^{j}$ are contained in the trapezoids of vertices $\left(2^{2 j-4}, 2^{2 j-4} \pm 2^{j-1}\right)$ and $\left(2^{2 j-1}, 2^{2 j-1} \pm\right.$ $\left.2^{j-4}\right)$. A direct calculation shows that if $\epsilon<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2^{-4}$, then, for $\xi_{1}>2^{-4}$ the line $\xi_{2}=\xi_{1}-\epsilon$ is fully contained inside the supports of the trapezoidal regions $Z_{j, 2^{j}}$. Similarly, the line $\xi_{2}=-\xi_{1}+\epsilon$ is fully contained inside the supports of the trapezoidal regions $Z_{j,-2^{j}}$.

Finally, we observe that, under the choice of $\epsilon$ described above, $P_{1} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}=0$ if $|\ell|>2^{j}+1$ (and similarly for $P_{2} \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(2)}$ ). Hence, in the definition of the conebased system of shearlets (17), we could restrict the range of $\ell$ to $|\ell| \leq 2^{j}+1$ without affecting the Parseval frame property.

### 3.4 Smooth cylindrical shearlets in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$

In this section, we construct a new smooth Parseval frame of shearlets in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ that we call cylindrical shearlets. This system is motivated by applications from hyperspectral imaging where the first two variables are associated with the space domain and the third one with the spectrum [23]. Because of the geometry of such data, it is convenient to use a representation that is directionally sensitive with respect to the space variables only [26]. For this reason, we consider a modified 3 -dimensional shearlet system where the shear variable is one-dimensional unlike the conventional case where it is two-dimensional. We expect that cylindrical shearlets could provide improved sparse approximations for image models consistent with hyperspectral data found in applications.

We define our new construction by modifying the approach in $[12,13]$. Unlike the classical 3-dimensional shearlets that require to partition the space into 3 pyramids, we associate cylindrical shearlets to two cylindrical pyramids $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined below:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:\left|\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}\right| \leq 1\right\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{2}=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:\left|\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}\right| \leq 1\right\}
$$



Fig. 4 Representative elements of the cylindrical shearlet system $\left\{\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\}$ for $(j, \ell)=(0,2)$ and $(j, \ell)=(3,2)$, in the Fourier domain.
〈fig. 3d $\rangle$

Similar to the 2-dimensional case, we let $\phi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ be such that $\hat{\phi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}$,

$$
0 \leq \hat{\phi} \leq 1, \hat{\phi}=1 \text { on }\left[-\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}\right]^{3} \text { and } \hat{\phi}=0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left[-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right]^{3}
$$

and define $w$ according to (8), so that

$$
\widehat{\phi}^{2}(\xi)+\sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right)=1 \text { for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3} .
$$

(22) eq.phi3+w

The functions $w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j}\right.$.) have support in the Cartesian coronae

$$
K_{j}=\left[-2^{2 j-1}, 2^{2 j-1}\right]^{3} \backslash\left[-2^{2 j-4}, 2^{2 j-4}\right]^{3} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

and, for $j \geq 0$, produce a smooth tiling of the frequency space.
Definition 2 For $d=1,2$, a cylindrical shearlet system is a countable collection of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{d}^{C}=\left\{\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(d)}: j \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(d)}(\xi)=\left|\operatorname{det} A_{(d)}\right|^{-\frac{j}{2}} w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) V_{(d)}\left(\xi A_{(d)}^{-j} B_{(d)}^{-\ell}\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(d)}^{-j} B_{(d)}^{-\ell} k}, \\
V_{(1)}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)=v\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}\right), V_{(2)}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)=v\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}\right), v \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text { satisfies }(10) \text { and } \\
A_{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right), B_{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), A_{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right), B_{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

(24) sh.one.f

For $d=1$, observing that $\left|\operatorname{det} A_{(1)}\right|=2^{5}$ and

$$
\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell}=\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1},-2^{-2 j} \ell \xi_{1}+2^{-j} \xi_{2}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{3}\right)
$$

an element of the cylindrical shearlets system (24) can be written as

$$
\hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}(\xi)=2^{-\frac{5 j}{2}} w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell} k}
$$

(25) explicit:psihat
showing that the Fourier support of $\psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}$ is contained inside the region

$$
U_{j, \ell}=\left\{\xi \in\left[-2^{2 j-1}, 2^{2 j-1}\right]^{3} \backslash\left[-2^{2 j-4}, 2^{2 j-4}\right]^{3} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}:\left|\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell 2^{-j}\right| \leq 2^{-j}\right\}
$$

The Fourier support of a representative element of the cylindrical shearlet system is shown in Fig. 4.

An argument similar to the 2-dimensional case shows that each system $\Psi_{d}^{C}$, $d=1,2$, is a Parseval frames of $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d} \backslash\left[-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right]^{3}\right)^{\vee}$. To construct a smooth pyramid-based Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we will proceed as in Sec. 3.3. We start with the following observation similar to Lemma 3.
${ }^{\langle p r o .4\rangle}$ Proposition 4 Let $\Phi(\phi)=\left\{\phi_{k}=\phi(\cdot-k): k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}\right\}$ where $\phi$ satisfies (21) and $\Psi_{d}^{C}, d=1,2$ be the systems (23) where $w, v$ satisfy (8) and (10). Then the systems $\Phi(\phi) \cup \Psi_{1}^{C}$ and $\Phi(\phi) \cup \Psi_{2}^{C}$ are both Parseval frames of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

That is, for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$,

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\left\langle f, \psi_{j, \ell, k}^{(d)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} .
$$

Proof. We only prove case $d=1$ since the arguments for $d=2$ is similar.
Using the explicit form of the cylindrical shearlet (25), the change of variable $\eta=\xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell}$ and the notation $K=\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j \cdot \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} 2^{-\frac{5 j}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) w\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right) e^{2 \pi i \xi A_{(1)}^{-j} B_{(1)}^{-\ell} k} d \xi\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} 2^{\frac{5 j}{2}} \hat{f}\left(\eta A_{(1)}^{j} B_{(1)}^{\ell}\right) w(\eta) v\left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}}\right) e^{2 \pi i \eta k} d \eta\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{K} 2^{5 j}\left|\hat{f}\left(\eta A_{(1)}^{j} B_{(1)}^{\ell}\right)\right|^{2}|w(\eta)|^{2}\left|v\left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}}\right)\right|^{2} d \eta \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right)\left|v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right)\right|^{2} d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the computation above, we have used the fact that the function $w\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{3}\right) v\left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}}\right)$ is supported inside $K$. Thus, using (10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell=-2^{j}}^{2^{j}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j . \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|v\left(2^{j} \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}-\ell\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \xi \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi\right) d \xi \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

As in Lemma 3, a direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\phi}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \hat{\phi}^{2}(\xi) d \xi \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using (22), (26) and (27), we conclude that, for each $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\phi}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{j, \ell, k}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(\hat{\phi}^{2}(\xi)+\sum_{j \geq 0} w^{2}\left(2^{-2 j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-2 j} \xi_{2}\right)\right) d \xi \\
& =\|f\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the 2-dimensional construction of smooth cone-based shearlets, we can construct a smooth Parseval frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ by appropriately projecting a combination of the cylindrical shearlets (23) and a family of coarse scale functions. To define our orthogonal projections, we slightly modify the result from Section 2.3.

〈proj:prop〉
Proposition 5 Let $k_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $T$ be the commutation operator on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $k_{1}(T(x, y, z))=k_{1}(y, x, z)=k_{2}(x, y, z)$ with

$$
\left|k_{2}(x, y, z)\right|^{2}+\left|k_{1}(x, y, z)\right|^{2}=1
$$

For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, the operators

$$
P_{1}=k_{1}\left(k_{1} f+k_{2} f(T)\right) \text { and } P_{2}=k_{2}\left(k_{1} f-k_{2} f(T)\right)
$$

are orthogonal projections. Here $f(T)=f(T(x))$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. In addition, $P_{1} f+P_{2} f=f$.

Proof. The statement that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are orthogonal projections follows directly from Theorem 1 . The property that $P_{1} f+P_{2} f=f$ follows from (5) after observing that $k_{1}(x, y, z)=s_{1}(x, y)$.

As observed in Section 2.3, we can define a smooth function $s_{1}$ and hence smooth functions $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5. The corresponding projection operators $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are then smooth projections associated with the pyramidal regions $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$, respectively. Hence, similar to Theorem 2, using Propositions 1, 4 and 5, we have the following result.

Theorem 3 For $d=1,2$, let $\Psi_{d}^{C}$ be as in (23) where $w$, $v$ satisfy (8), and (10), respectively. Also, let $\Pi_{d}$ be defined by $\Pi_{d} f=\left(P_{d} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee}$, where $P_{d}$ is given by Proposition 5 and $k_{1}(x, y, z)=s_{1}(x, y)$ is the smooth function constructed in Section 2.3. Then

$$
\left\{\phi(\cdot-k): k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}\right\} \cup\left\{\Pi_{d} \psi_{j . \ell, k}^{(d)}: j \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}, d=1,2\right\},
$$

where where $\phi$ satisfies (21), is a Parseval frame of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
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