- 1. c. The interquartile range increases.
- 2. 0.003348589
- 3. --
- 4.  $b_0 = y$ -intercept and  $b_1 = s$  lope. Which of these will best explain the relationship between x and y? b<sub>1</sub>
- 5. --
- 6. d. Deciding to go for the first down when his team will not get the first down.
- 7. 15/43
- 8. 9/20
- 9. a. [17.01, 26.79]
  - b. [29.75313, 170.9206]
- 10.

$$P\left(Z < \frac{\binom{7020}{52} - 140}{\frac{10}{\sqrt{52}}}\right)$$

- 11. b. two-sample t-test for means
- 12. new mean = 5.64, new s=1.32
- 13. E[X] = 3.2, V[X] = 1.76
- 14. Median = 3.5 kg, shape is skewed right
- 15. b. A and C have reasonable intervals, but B does not.
- 16. [0.621, 0.779]

17. a. Fail to reject H<sub>0</sub> at 
$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$H_0: \mu = 33.5$$

$$H_a: \mu \neq 33.5$$

$$t = \frac{31.6 - 33.5}{\sqrt{2}} = -1.936$$

- $p(t \neq -1.936) = 2 \cdot p(t < -1.936) = 0.07897696$ b. Reject H<sub>0</sub> at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 
  - $H_0: \mu = 33.5$

$$H_a: \mu < 33.5$$

$$t = \frac{31.6 - 33.5}{3.4 / \sqrt{12}} = -1.936$$

$$p(t < -1.936) = p(t < -1.936) = 0.03948848$$

- c. two-sided t test vs. one-sided t test.
- 18. a. 1/4 b. 3/4
- 19. a.  $\hat{y} = -0.9644 + 0.0105x$  b.  $\hat{y} = 0.5742 + 0.0137 x$  c. IT (higher R<sup>2</sup> and lower p-value)

- 20. a. np=2, too small
  - b. since np and n(1-p) must each be at least 10, we will need 500 parts to sample c. Fail to reject H<sub>0</sub> at  $\alpha = 0.05$

$$H_{0}: \rho = 0.02 \qquad H_{0}: \rho = 0.02 H_{a}: \rho \neq 0.02 \qquad H_{a}: \rho > 0.02 z = \frac{.03 - .02}{\sqrt{.02(.98)/500}} = 1.597 \qquad z = \frac{.03 - .02}{\sqrt{.02(.98)/500}} = 1.597$$

 $p(z \neq 1.597) = 2 \cdot p(z > 1.597) = 0.1102657 \ p(z > 1.597) = 0.05513285$ 

- 21. Fail to reject the null hypothesis. (this is a two sided proportions test, the test statistic is 0.3333 which does not fall in the rejection region for 1% significance)
- 22. Reject H<sub>0</sub> at  $\alpha = 0.05$ H :  $\mu = 32$

$$H_{a}: \mu \neq 32$$

$$H_{a}: \mu \neq 32$$

$$t = \frac{35 - 32}{5/\sqrt{64}} = 4.8$$

$$p(t \neq 4.8) = 2 \cdot p(t > 4.8) = 1.014185e-05$$

- 23. (two sample z test since we have population sd) test statistic is  $z=-7.28 \Rightarrow$  Reject the null and conclude there is a difference in the means.
- 24. 0.420117
- 25. -0.5244005
- 26. 0.0306
- 27. 1.396815
- 28. 0.02550163
- 29.1537
- 30. matched pairs t-test. Reject the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \mu_D = 0$$
  

$$H_a: \mu_D > 0$$
  

$$t = \frac{33.3}{26.39044 / \sqrt{10}} = 3.99$$

p(t > 3.99) = 0.001578866

- 31. a. success/fail, same prob for success, independent trials
  - b. dbinom(4,6,.9)=0.098415
  - c. pbinom(2,6,.9)=0.00127
  - d. 1-pbinom(4,6,.9)=0.885735 (remember, this is discrete data)
- 32. for  $H_a$ : not all same, based on p-value given for data, reject the null at 5%

33. 
$$\int_{0}^{a} \frac{1}{2} x^{2} dx = \frac{1}{6} x^{3} \Big|_{0}^{a} = \frac{1}{6} a^{3} = 1 \rightarrow a = \sqrt[3]{6}$$
34. 98

35. Fail to reject the null hypothesis

$$H_{0}: \mu_{k} = \mu_{k}$$

$$H_{a}: \mu_{k} \neq \mu_{k}$$

$$t = \frac{18.56 - 17.95}{\sqrt{\frac{4.35^{2}}{65} + \frac{4.87^{2}}{75}}} = 0.783$$

$$p(t \neq 0.783) = 2 \cdot p(t > 0.783) = 0.436515$$
36. a.  $f = \text{MSTr/MSE} = 9.722$ 
b.  $p \text{-value} = 1\text{-}pf(9.722,3,16) = 0.000685104$ ; Reject H<sub>0</sub>; Pairs that differ significantly will have w = qukey(.95,4,16)\*sqrt(1.331/5)=2.087564; (1,2), (1,3), (4,2), (4,3)
37. Reject Ho
$$H_{0}: \rho_{r} = \rho_{n}$$

$$H_{a}: \rho_{r} > \rho_{n}$$

$$z = \frac{7 - 4}{\sqrt{\frac{86}{140}\left(\frac{54}{140}\right)\left(\frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{40}\right)}} = 3.29$$

$$p(z > 3.29) = 0.0005009369$$
38. Reject Ho
$$H_{0}: \rho = 0.8$$

$$H_{a}: \rho < 8$$

$$z = \frac{77}{\sqrt{8(2)/110}} = -2.622$$

$$p(z < -2.622) = 0.004370772$$
39. Reject the null hypothesis
$$H_{0}: \mu_{i} = \mu_{2}$$

$$H_{a}: \mu_{i} > \mu_{2}$$

$$t = \frac{85 - 83}{\sqrt{\frac{3^{2}}{75} + \frac{2^{2}}{60}}} = 4.629 (use df = 59)$$

$$p(t > 4.629) = 1.032084e - 05$$

40. a.  $\hat{y} = -46.425 + 1.158 x$  r = .9255 r<sup>2</sup> = .8566 b. b = 1.158 t\* = 1.860 SE<sub>b</sub> = .1676  $b \pm t^*SE_b$ 1.158  $\pm 1.860 \cdot .1676$ (.846, 1.467)

This means that I am 90% confident the true slope of the LSRL of math and verbal scores on the SAT will lie in this interval. OR: I am 90% confident that for every 1 point increase in math SAT score, the average increase in verbal SAT score will be between .846 and 1.467.

c.

$$H_0: \beta = 0 \qquad H_a: \beta \neq 0$$
  
$$t = 6.912$$

 $P(b \neq 0) = P(t \neq 6.912) = 0.000123$ 

Conclusion: Based on 5% significance level, I will reject the null hypothesis which states that there is no linear relationship between math and verbal scores on the SAT.

41. a.  $\hat{y} = 12.96 + 4.0162x$ 

b. On average, for each 1 point increase in the problem solving sub score, the was an increase of 4.0162 points in the total score.

c.  $R^2$  indicates that 62% of the variation in total scores can be explained by the LSRL of total scores on problem solving sub score.

d.

$$n = 36 \Longrightarrow df = 34 \Longrightarrow t^{\cdot} = 2.032$$
  

$$b \pm t^{\cdot}SE_{b}$$
  

$$4.0162 \pm 2.032 \cdot .5393$$
  

$$(2.920, 5.112)$$
  
e. All assumptions check.  

$$H_{0}: \beta = 0 \qquad H_{a}: \beta \neq 0$$
  

$$t = 7.45 \text{ from printout}$$
  

$$t = \frac{4.0162}{4.0162} \text{ formula}$$

$$t = \frac{1}{.5393}$$
 formula  
 $P(b \neq 0) = P(t \neq 7.45) = 0.000$ 

 $6.0613 \times 10^{-9}$  using tcdf on calculator

Based on 5% significance level I will reject the null hypothesis which states that there is no linear relationship between problem solving sub scores and total scores on the exam.