

SRB measures for non-uniformly hyperbolic systems

Vaughn Climenhaga
University of Maryland

October 21, 2010

Joint work with Dmitry Dolgopyat and Yakov Pesin

- 1 Introduction and classical results
 - Definition of SRB measure
 - Some known results
- 2 General method to build an SRB measure
 - Decomposing the space of invariant measures
 - Recurrence to compact sets
- 3 A non-uniform Hadamard–Perron theorem
 - Sequences of local diffeomorphisms
 - Frequency of large admissible manifolds
- 4 Sufficient conditions for existence of an SRB measure
 - Usable hyperbolicity
 - Existence of an SRB measure

Physically meaningful invariant measures

- M a compact Riemannian manifold
- $f: M \rightarrow M$ a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ local diffeomorphism
- \mathcal{M} the space of Borel measures on M
- $\mathcal{M}(f) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mu \text{ is } f\text{-invariant}\}$

Physically meaningful invariant measures

- M a compact Riemannian manifold
- $f: M \rightarrow M$ a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ local diffeomorphism
- \mathcal{M} the space of Borel measures on M
- $\mathcal{M}(f) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mu \text{ is } f\text{-invariant}\}$

Birkhoff ergodic theorem. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ is ergodic then it describes the statistics of μ -a.e. trajectory of f : for every integrable φ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) = \int \varphi d\mu$$

Physically meaningful invariant measures

- M a compact Riemannian manifold
- $f: M \rightarrow M$ a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ local diffeomorphism
- \mathcal{M} the space of Borel measures on M
- $\mathcal{M}(f) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mu \text{ is } f\text{-invariant}\}$

Birkhoff ergodic theorem. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ is ergodic then it describes the statistics of μ -a.e. trajectory of f : for every integrable φ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) = \int \varphi d\mu$$

To be “physically meaningful”, a measure should describe the statistics of *Lebesgue*-a.e. trajectory.

SRB measures

- Smooth/absolutely continuous invariant measures are physically meaningful, but. . .

SRB measures

- Smooth/absolutely continuous invariant measures are physically meaningful, but. . .
- . . . many systems are not conservative.
- Interesting dynamics often happen on a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

SRB measures

- Smooth/absolutely continuous invariant measures are physically meaningful, but. . .
- . . . many systems are not conservative.
- Interesting dynamics often happen on a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

“absolutely continuous” \rightsquigarrow “a.c. on unstable manifolds”

$\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ is an SRB measure if

- 1 all Lyapunov exponents non-zero;
- 2 μ has a.c. conditional measures on unstable manifolds.

SRB measures are physically meaningful. **Goal: Prove existence of an SRB measure.**

Uniform geometric structure

SRB measures are known to exist in the following settings.

- Uniformly hyperbolic f (Sinai, Ruelle, Bowen)
- Partially hyperbolic f with positive/negative central exponents (Alves–Bonatti–Viana, Burns–Dolgopyat–Pesin–Pollicott)

Key tool is a **dominated splitting** $T_x M = E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x)$.

- 1 E^s, E^u depend continuously on x .
- 2 $\angle(E^s, E^u)$ is bounded away from 0.

Both conditions fail for non-uniformly hyperbolic f .

Non-uniformly hyperbolic maps

The Hénon maps $f_{a,b}(x, y) = (y + 1 - ax^2, bx)$ are a perturbation of the family of logistic maps $g_a(x) = 1 - ax^2$.

- ① g_a has an absolutely continuous invariant measure for “many” values of a . (Jakobson)
- ② For b small, $f_{a,b}$ has an SRB measure for “many” values of a . (Benedicks–Carleson, Benedicks–Young)
- ③ Similar results for “rank one attractors” – small perturbations of one-dimensional maps with non-recurrent critical points. (Wang–Young)

Genuine non-uniform hyperbolicity, but only one unstable direction, and stable direction must be strongly contracting.

Constructing invariant measures

- f acts on \mathcal{M} by $f_*: m \mapsto m \circ f^{-1}$.
- Fixed points of f_* are invariant measures.
- Césaro averages + weak* compactness \Rightarrow invariant measures:

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_*^k m \qquad \mu_{n_j} \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$$

Constructing invariant measures

- f acts on \mathcal{M} by $f_*: m \mapsto m \circ f^{-1}$.
- Fixed points of f_* are invariant measures.
- Césaro averages + weak* compactness \Rightarrow invariant measures:

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_*^k m \qquad \mu_{n_j} \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$$

Idea: $m = \text{volume} \Rightarrow \mu$ is an SRB measure.

$H = \{x \in M \mid \text{all Lyapunov exponents non-zero at } x\}$

$\mathcal{S} = \{\nu \in \mathcal{M} \mid \nu(H) = 1, \nu \text{ a.c. on unstable manifolds}\}$

- $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{M}(f) = \{\text{SRB measures}\}$
- \mathcal{S} is f_* -invariant, so $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}$ for all n .
- \mathcal{S} is *not* compact. So why should μ be in \mathcal{S} ?

Non-uniform hyperbolicity in \mathcal{M}

Theme in NUH: choose between invariance and compactness.

Replace unstable manifolds with n -admissible manifolds V :

$$d(f^{-k}(x), f^{-k}(y)) \leq Ce^{-\lambda k} d(x, y) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } x, y \in V.$$

Non-uniform hyperbolicity in \mathcal{M}

Theme in NUH: choose between invariance and compactness.

Replace unstable manifolds with n -admissible manifolds V :

$$d(f^{-k}(x), f^{-k}(y)) \leq Ce^{-\lambda k} d(x, y) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } x, y \in V.$$

$\mathcal{S}_n = \{\nu \text{ supp. on and a.c. on } n\text{-admissible manifolds, } \nu(H) = 1\}$.

This set of measures has various non-uniformities.

- 1 Value of C, λ in definition of n -admissibility.
- 2 Size and curvature of admissible manifolds.
- 3 $\|\rho\|$ and $\|1/\rho\|$, where ρ is density wrt. leaf volume.

Non-uniform hyperbolicity in \mathcal{M}

Theme in NUH: choose between invariance and compactness.

Replace unstable manifolds with n -admissible manifolds V :

$$d(f^{-k}(x), f^{-k}(y)) \leq Ce^{-\lambda k} d(x, y) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } x, y \in V.$$

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{\nu \text{ supp. on and a.c. on } n\text{-admissible manifolds, } \nu(H) = 1\}.$$

This set of measures has various non-uniformities.

- ① Value of C, λ in definition of n -admissibility.
- ② Size and curvature of admissible manifolds.
- ③ $\|\rho\|$ and $\|1/\rho\|$, where ρ is density wrt. leaf volume.

Given $K > 0$, let $\mathcal{S}_n(K)$ be the set of measures for which these non-uniformities are all controlled by K .

large $K \Rightarrow$ worse non-uniformity

$\mathcal{S}_n(K)$ is compact, but not f_* -invariant.

Conditions for existence of an SRB measure

- M be a compact Riemannian manifold, $U \subset M$ open, $f: U \rightarrow M$ a local diffeomorphism with $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$.
- Let μ_n be a sequence of measures whose limit measures are all invariant.
- Fix $K > 0$, write $\mu_n = \nu_n + \zeta_n$, where $\nu_n \in \mathcal{S}_n(K)$.

Theorem (C.–Dolgopyat–Pesin, 2010)

If $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu_n\| > 0$, then some limit measure of $\{\mu_n\}$ has an ergodic component that is an SRB measure for f .

Conditions for existence of an SRB measure

- M be a compact Riemannian manifold, $U \subset M$ open, $f: U \rightarrow M$ a local diffeomorphism with $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$.
- Let μ_n be a sequence of measures whose limit measures are all invariant.
- Fix $K > 0$, write $\mu_n = \nu_n + \zeta_n$, where $\nu_n \in \mathcal{S}_n(K)$.

Theorem (C.–Dolgopyat–Pesin, 2010)

If $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu_n\| > 0$, then some limit measure of $\{\mu_n\}$ has an ergodic component that is an SRB measure for f .

The question now becomes: How do we obtain recurrence to the set $S_n(K)$?

Coordinates in TM

We use local coordinates to write the map f along a trajectory as a sequence of local diffeomorphisms.

- $\{f^n(x) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a trajectory of f
- $U_n \subset T_{f^n(x)}M$ is a neighbourhood of 0 small enough so that the exponential map $\exp_{f^n(x)}: U_n \rightarrow M$ is injective
- $f_n: U_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d = T_{f^{n+1}(x)}M$ is the map f in local coordinates

Coordinates in TM

We use local coordinates to write the map f along a trajectory as a sequence of local diffeomorphisms.

- $\{f^n(x) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a trajectory of f
- $U_n \subset T_{f^n(x)}M$ is a neighbourhood of 0 small enough so that the exponential map $\exp_{f^n(x)}: U_n \rightarrow M$ is injective
- $f_n: U_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d = T_{f^{n+1}(x)}M$ is the map f in local coordinates

Suppose $\mathbb{R}^d = T_{f^n(x)}M$ has an invariant decomposition $E_n^u \oplus E_n^s$ with asymptotic expansion (contraction) along E_n^u (E_n^s).

$$Df_n(0) = A_n \oplus B_n$$

$$f_n = Df_n(0) + s_n$$

$$f_n(v, w) = (A_n v + g_n(v, w), B_n w + h_n(v, w))$$

Controlling hyperbolicity and regularity

$$\mathbb{R}^d = E_n^u \oplus E_n^s \quad f_n = (A_n \oplus B_n) + s_n$$

Start with an admissible manifold V_0 tangent to E_0^u at 0, push it forward and define an invariant sequence of admissible manifolds by $V_{n+1} = f_n(V_n)$.

$$V_n = \text{graph } \psi_n = \{v + \psi_n(v)\} \quad \psi_n: B(E_n^u, r_n) \rightarrow E_n^s$$

Need to control the size r_n and the regularity $\|D\psi_n\|, |\psi_n|_\varepsilon$.

Controlling hyperbolicity and regularity

$$\mathbb{R}^d = E_n^u \oplus E_n^s \quad f_n = (A_n \oplus B_n) + s_n$$

Start with an admissible manifold V_0 tangent to E_0^u at 0, push it forward and define an invariant sequence of admissible manifolds by $V_{n+1} = f_n(V_n)$.

$$V_n = \text{graph } \psi_n = \{v + \psi_n(v)\} \quad \psi_n: B(E_n^u, r_n) \rightarrow E_n^s$$

Need to control the size r_n and the regularity $\|D\psi_n\|$, $|\psi_n|_\varepsilon$.

Consider the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^u &= \log(\|A_n^{-1}\|^{-1}) & \lambda_n^s &= \log \|B_n\| \\ \alpha_n &= \angle(E_n^u, E_n^s) & C_n &= \|s_n\|_{C^{1+\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

Classical Hadamard–Perron results

Uniform case: Constants such that

- $\lambda_n^s \leq \bar{\lambda}^s < 0 < \bar{\lambda}^u < \lambda_n^u$
- $\alpha_n \geq \bar{\alpha} > 0$
- $C_n \leq \bar{C} < \infty$

Then V_n has uniformly large size: $r_n \geq \bar{r} > 0$.

Classical Hadamard–Perron results

Uniform case: Constants such that

- $\lambda_n^s \leq \bar{\lambda}^s < 0 < \bar{\lambda}^u < \lambda_n^u$
- $\alpha_n \geq \bar{\alpha} > 0$
- $C_n \leq \bar{C} < \infty$

Then V_n has uniformly large size: $r_n \geq \bar{r} > 0$.

Non-uniform case: $\lambda_n^s, \lambda_n^u, \alpha_n$ still uniform, but C_n not.

C_n grows slowly $\Rightarrow r_n$ decays slowly

Classical Hadamard–Perron results

Uniform case: Constants such that

- $\lambda_n^s \leq \bar{\lambda}^s < 0 < \bar{\lambda}^u < \lambda_n^u$
- $\alpha_n \geq \bar{\alpha} > 0$
- $C_n \leq \bar{C} < \infty$

Then V_n has uniformly large size: $r_n \geq \bar{r} > 0$.

Non-uniform case: $\lambda_n^s, \lambda_n^u, \alpha_n$ still uniform, but C_n not.

C_n grows slowly $\Rightarrow r_n$ decays slowly

We want to consider the case where

- $\lambda_n^s < 0 < \lambda_n^u$ may fail (may even have $\lambda_n^u < \lambda_n^s$)
- α_n may become arbitrarily small
- C_n may become arbitrarily large (no control on speed)

Usable hyperbolicity

In order to define ψ_{n+1} implicitly, we need control of the regularity of ψ_n . **Control $\|D\psi_n\|$ and $|D\psi_n|_\varepsilon$ by decreasing r_n if necessary.** So how do we guarantee that r_n becomes “large” again?

Usable hyperbolicity

In order to define ψ_{n+1} implicitly, we need control of the regularity of ψ_n . **Control $\|D\psi_n\|$ and $|D\psi_n|_\varepsilon$ by decreasing r_n if necessary.** So how do we guarantee that r_n becomes “large” again?

$$\beta_n = C_n(\sin \alpha_{n+1})^{-1}$$

Fix a threshold value $\bar{\beta}$ and define the **usable hyperbolicity**:

$$\lambda_n = \begin{cases} \min(\lambda_n^u, \lambda_n^u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\lambda_n^u - \lambda_n^s)) & \text{if } \beta_n \leq \bar{\beta}, \\ \min\left(\lambda_n^u, \lambda_n^u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\lambda_n^u - \lambda_n^s), \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{\beta_n}{\bar{\beta}}\right) & \text{if } \beta_n > \bar{\beta}. \end{cases}$$

A Hadamard–Perron theorem

Write $F_n = f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1 \circ f_0: U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d = T_{f^n(x)}M$. Let $V_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ manifold tangent to E_0^u at 0, and let $V_n(r)$ be the connected component of $F_n(V_0) \cap (B(E_n^u, r) \times E_n^s)$ containing 0.

Theorem (C.–Dolgopyat–Pesin, 2010)

Suppose $\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\chi} > 0$ are such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k > \bar{\chi} > 0$. Then there exist constants $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\kappa}, \bar{r} > 0$ and a set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{N}$ with positive lower asymptotic frequency such that for every $n \in \Gamma$,

- 1 $V_n(\bar{r})$ is the graph of a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ function $\psi_n: B_{E_n^u}(\bar{r}) \rightarrow E_n^s$ satisfying $\|D\psi_n\| \leq \bar{\gamma}$ and $|D\psi_n|_\varepsilon \leq \bar{\kappa}$;
- 2 if $F_n(x), F_n(y) \in V_n(\bar{r})$, then for every $0 \leq k \leq n$, $\|F_n(x) - F_n(y)\| \geq e^{k\bar{\chi}} \|F_{n-k}(x) - F_{n-k}(y)\|$.

Cone families

Return to a local diffeomorphism $f: U \rightarrow M$. Given $x \in M$, a subspace $E \subset T_x M$, and an angle θ , we have a cone

$$K(x, E, \theta) = \{v \in T_x M \mid \angle(v, E) < \theta\}.$$

If E, θ depend measurably on x , this defines a measurable cone family. Suppose $A \subset U$ has positive Lebesgue measure, is forward invariant, and has two measurable cone families $K^s(x), K^u(x)$ s.t.

- ① $\overline{Df(K^u(x))} \subset K^u(f(x))$ for all $x \in A$
- ② $\overline{Df^{-1}(K^s(f(x)))} \subset K^s(x)$ for all $x \in f(A)$
- ③ $T_x M = E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x)$

Usable hyperbolicity (again)

Define $\lambda^u, \lambda^s: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\lambda^u(x) = \inf\{\log \|Df(v)\| \mid v \in K^u(x), \|v\| = 1\},$$

$$\lambda^s(x) = \sup\{\log \|Df(v)\| \mid v \in K^s(x), \|v\| = 1\}.$$

Let $\alpha(x)$ be the angle between the boundaries of $K^s(x)$ and $K^u(x)$. Fix $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ and consider the quantities

$$\zeta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{\alpha(f(x))}{\alpha(x)} & \text{if } \alpha(x) < \bar{\alpha}, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \alpha(x) \geq \bar{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(x) = \min \left\{ \lambda^u(x), \lambda^u(x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\lambda^u(x) - \lambda^s(x)), \zeta(x) \right\}$$

An existence result

Consider points with positive asymptotic usable hyperbolicity:

$$S = \left\{ x \in A \mid \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda(f^k(x)) > 0 \text{ and } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^s(f^k(x)) < 0 \right\}.$$

An existence result

Consider points with positive asymptotic usable hyperbolicity:

$$S = \left\{ x \in A \mid \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda(f^k(x)) > 0 \text{ and } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^s(f^k(x)) < 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem (C.–Dolgopyat–Pesin, 2010)

If there exists $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that $\text{Leb } S > 0$, then f has a hyperbolic SRB measure supported on Λ .

An existence result

Consider points with positive asymptotic usable hyperbolicity:

$$S = \left\{ x \in A \mid \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda(f^k(x)) > 0 \text{ and } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^s(f^k(x)) < 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem (C.–Dolgopyat–Pesin, 2010)

If there exists $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that $\text{Leb } S > 0$, then f has a hyperbolic SRB measure supported on Λ .

Theorem (C.–Dolgopyat–Pesin, 2010)

Fix $x \in U$. Let $V(x)$ be an embedded submanifold such that $T_x V(x) \subset K^u(x)$, and let m_V be leaf volume on $V(x)$. Suppose that there exists $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that $\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0} m_V(S \cap B(x, r)) > 0$. Then f has a hyperbolic SRB measure supported on Λ .