

**ON THE TOTAL CURVATURE OF PARALLEL FAMILIES OF  
CONVEX SETS IN 3-DIMENSIONAL RIEMANNIAN  
MANIFOLDS WITH NONNEGATIVE SECTIONAL  
CURVATURE**

JOSEPH H.G. FU

COMMUNICATED BY BOB HARDT

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M^m$  be a smooth complete oriented Riemannian manifold of nonnegative sectional curvature, and let  $C \subset M$  be a closed convex subset. Put  $\hat{\rho}(x) := \text{dist}(x, M - C)$  for the distance function to the complement of  $C$ ; thus each  $C_t := \hat{\rho}^{-1}[t, \infty)$  is convex ([CE], Thm. 8.9). Denote by  $k(t)$  the total curvature of  $C_t$ , i.e. the integral of the determinant of the second fundamental form of  $\text{bdry}C_t$ . G. Perelman has asked whether  $k(t)$  is nondecreasing (for example, if  $M = \mathbb{S}^m$  is a standard sphere and  $C$  is a hemisphere then the total curvature increases from  $k(0) = 0$  to  $k(\frac{\pi}{2}) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$ ). An affirmative answer would yield a proof of the Cheeger-Gromoll soul conjecture (although Perelman has proved the conjecture by other means [P]). In this note we prove that the answer is yes in case  $m = 3$ .

It is not difficult to prove by classical methods, and in general dimensions, that  $k$  is nondecreasing in the case that the sets  $C_t$  have  $C^2$  (or even  $C^{1,1}$ ) boundary (cf. the calculations of section 4 below). The difficulty arises when these sets develop singularities. Note that the question still makes sense in this case: if  $C$  is any convex set (with possibly nonsmooth boundary) put  $\sigma(x) := \text{dist}(x, C)$ . Then for small  $s$  the sets  $C^s := \sigma^{-1}[0, s]$  have  $C^{1,1}$  boundaries, i.e. the outward unit normal field  $n_s$  on  $\text{bdry}C^s$  is Lipschitz. Thus by Rademacher's theorem the second fundamental form  $II_s(v, w) := \langle \nabla_v n_s, w \rangle$  is well-defined at a.e. point  $p \in \text{bdry}C^s$ . The total curvature of  $C$  is then

$$(1.1) \quad k(C) = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} k(C^s) = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \int_{\text{bdry}C^s} \det II_s.$$

As this definition is slightly cumbersome we give a more natural one which will serve as the framework for this note. The convex set  $C$  admits a *normal cycle*  $N(C)$ , which is an integral current of dimension  $m-1$  in the tangent sphere bundle  $\mathbb{S}M$  of  $M$ . If  $C$  has interior then  $N(C)$  is the unique Legendrian cycle in  $\mathbb{S}M$  such that  $\pi_*N(C) = \partial\llbracket C \rrbracket$  and  $\text{spt } N(C) \cap \pi^{-1}(p) \cap \text{Tan}(C, p) = \emptyset$  for all  $p \in \text{bdry}C$ . Given a vector  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{S}M$ , consider a positively oriented orthonormal frame field  $e_1(\xi), \dots, e_m(\xi) = \xi \in \mathbb{S}_{\pi(\xi)}M$ , defined for  $\xi$  close to  $\xi_0$ . Let  $\omega_{ij}$  denote the connection forms for this frame. Then the  $(m-1)$ -form  $\omega_{1m} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{(m-1)m} =: \bar{\omega}$  is independent of the choice of the complementary vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}$ . Thus  $\bar{\omega}$  is well-defined globally on  $\mathbb{S}M$ , and the total curvature of  $C$  is the evaluation of the normal cycle of  $C$  against this form:  $k(C) = N(C)(\bar{\omega})$ .

The equivalence of this definition with the one of the previous paragraph may be seen as follows. Let  $\widetilde{\text{exp}} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}M$  denote the natural lift of the exponential map. Then  $N(C^s) = \widetilde{\text{exp}}_{s*}N(C)$  for small  $s \geq 0$ , so

$$(1.2) \quad k(C^s) = N(C^s)(\bar{\omega}) = \widetilde{\text{exp}}_{s*}N(C)(\bar{\omega}) \rightarrow N(C)(\bar{\omega}) = k(C)$$

as  $s \downarrow 0$ , since  $\widetilde{\text{exp}}_s \rightarrow$  the identity.

We are obliged to remark that in dimension 3 the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula [Ch] yields a simpler expression for  $k(C)$  that does not involve the normal cycle. For sets  $B \subset M^3$  with smooth boundary the formula of [Ch] reads

$$(1.3) \quad k(B) + \int_{\partial B} K_x dx = 4\pi\chi(B),$$

where  $\chi$  is the Euler characteristic and  $K_x$  is the sectional curvature of  $M$  in the 2-plane  $T_x\partial B$ . Since the boundary of a convex set  $C$  is rectifiable, the second term on the left is well-defined in this case, and (1.3) remains valid with  $B$  replaced by  $C$ , so we may solve for  $k(C)$ . The Euler characteristics of the sets  $C_t$  satisfy  $\chi(C_t) = \chi(C)$ , so  $k(C_t)$  is nondecreasing iff  $\int_{\partial C_t} K_x$  is nonincreasing. It is tempting to think that this less technical definition might yield a correspondingly less technical proof of our theorem, but this seems an illusion: in the present framework  $\int K_x$  may also be expressed as an integral over the normal cycle of a universal form  $\bar{\omega}$  on the sphere bundle. The idea of the proof of [Ch] is to apply Stokes' theorem on the graph of an appropriate section of the sphere bundle via the basic identity  $d(\bar{\omega} + \tilde{\omega}) = d\bar{\omega} + d\tilde{\omega} = 0$ . The idea of the proof presented here is to integrate  $d\bar{\omega}$  over a graph associated to the distance function and apply Stokes' theorem; there is obviously nothing to be gained by integrating  $d\tilde{\omega}$  instead.

2.

Our method is to work on the graph of the function  $\rho := -\hat{\rho}$ , or more precisely on the graph of its gradient. Although  $\rho$  is not everywhere differentiable, it is nevertheless *semiconvex* on  $\text{int}C$  — that is, about any given point there are smooth local coordinates  $\phi : C \supset \supset U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , such that

$$(2.1) \quad \rho \circ \phi^{-1} = f + \alpha,$$

where  $f$  is smooth and  $\alpha$  is the restriction to  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  of a convex function. This fact is well known; for instance it is a consequence of the proof of Prop. 1.2 of [Fu1] (cf. also [Ba]). Therefore there is a closed Lagrangian current  $[[\nabla\rho]] \in \mathbb{I}_m(T(\text{int}C))$  that represents the graph of the gradient of  $\rho$ . Here  $T \text{ int } C \subset TM$  is endowed with the symplectic structure arising from the identification  $TM \leftrightarrow T^*M$  induced by the Riemannian metric. In fact, in the semiconvex case the structure of this current is very simple: it is given by integration over a closed oriented Lagrangian Lipschitz submanifold  $Q \subset T^*(\text{int}C)$ . This  $Q$  is precisely equal to the graph of the Clarke gradient  $\nabla\rho$  of  $\rho$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\rho(x) \quad := \quad & \text{convex hull}\{v : v = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nabla\rho(x_i) \text{ for some sequence} \\ & x_i \rightarrow x, \rho \text{ differentiable at } x_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

The orientation of  $Q$  is determined by the condition that the projection  $\pi$  of the tangent bundle induce an orientation-preserving map  $Q \rightarrow \text{int}C$ . Moreover, in view of the convexity of  $\alpha$ , the orientation of  $Q$  is given explicitly as follows. For almost every  $\xi \in Q$  the tangent space  $T_\xi Q$  exists and is a Lagrangian subspace of the symplectic vector space  $T_\xi TQ$ . Put  $V := \ker \pi_* \cap T_\xi Q \subset \ker \pi_* \simeq T_{\pi(\xi)}M$  and  $W := \pi_*(T_\xi Q) \subset T_{\pi(\xi)}M$ . The fact that  $T_\xi Q$  is Lagrangian implies that  $V$  and  $W$  are orthogonal. Thus  $T_\xi Q$  is canonically isomorphic to  $T_{\pi(\xi)}M$ . The orientation is now induced from that on the latter space. This corresponds to the fact that all curvature measures of a convex body are nonnegative.

We write

$$[Q] = [[\nabla\rho]],$$

with this orientation understood.

We will also make use of the following basic fact. Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a closed convex set. Put  $\Sigma^k := \{x \mid \dim \text{Nor}(K, x) = m - k\}$ . Then  $\Sigma^k$  is a  $k$ -dimensional set which is  $C^2$  rectifiable in the sense of [AS], i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad \Sigma^k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \cup N,$$

where each  $V_i$  is a  $k$ -dimensional submanifold of class  $C^2$  and  $\mathcal{H}^k(N) = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^k$  denotes  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. For a proof of this fact see [A]. Applying this result to the epigraph of the function  $\alpha$  appearing in (2.1), if we put

$$R^k := \{x \in \text{int}C \mid \dim \nabla \rho(x) = m - k - 1\}, \quad k = 0, \dots, m,$$

then each  $R^k$  is locally the image under  $\phi^{-1}$  of a  $k$ -dimensional,  $C^2$ -rectifiable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and is therefore itself a  $k$ -dimensional,  $C^2$ -rectifiable subset of  $M$ . Moreover, decomposing  $R^k$  as in (2.2), each  $\rho|_{V_i \cap R^k}$  is the restriction of a  $C^2$  function  $\rho_i$  on  $V_i$ .

### 3.

The distance function  $\rho$  is differentiable at a point  $x_0$  iff there is a unique minimizing geodesic  $\gamma$  from  $\text{bdry}C$  to  $x_0$ , and in this case the gradient of  $\rho$  is precisely the tangent vector to  $\gamma$  at  $x_0$ . Therefore, at a general point  $x$ , the Clarke gradient  $\nabla \rho(x)$  consists of the convex hull of the set of all tangent vectors to all minimizing geodesics from  $\text{bdry}C$  to  $x$ . In particular,  $0 \in \nabla \rho(x)$  iff  $x$  is a critical point of  $\rho$  in the usual generalized sense.

The convexity of  $C$  implies that  $\rho$  has at most one critical value  $\rho_{\min}$ . Therefore the normalizing map  $\nu(v) := v/|v|$  is well-defined and locally Lipschitz on  $Q$ , at least away from this critical set. Thus the normal cycles of the sets  $C_t$  arise as

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N(C_t) &= \langle \nu_* \llbracket Q \rrbracket, \rho \circ \pi, t \rangle, \quad t \in (\rho_{\min}, 0), \\ N(C) &= \lim_{t \uparrow 0} N(C_t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $0 \leq s < t < -\rho_{\min}$  then

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} k(t) - k(s) &= \langle N(C_t) - N(C_s) \rangle (\bar{\omega}) \\ &= \langle \langle \nu_* \llbracket Q \rrbracket, \rho \circ \pi, -t \rangle - \langle \nu_* \llbracket Q \rrbracket, \rho \circ \pi, -s \rangle \rangle (\bar{\omega}) \\ &= -\partial(\nu_* \llbracket Q \rrbracket \lrcorner \pi^{-1} \rho^{-1}(-t, -s))(\bar{\omega}) \\ &= -(\nu_* \llbracket Q \rrbracket \lrcorner \pi^{-1} \rho^{-1}(-t, -s))(\bar{\Omega}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\Omega} := \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \omega_{1m} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{(j-1)m} \wedge \Omega_{jm} \wedge \omega_{(j+1)m} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{(m-1)m},$$

since  $\bar{\Omega} = d\bar{\omega}$  for  $C^2$  frames  $e_i$ . However (3.2) remains valid even if the frame is only  $C^1$ , as may be proved easily by approximation.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $m = 3$  then the  $\theta$ -current (signed measure)  $\pi_*(\nu_*[[Q]] \llcorner \bar{\Omega})$  is non-positive.*

The proof is given in the next two sections.

4.

Put  $Q_1 := \nu(Q)$  for the corresponding rectifiable set of unit vectors. By [F2,3.2.22], for  $\mathcal{H}^3$  a.e.  $\xi \in Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(R^i)$  the image under  $\pi_*$  of the approximate tangent 3-plane  $T_\xi Q_1$  has dimension at most  $i$ . Since the curvature 2-forms  $\Omega_{ij}$  are horizontal it follows that

$$\bar{\Omega}|_{Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(R^i)} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

It remains to compute the contributions due to  $R^2$  and  $R^3$ . We shall see that both are nonpositive.

We deal first with  $R^3$ . From the first paragraph of §3 we see that  $Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(R^3)$  consists of all values  $\eta = -\widetilde{\text{exp}}(t, -\xi)$  such that  $\xi \in \text{Nor}(C) \cap \text{SM}$ ,  $t > 0$ , and  $\gamma(s) := \exp(-s\xi)$  is the unique minimizing geodesic from  $\text{bdry}C$  to  $\pi(\eta)$ . Therefore, for  $\mathcal{H}^3$  a.e.  $\eta \in Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(R^3)$ , the tangent space  $T_\eta Q$  is the direct sum of the tangent line to the lifted geodesic  $\widetilde{\text{exp}}(s\eta)$  and the tangent plane  $T_\eta \text{Nor}(C_t)$ , where  $t = \rho \circ \pi(\eta)$ .

We claim first of all that for  $\mathcal{H}^3$ -a.e. such  $\eta$  the base point  $\pi(\eta)$  is *not* a focal point of  $\text{bdry}C$ . In other words the restriction of the derivative  $D(\widetilde{\text{exp}}_{-t})$  to  $T_\xi(\text{Nor}(C))$  is nondegenerate. If not, then the usual second variation argument implies that  $t$  must be the smallest parameter for which this derivative degenerates. However if we put for tangent  $m$ -planes  $P$  to  $TM$ ,

$$\delta(P) := \inf\{t : \text{the restriction of } D(\widetilde{\text{exp}}_{-t}) \text{ to } P \text{ is singular}\},$$

then  $\delta$  is clearly lower semicontinuous, hence Borel measurable. So  $\tilde{\delta} : \xi \mapsto \delta(T_\xi(\text{Nor}(C)))$  is a measurable function. In particular the graph of  $\tilde{\delta}$  has  $\mathcal{H}^3$  measure zero in  $\text{Nor}(C) \times \mathbb{R}$ . So the image of this graph under the smooth map  $\widetilde{\text{exp}}$  also has  $\mathcal{H}^3$  measure zero, as claimed.

Therefore the projection  $D\pi$  is nondegenerate on  $\Pi := T_\eta \text{Nor}(C_t)$ . Hence  $D\pi : T_\eta Q \rightarrow T_{\pi(\eta)}M$  is nondegenerate and orientation preserving. Choose a local frame field  $e_1(\eta'), e_2(\eta'), e_3(\eta') = \eta'$  for  $\eta'$  near  $\eta$ , in such a way that  $e_1(\eta'), e_2(\eta')$  are principal directions for  $C_t$  at  $\pi(\eta')$  and  $e_3$  is the tangent vector field along

geodesics normal to  $C_t$ . Then  $\omega_{i3} \mid \Pi = \theta_i := \pi^*(e_i^*)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Omega} \mid \Pi &= \Omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{23} - \omega_{13} \wedge \Omega_{23} \\ &= \Omega_{13} \wedge k_2 \theta_2 - k_1 \theta_1 \wedge \Omega_{23} \\ &= -(k_2 K_{13} + k_1 K_{23}) \pi^*(\text{vol}_M), \end{aligned}$$

where the  $K_{ij}$  are sectional curvatures of  $M$  and the  $k_i$  principal curvatures of  $C_t$  at  $\xi_0$ . Since these quantities are all nonnegative, and  $\pi|_Q$  is orientation-preserving, we conclude that  $\bar{\Omega}|_Q \cap \pi^{-1}(R^3) \leq 0$ .

5.

We write  $R^2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \cup N$ , where the  $V_i$  are as in the last sentence of §2. Since  $\bar{\Omega}$  is horizontal in two slots, the null set  $N$  may be neglected and we have the corresponding decomposition of 0-currents

$$(5.1) \quad \llbracket Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1} R^2 \rrbracket \llcorner \bar{\Omega} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \llbracket Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1} V_i \rrbracket \llcorner \bar{\Omega}.$$

We will show that each of these terms is nonnegative.

Fix  $V = V_i$ . For simplicity we again denote by  $\rho$  the  $C^2$  function on  $V$  extending  $\rho|_{V \cap R^2}$ . By the first paragraph of §3, given  $x \in R^2$  the set  $Q \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$  is a line segment with endpoints  $u_x, v_x \in \mathbb{S}_x M$ . Since  $Q$  is Lagrangian, if  $x \in V \cap R^2$  then  $\sigma_x$  is perpendicular to the tangent 2-plane  $T_x V \subset T_x M$ . Therefore

$$u_x - v_x = c(x)n(x),$$

where  $n$  is a unit normal to  $V$  and  $c(x) > 0$ . Furthermore the gradient  $\nabla_V \rho(x) := \nabla(\rho|_V)(x)$  is the common orthogonal projection to  $T_x V$  of the elements of  $\sigma_x$ . Thus if we determine the positively oriented frame field  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_2(x) &= n(x), \\ \epsilon_3(x) &= \nabla_V \rho(x) / |\nabla_V \rho(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$\psi_x := \arcsin(c(x)/2) \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$$

for the angle that  $u_x$  and  $v_x$  make with the tangent plane  $T_x V$ , then  $Q_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(V \cap R^2)$  is the intersection with  $\pi^{-1}(R^2)$  of the  $C^1$  submanifold

$$\mathbb{S}M \supset \tilde{V} := \{(x, \cos \phi \epsilon_3(x) + \sin \phi \epsilon_2(x)) : x \in V, -\psi_x \leq \phi \leq \psi_x\}.$$

Finally, note that if  $\iota$  is the  $C^1$  involution of  $TM|_{\tilde{V}}$  defined by

$$\iota(x, a\epsilon_1 + b\epsilon_2 + c\epsilon_3) = (x, a\epsilon_1 - b\epsilon_2 + c\epsilon_3),$$

then

$$(5.2) \quad \iota_*[\tilde{V}] = -[\tilde{V}].$$

Let  $\phi := \arcsin\langle \xi, \epsilon_2(\pi(\xi)) \rangle$  be the  $C^1$  function on  $\tilde{V}$  determined by the relation

$$\xi = \cos \phi \epsilon_3 + \sin \phi \epsilon_2.$$

Now define the modified frame

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(\xi) &= \epsilon_1(\pi(\xi)), \\ e_2(\xi) &= -\sin \phi \epsilon_3(\pi(\xi)) + \cos \phi \epsilon_2(\pi(\xi)), \\ e_3(\xi) &= \xi. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\omega_{ij}, \Omega_{ij}$  denote the connection and curvature forms for this latter frame then obviously

$$\omega_{13}(\xi) \cdot v = 0$$

for vertical vectors  $v \in T_\xi \tilde{V}$ . Therefore the summand  $\omega_{13} \wedge \Omega_{32}$  of  $\tilde{\Omega}$  vanishes when restricted to  $\tilde{V}$ . Denote by  $O_{ij}$  the curvature forms of  $M$  relative to the frame  $\epsilon$ . Then

$$\Omega_{13}(\xi) = \cos \phi O_{13}(\pi(\xi)) + \sin \phi O_{12}(\pi(\xi)).$$

Therefore we may write

$$\Omega_{13} = (\cos \phi K_{13} + \sin \phi R_{1312})\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3,$$

where the curvature tensor and sectional curvature are given in terms of the frame  $\epsilon$ . Finally, on  $\tilde{V}$  we have  $\omega_{32} \wedge \Omega_{13} = d\phi \wedge \Omega_{13}$  since the curvature forms are horizontal. To sum up, we may express the contribution of  $V$  by

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{V}] \lrcorner \tilde{\Omega} &= -[\tilde{V}] \lrcorner \omega_{32} \wedge \Omega_{13} \\ &= -[\tilde{V}] \lrcorner (\cos \phi K_{13} + \sin \phi R_{1312})\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge d\phi \end{aligned}$$

Examining orientations and recalling the determination of the orientation of  $Q$  in the second paragraph of §2, we find that the contribution of the cosine term is nonpositive. As for the sine term, using (5.2) we may calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi_*([\tilde{V}] \lrcorner \sin \phi R_{1312} d\phi \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3) \\ &= \pi_* \left( ([\tilde{V}] - \iota_*[\tilde{V}]) \lrcorner \sin \phi R_{1312} d\phi \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \right) \\ &= \pi_* \left( [\tilde{V}] \lrcorner \sin \phi R_{1312} d\phi \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 - [\tilde{V}] \lrcorner \iota^*(\sin \phi R_{1312} d\phi \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \pi_* \left( \left[ \tilde{V} \right] \lrcorner (\sin \phi R_{1312} d\phi \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 - \sin(-\phi) R_{1312} d(-\phi) \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3) \right) = 0.$$

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to offer our thanks to the referee for several helpful comments. This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9404366 and the M.G. Michael award from the University of Georgia.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] G. Alberti, *On the Structure of Singular Sets of Convex Functions*, Calc. Var. **2** (1994) 17-27.
- [AS] G. Anzellotti and R. Serapioni,  *$C^k$ -Rectifiable Sets*, J. reine angew. Math. **453** (1994), 1—20
- [Ba] V. Bangert, *Analytische Eigenschaften konvexer Funktionen auf Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **307/308** (1979) 309-324
- [CE] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1975).
- [Ch] S.S. Chern, *On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold*; Selected Papers, Springer (1978).
- [F1] H. Federer, *Curvature measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1959) 418-491.
- [F2] ———, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer, New York (1969).
- [Fu1] J.H.G. Fu, *Tubular neighborhoods in euclidean spaces*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 1025—1046
- [Fu2] ———, *Monge-Ampère functions I*, Indiana U. Math. J. **38** (1989), 745-771.
- [P] G. Perelman, *Proof of the soul conjecture of Cheeger and Gromoll*, J. Diff. Geom. **40**, 209-212 (1994)

Received April 28, 1997

Revised version received October 31, 1997

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602-7403  
*E-mail address:* fu@math.uga.edu