## Section 5.1 Problem Notes

## 5.1.8.

- (a) det T = 0 iff.  $N(T \lambda I) = 0$  doesn't make sense when you don't substitute a value for  $\lambda$ . Even then det T = 0 iff. N(T 0I) = 0 is not an obvious fact and requires some explanation.
- (b) It is not enough to just say  $Tv = \lambda v$  iff.  $T^{-1}v = \lambda^{-1}v$ . You've got to prove this statement:

$$Tv = \lambda v$$
  

$$T^{-1}(Tv) = T^{-1}(\lambda v)$$
  

$$v = \lambda T^{-1}(v)$$
  

$$\lambda^{-1}v = T^{-1}(v)$$

The above follows because part (a) shows that  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $T^{-1}$  is linear so  $T^{-1}(\lambda v) = \lambda T^{-1}(v)$ .

**5.1.12.** (b) Different matrix representations for the same linear transformation are similar and thus have the same characteristic polynomial by part (a).

## Section 5.2 Problem Notes

**5.1.3.** (c) It's not enough to calculate the characteristic polynomial and say that it doesn't split. You have to explain why it doesn't split. In this case it factors into  $(2 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 + 1)$  and  $\lambda^2 + 1$  doesn't have solutions over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(e)Keep in mind that the order of the basis is important for diagonalizing. I.e., the eigenvectors/basis elements should be in the same order as the eigenvalues you get in the diagonal. So, the transformation has the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 0\\ 0 & 1-i \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis  $\beta = \{(1, 1), (-1, 1)\}.$ 

But the transformation has the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 0\\ 0 & 1+ii \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis  $\beta = \{(1-,1), (1,1)\}.$