## Modular Arithmetic

Given integers *a* and *b* and a positive number *m*, we defined that  $a \equiv b \mod m$  in case that *m* divides a - b. That is *a* and *b* differ by a multiple of *m*. If we divide *a* by *m* with remainder, that is  $a = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$  then a - r = qm which shows that  $a \equiv r$  for a unique *r* where  $0 \le r < m$ .

This shows that the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers is divided into *m* –many classes according to  $r = 0, r = 1, \dots, r - m - 1$ . For example, there are three classes of integers modulo m = 3:

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}_3 = \{\dots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} = 3\mathbb{Z} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}_3 = \{\dots, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, \dots\} = 3\mathbb{Z} + 1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}_3 = \{\dots, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, \dots\} = 3\mathbb{Z} + 2$ 

The following allows us to add and multiply classes of congruent integers:

$$[a]_m + [b]_m = [a+b]_m, [a]_m \cdot [b]_m = [a \cdot b]_m,$$

Because we use representatives for these operations, one needs to show that the choice of representatives doesn't matter. If  $[a]_m = [a']_m$  and  $[b]_m = [b']_m$  then a - a' = qm, b - b' = q'm therefore (a + b) - (a' + b') = (a - a') + (b - b') = (q - q')m. Thus  $[a + b]_m = [a' + b']_m$ . We denote the set of *m*-many congruence classes as  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . On  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  an addition and multiplication has been defined which makes  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  to a commutative ring with unit  $e = [1]_m$ . We list the basic arithmetical properties of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix} + ([b] + [c]) = ([a] + [b]) + [c], [a] + [b] = [b] + [a], [a] + [0] = [a], [a] + [-a] = [0]; \\ \begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix} \cdot ([b] \cdot [c]) = ([a] \cdot [b]) \cdot [c], [a] \cdot [b] = [b] \cdot [a], [a] \cdot [1] = [a] \\ \begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix} \cdot ([b] + [c]) = [a] \cdot [b] + [a] \cdot [c]$$

We omitted the subscript n for the classes.

For example  $[2]_6 \cdot [3]_6 = [2 \cdot 3]_6 = [6]_6 = [0]_6$  That shows that neither  $[2]_6$  nor  $[3]_6$  can have a multiplicative inverse. However  $[5]_6 \cdot [5]_6 = [25]_6 = [1]_6$  shows that  $[5]_6$  has a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

**Theorem**. Assme that *a* and *m* are relatively prime. Then  $[a]_m$  has a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

For the proof we use the fact that the gcd(a,m) = 1 and that for integers *s* and *t* we have that  $s \cdot a + t \cdot m = 1$ . Hence  $[s]_m \cdot [a]_m + [t]_m \cdot [m]_m = [1]_m$  This shows  $[a]_m$  has a multiplicative inverse, namely  $[s]_m$ .

If m = p is a prime then 1, 2, ..., p - 1 are relatively prime to p. That is every congruence class different from [0] has a multiplicative inverse.

**Theorem**.  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is a field if and only if *m* is a prime.

In  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we can do linear algebra. For example solve 3x + 2 = 1 modulo 5. We get

 $3x = -1, 3x = 4, [3]_5^{-1} = [2]_5, x = [2]_5 \cdot [4]_5 = [8]_5 = [3]_5$ . Check:  $3 \cdot 3 + 2 = 11 = 1 \text{ modulo } 5\sqrt{$