

Math 6397 Riemannian Geometry II
Sheaf Cohomology
Min Ru

0.1 Sheaves

Definition 0.1. A sheaf \mathcal{F} over a complex manifold X consists of, for each open set $U \subset X$, an abelian group (or vector spaces, rings, or any desired object) $\mathcal{F}(U)$ (also denoted $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, U)$ and called the set of sections over U), and a collection of restriction maps such that for each $U \subset V \subset X$, $\rho_{V,U} : \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$, and satisfy:

- (1) *Identity:* $\rho_{U,U} = id|_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$,
- (2) *Compatibility:* If $U \subset V \subset W \subset X$, then $\rho_{V,U} \circ \rho_{W,V} = \rho_{W,U}$;
- (3) *Sheaf axiom (gluing):* Let $U = \cup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}} = \sigma_{\beta}|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}}$ for all α, β , then there exists a (unique) $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma|_{U_{\alpha}}$ for all α .

If only (1) and (2) are satisfied, then \mathcal{F} is call a **presheaf**.

Every presheaf $S = \{S(U), \rho_{UV}\}$ over X determines, in a natural way, a sheaf \mathcal{F} which is defined as follows: For every $x \in X$ the subsystem $\{S(U), \rho_{UV}, U \in \mathcal{U}_X\}$ is directed with respect to inclusion of open neighborhoods of x . Thus the direct limit $\mathcal{F}_x := \varinjlim_{x \in U} S(U)$ and the maps $\rho_{U,x} : S(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ are defined. We

let $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x$ and define $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ by $\pi(\mathbf{f}) = x$, as $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_x$. Every element $f \in S(U)$ determines the set $D_f := \bigcup_{x \in U} \rho_{U,x}(f) \subset \mathcal{F}$. The system of subsets of \mathcal{F} , $\{D_f | U \in \mathcal{U}_X, f \in S(U)\}$, is a basis for a topology on \mathcal{F} . We endow \mathcal{F} with this topology. Then it is easy to verify that (\mathcal{F}, π, X) is a sheaf over X .

Let's us consider a few familiar examples, each with the natural sections and restriction maps.

Example 1. \mathcal{O}_X , the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X .

Example 2. Ω_X^p , the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms on X .

Example 3. \mathcal{A}_X^n , the sheaf of n -forms on X .

Example 4. $\mathcal{A}_X^{a,b}$, the sheaf of (a,b) -forms on X .

Example 5. The *skyscraper* sheaf \mathbf{C}_p given by $\mathbf{C}_p(U) = \mathbf{C}$ if $p \in U$, and $\mathbf{C}_p(U) = 0$ if $p \notin U$ along with the natural restriction maps.

0.2 Čech cohomology

Let \mathcal{F} be an abelian group sheaf over a complex manifold X , U be an open set of X , $\forall s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$, we can define the section $s_1 + s_2 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ as following

$$(s_1 + s_2)(x) = s_1(x) + s_2(x), \quad \forall x \in U.$$

Since the group operation is continuous in the topology of \mathcal{F} , so $s_1 + s_2$ is continuous, and $\pi(s_1 + s_2) = id_U$ is obviously, therefore $s_1 + s_2$ is still a section over U . After endowing with such addition operation, $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ is an abelian group.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of topological space X . For \forall nonnegative integer p ,

$$f : \{|\sigma| = U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p} \neq \emptyset\} \longrightarrow \{\Gamma(|\sigma|, \mathcal{F})\}$$

$$U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p} \rightarrow f_{i_0 \cdots i_p}$$

If $U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p} = \emptyset$, we take $f_{i_0 \cdots i_p} = 0$. Such $f = \{f_{i_0 \cdots i_p}\}$ is called a **p -cochain** of \mathcal{U} with coefficients in the sheaf \mathcal{F} . We use $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ to denote the set of all p -cochains of \mathcal{U} with coefficients in the sheaf \mathcal{F} . For $\forall \{f_{i_0 \cdots i_p}\}, \{g_{i_0 \cdots i_p}\} \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, defining the addition operation

$$\{f_{i_0 \cdots i_p}\} + \{g_{i_0 \cdots i_p}\} = \{f_{i_0 \cdots i_p} + g_{i_0 \cdots i_p}\}$$

then $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ becomes an abelian group, we called $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ **p -dimensional cochains group** of \mathcal{U} with **coefficients in sheaf \mathcal{F}** .

Now we define the operator

$$\delta_p : C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

$$f \rightarrow \delta_p f$$

where

$$(1) \quad (\delta_p f)_{i_0 \cdots i_{p+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p+1} (-1)^k f_{i_0 \cdots \widehat{i}_k \cdots i_{p+1}}.$$

In the right hand side of (1), each $f_{i_0 \cdots \widehat{i}_k \cdots i_{p+1}}$ restricts to $U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{p+1}}$ and proceeds the addition operation in $\Gamma(U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{p+1}}, \mathcal{F})$. It is easy to verify δ_p is a homeomorphism of group, and $\delta_{p+1} \circ \delta_p = 0$; $p \geq 1$. $Z^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := Ker \delta_p \subset C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $p \geq 0$, is called the **p -dimensional cocycles group** of \mathcal{U} with **coefficients in sheaf \mathcal{F}** , and $B^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = Im \delta_{p-1}$, $p \geq 1$, is called the **p -dimensional coboundaries group** of \mathcal{U} with **coefficients in sheaf \mathcal{F}** , and $B^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \equiv 0$. From $\delta_{p+1} \circ \delta_p \equiv 0$, $B^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \subset Z^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

Definition 0.2.

$$H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} Z^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) / B^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), & p \geq 1 \\ Z^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), & p = 0 \end{cases}, (2)$$

$H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is called the **p -dimensional cohomology group** or more precisely the **p -dimensional cohomology group** of \mathcal{U} with coefficients in the sheaf \mathcal{F} .

The $H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ defined above is dependent of the open covering \mathcal{U} of X . Below, we shall define the cohomology group is only dependent of X , but not of the covering. In general, there are two method to treat this, one is the Čech's method, another is the Grothendieck's method.

Čech's method is to introduce a partial order in the set of all the open coverings. Let \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{U} be two coverings of X , we say \mathcal{W} is a refine covering of \mathcal{U} , if there exists a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \mathcal{W} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \\ W &\rightarrow \rho(W) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\rho(W) \supset W$, and use $\mathcal{W} \prec \mathcal{U}$ to denote that \mathcal{W} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . ρ is called a refining mapping from \mathcal{W} to \mathcal{U} . ρ induces a mapping, which we still use the notation ρ , from $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$; $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3) \quad \rho : C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$$

for $\forall f \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $\forall |\sigma| = W_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap W_{i_p} \neq \emptyset$

$$(\rho f)_{i_0 \dots i_p} = \rho f(W_{i_0}, \dots, W_{i_p}) = r_{|\sigma|} f(\rho(W_{i_0}), \dots, \rho(W_{i_p})).$$

It is easy to verify that (3) is a group homeomorphism and ρ is commutative with all $\{\delta_p\}$, i.e., $\rho \delta_p = \delta_p \rho$; $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, therefore ρ restricts to $Z^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $B^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ which are both the group homeomorphisms from $Z^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $B^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ to $Z^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$, $B^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ respectively, furthermore ρ induces a group homeomorphism.

$$\rho_* : H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F}).$$

We have to point out, if $\mathcal{W} \prec \mathcal{U}$, there exists a refining mapping $\rho : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, but in general the refining mapping from \mathcal{W} to \mathcal{U} is not unique, so that whose inducing mapping from $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ to $C^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is also different, but we can prove that the homomorphism $\rho_* : H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ in (2) is the same as different refining mappings from \mathcal{W} to \mathcal{U} , i.e., ρ_* only depends on \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{U} , but not on the particular refining mapping ρ . The set of all open covering of X becomes a directed set for the refinement relation \prec , as X is Hausdorff and paracompact topological space, the direct limit of $H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

defines a cohomology group, which only depends on X . We call $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ the p -dimensional Čech cohomology group with coefficients in sheaf \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 0.3. *Let \mathbf{C}_p be the skyscraper sheaf. Then (i) $H^0(M, \mathbf{C}_p) = \mathbf{C}$, (ii) $H^1(M, \mathbf{C}_p) = 0$.*

The assertion of (i) is trivial. As for (ii), consider a cohomology class $\xi \in H^1(M, \mathbf{C}_p)$, which is represented by a cocycle in $Z(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{C}_p)$. The covering \mathcal{U} has a refinement $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\alpha\}$ such that the point p is contained in only one V_α . But then $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{C}_p) = 0$ and hence $\xi = 0$. This finishes the proof.

0.3 Sheaf Morphisms, Long Exact Sequences in Cohomology

Definition 0.4. Let X be a complex manifold and let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be two sheaves over X . A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ consists of morphisms $\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that the φ_U commutes with the restriction maps for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , i.e. for $\forall V, U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ and $V \subset U$, then

$$\varphi_V \circ \rho_{UV}^1 = \rho_{UV}^2 \circ \varphi_U.$$

If there exists another presheaf homomorphism $\psi = \{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}_X} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, such that, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$,

$$\psi_U \circ \varphi_U = id_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$$

and

$$\varphi_U \circ \psi_U = id_{\mathcal{G}(U)}.$$

We call $\varphi = \{\varphi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}_X}$ ($\psi = \{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}_X}$) the **presheaf isomorphism**.

Example. Let \mathcal{O}_X^* be the sheaf of nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions on X . It is a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_X . Then

$$\exp : f \mapsto e^{2\pi i f}, \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*$$

is a sheaf morphism.

Given a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, we define $\ker \varphi$ and $\text{im } \varphi$. For $\ker \varphi$, the naive definition works and define a subsheaf $\ker \varphi \subset \mathcal{F}$:

$$(\ker \varphi)(U) := \ker\{\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)\}.$$

This construction in fact satisfies the sheaf axioms. However, the naive definition for the image would not, in general, be a sheaf. It is only a presheaf. For example, let X be a compact complex manifold, and consider the natural map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p \oplus \mathbf{C}_q.$$

The solution, to define the image is to *sheafify* the presheaf. This leads to the following definition

$$(\text{Im } \varphi)(U) := \{s \in \mathcal{G}(U) : \forall p \in U, \text{ there is } V \subset U, \text{ s.t. } p \in V, \text{ and } s|_V \in \text{im}(\varphi_V)\}.$$

The meaning of exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

is that λ is injective, and μ is surjective, i.e. $\text{im}(\mu) = \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 0.5. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of sheaves. Then for $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}_X$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_U} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\mu_U} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{H})$$

is an exact sequence of section groups.

Proof. $\text{Ker}(\lambda_U) = 0$, since $\forall f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$, $\lambda_U(f) = 0$, i.e., for $\forall x \in U$, $\lambda(f(x)) = 0$, since λ is injective, $f(x) = 0$. $\forall x \in U$, $f \equiv 0$, therefore (4.35) is exact at $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$. Since $\mu \circ \lambda = 0$, $\mu_U \circ \lambda_U = 0$ by the definition of μ_U and λ_U , therefore $\text{Im}(\lambda_U) \subset \text{Ker}(\mu_U)$. For $\forall g \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G})$, if $\mu_U(g) = 0$, that is $\mu(g(x)) = 0$, for $\forall x \in U$. By the exactness of (4.34), $g(x) \in \text{Im}(\lambda)$, $\forall x \in U$, i.e., $\text{Im } g \subset \text{Im}(\lambda)$, hence there exists $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\lambda_U(f) = g$. \square

In general, the μ_U is not necessarily surjective. We provide an example to elucidate the fact.

Example: $X = \Delta^* = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^1 \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$ is the punctured unit disc in \mathbf{C}^1 , \mathcal{O} is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions, \mathcal{O}^* is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions without the zero, Z is the sheaf of germs of integral numbers, then we have following exact sequence of sheaves

$$(4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{O}^* \longrightarrow 0$$

where i is inclusion homomorphism, $e(\mathbf{f}_x) = (\exp 2\pi i f)_x$, where \mathbf{f}_x is the germ of f at x and f is a holomorphic on a neighborhood of x , $(\exp 2\pi i f)_x$ in the germ of $\exp 2\pi i f$ at x . It is easy to verify (4) is an exact sequence of sheaves. Now we consider the following sequence of group homomorphisms,

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Delta^*, Z) \xrightarrow{i_{\Delta^*}} \Gamma(\Delta^*, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{e_{\Delta^*}} \Gamma(\Delta^*, \mathcal{O}^*) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the holomorphic function $z \in \Gamma(\Delta^*, \mathcal{O}^*)$, there is no $g \in \Gamma(\Delta^*, \mathcal{O})$ such that $\exp(2\pi i g) = z$. In fact, the only solution is $g = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log z$, but $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log z$ is not the unique valued holomorphic functions on Δ^* .

Theorem 0.6. *Let*

$$(4.52) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence over the topological space X . Then we have the following exact sequence of the cohomology group

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & H^0(X, \mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & H^0(X, \mathcal{H}) \\ & & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H^{k-1}(X, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & H^{k-1}(X, \mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & H^{k-1}(X, \mathcal{H}) \\ & & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H^k(X, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & H^k(X, \mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & H^k(X, \mathcal{H}) \\ & & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \cdots \end{array}$$

where α_* , β_* are the homomorphisms of cohomology group induced by sheaf homomorphisms α , β respectively, and the definition of the connecting homomorphism δ_* will be given in the proof.

0.4 Forms and Cohomology

Let M be a complex manifold of dimension n (so it is a real differentiable manifold of dimension $2n$). Suppose $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$, where $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is an open covering of M which consists of the local coordinate neighborhood of M . For $\forall p \in M$, let $T_p M$ be the tangent space of M at point p . Then $TM = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M$ is the tangent bundle of M , where $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\pi(T_p M) = p$. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a coordinate system of M , i.e. each U_α , $\alpha \in I$, is the local coordinate neighborhood. Let $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) = \bigcup_{p \in U_\alpha} T_p(M)$ and $\phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. If $(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n)$ is a local coordinate system of U_α , then, for $\forall p \in U_\alpha$, $T_p(M) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p + \sum_{i=1}^n b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \Big|_p \mid a^i, b^i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$. The *complexified tangent space* is

$$T_{\mathbf{C},p}(M) =: \mathbf{C} \otimes T_p(M) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p + \sum_{i=1}^n b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \Big|_p \mid a^i, b^i \in \mathbf{C} \right\} = \mathbf{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right\}.$$

Define

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right); \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

then we can write

$$T_{\mathbf{C},p}(M) = \mathbf{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \right\} = \mathbf{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i} \right\}.$$

Define a splitting of the complexified tangent space into two pieces:

$$T_{\mathbf{C},p}(M) = T_p^{1,0}(M) \oplus T_p^{0,1}(M),$$

where $T_p^{1,0}(M)$ is the *holomorphic tangent space* and $T_p^{0,1}(M)$ is the *antiholomorphic tangent space*, given by

$$T_p^{1,0}(M) = \mathbf{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right\},$$

$$T_p^{0,1}(M) = \mathbf{C} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i} \right\},$$

We now wish to discuss forms and cohomology. We have a splitting

$$T_{\mathbf{C}}(M) = T^{1,0}(M) \oplus T^{0,1}(M).$$

By dualizing this we obtain

$$(T_{\mathbb{C}}(M))^* = (T^{1,0}(M))^* \oplus (T^{0,1}(M))^*,$$

and we take wedge products:

$$\bigwedge^k (T^{\mathbb{C}}(M))^* = \bigoplus_{a+b=n, a, b \geq 0} \bigwedge^a (T^{1,0}(M))^* \otimes \bigwedge^b (T^{0,1}(M))^*.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^k(M)$ be the space of smooth complex k -forms on M . Then we can write, locally as,

$$\omega = \sum f_{IJ} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J,$$

where f_{IJ} are smooth functions. Recall that we have a map defined by the exterior differentiation

$$d : \mathcal{A}^k(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(M).$$

Now, $\mathcal{A}^k(M)$ splits, so it is natural to ask what happens to $\mathcal{A}^{a,b}(M)$ under d . Suppose $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{a,b}(M)$ and we write

$$\omega = \sum_{\#I=a, \#J=b} f_{IJ} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J,$$

then

$$d\omega = \sum_{\#I=a, \#J=b} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{IJ}}{\partial z^i} dz_i \right) \wedge dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} d\bar{z}_i \right) \wedge dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J \right\},$$

so in fact, $d\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{a+1,b}(M) \oplus \mathcal{A}^{a,b+1}(M)$. Let us write

$$d\omega = \partial\omega + \bar{\partial}\omega$$

where $\partial\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{a+1,b}(M)$, $\bar{\partial}\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{a,b+1}(M)$. Then we can define the Dolbeault cohomology

$$H_{Dol}^{a,b}(M) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{a,b} / \text{im } \bar{\partial}_{a,b-1}.$$

Let U be an open subset of M , denote by

$$\Omega_M^p(U) := \Gamma(U, \Omega_M^p) := \{\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{p,0}(U), \bar{\partial}\omega = 0\},$$

the set of holomorphic p -forms on U . Ω_M^p is the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms.

Theorem 0.7. *Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then*

$$H_{Dol}^{p,q}(M) = H^q(M, \Omega_M^p),$$

where Ω_M^p is the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms.

Remark: Although we have sheaves \mathcal{A}^k , the smooth complex k -forms on M , and $\mathcal{A}^{a,b}$, the smooth (a,b) -forms on M , we have the following statement *Let M be a complex manifold, then $H^p(M, \mathcal{A}^k) = H^p(M, \mathcal{A}^{a,b}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.* So these sheaves have no interesting cohomology. Here we outline a proof: We only prove that $H^1(M, \mathcal{A}^k) = 0$. Choose a sufficiently general open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M . Then $\sigma \in H^1(M, \mathcal{A}^k)$, $\sigma = \{\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha < \beta}$, we have that $\delta\sigma = 0$ if and only if for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$,

$$(\sigma_{\beta,\gamma} - \sigma_{\alpha,\gamma} + \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})|_{U_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}} = 0.$$

We produce a 0-cycle τ whose image under δ is σ . For this we use the partitions of unity. Pick a partitions of unity $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ subordinate of \mathcal{U} , i.e. $\rho_\alpha \in C^\infty(M)$ are such that $\text{supp}(\rho_\alpha) \subset U_\alpha$ for all α and $\sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha = 1$. Define $\tau \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}^k)$, $\tau = \{\tau_\alpha\}$ by

$$\tau_\alpha = - \sum_\gamma \rho_\gamma \sigma_{\alpha,\gamma},$$

We verify now that $\delta(\tau) = \sigma$. Note that we have extended the $\sigma_{\alpha,\gamma}$ by zero to all of M by multiplying by ρ_γ . Hence we need not worry about domains of definition in the following calculation.

$$(\delta(\tau))_{\alpha,\beta} = \tau_\beta - \tau_\alpha = \sum_\gamma \rho_\gamma (\sigma_{\alpha,\gamma} - \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}) = \left(\sum_\gamma \rho_\gamma \right) (\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}) = \sigma_{\alpha,\beta},$$

where the second to last equality holds since σ is a cocycle. Hence $\sigma = 0 \in H^1(M, \mathcal{A}^k)$, and so $H^1(M, \mathcal{A}^k) = 0$.

0.5 Divisors and Line Bundles

Definition 0.8. *An invertible sheaf is a coherent sheaf \mathcal{L} on M such that each point $x \in M$ has an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ such that $\mathcal{L}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}_U$ as \mathcal{O}_M -modules.*

Definition 0.9. *A map $\pi : L \rightarrow M$ is said to be a line bundle, if*

- (1) $E_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$; $\forall p \in M$, is a linear space with rank 1, i.e. $E_p \cong \mathbf{C}$.
- (2) There exists an open covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of M and biholomorphic map $\phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbf{C}$ such that, for all $p \in U_\alpha$, $\phi_\alpha|_{\pi^{-1}(p)} : \pi^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \{p\} \times \mathbf{C}$ is a \mathbf{C} -linear isomorphism between complex vector space E_p and \mathbf{C} .

(U_α, ϕ_α) can be considered as a neighborhood of local coordinations. We call such U_α **the trivialization neighborhood** of L . On $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, for

$\forall p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, let $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(p) := \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1}|_{\phi_\beta(E_p)} : \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\phi_\beta^{-1}} E_p \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} \mathbf{C}$, is a \mathbf{C} -linear isomorphism, i.e. $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(p) \in GL(1, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}^*$, and $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow GL(1, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}^*$ is holomorphic. $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ is called **transitive function** of E .

- (3) The $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the compatible conditions provide by the definition of $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha\beta}(p)\phi_{\beta\gamma}(p) &= \phi_{\alpha\gamma}(p); & p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma \\ \phi_{\alpha\beta}(p) &= \phi_{\beta\alpha}(p)^{-1}; & p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that over \mathbf{P}^n there exists essentially only one holomorphic line bundle, *tautological line bundle*, $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Its dual (which some books called it *the universal line bundle*) can be described as follows: *The set $\mathcal{O}(-1) \subset \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ that consists of all pairs $(l, z) \in \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ with $z \in l$ forms a natural way a holomorphic line bundle over \mathbf{P}^n .* In fact, let $\mathbf{P}^n = \cup_{i=0}^n U_i$ be the standard open covering. A canonical trivialization of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ over U_i is given by $\psi_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbf{C}$, $(l, z) \mapsto (l, z_i)$. The transition maps $\psi_{ij}(l) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are given by $w \mapsto \frac{z_i}{z_j} w$, where $l = [z_0 : \cdots : z_n]$, i.e. $\psi_{ij} = \frac{z_i}{z_j}$. Note that the fiber $\mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ over $l \in \mathbf{P}^n$ is naturally isomorphic to l .

Given an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of M with U_α being the trivialization neighborhood of L . Then its transition function $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_M^*(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, where $\mathcal{O}_M^*(U_\alpha)$ is the sheaf of nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions on M . So $\{\phi_{\alpha\beta}\} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_M^*)$. Further, the compatible conditions (1.2) imply that $\{\phi_{\alpha\beta}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_M^*)$. We get a map $L \mapsto [\{\phi_{\alpha\beta}\}] \in H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_M^*)$. In this way, we can prove the following important statement: *There is one-to-one correspondence between the equivalent classes of holomorphic line bundles on M and the elements of the cohomology group $H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M^*)$.*

A *holomorphic section* s of L on an open set $U \subset M$ is a holomorphic map $s : U \rightarrow L$ such that $p \circ s = id_U$.

Denote

$$\Gamma(U, L) = \{s; s : U \rightarrow L \text{ holomorphic section}\}.$$

This defines a coherent analytic sheaf (of sections) \mathcal{L} over X by $\mathcal{L}(U) = \Gamma(U, L)$. It is an **invertible sheaf** since

$$\mathcal{L}(U_\alpha) \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}.$$

Conversely, let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf, and let $\phi_\alpha : \mathcal{L}(U_\alpha) \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}$ be the local trivializations. Then $g_{\alpha,\beta} = \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1}$ gives the line bundle L . Hence, we also call **invertible sheaf as line bundle**.

The concept of line bundle is intimately related to the concept of **divisors**, which originated from the Riemann surfaces. On a Riemann surface, poles and zeros of meromorphic functions are isolated points. We use p_1, \cdots, p_n to denote these isolated points. Then the formal sum, $\sum n(p_i)p_i$, is called a divisor, where $n(p_i) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Those $n(p_i) \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ denote the multiplicities of the zeros p_i , and those $n(p_i) \in \mathbf{Z}^-$ denote the multiplicities of the poles p_i . So, in fact, $\sum_i n(p_i)p_i$ reflects a meromorphic function with the given poles and zeros, counting multiplicities.

For a complex manifold M , the divisor is a complex submanifold with codimension 1, which is locally defined by the set of zeros of a holomorphic function. Alternatively (Weil's divisor)

Definition 0.10. *A divisor D on M is a formal linear combination*

$$D = \sum a_i [Y_i]$$

where $Y_i \subset M$ irreducible hypersurfaces and a_i are integers. The divisor group $\text{Div}(X)$ is the set of all divisors endowed with the natural group structure. A divisor D is called effective if $a_i \geq 0$ for all i .

Let D be a divisor on M , and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of M such that on each U_i ; $i \in I$, $D \cap U_i = \{f_i = 0\}$, where f_i is a holomorphic function on U_i . When $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$

$$\phi_{ij} := \frac{f_i}{f_j} \quad U_i \cap U_j,$$

then $\phi_{ij} \neq 0$ on $U_i \cap U_j$ and $\phi_{ij} \cdot \phi_{ji} = 1$; on $U_i \cap U_j$, $\phi_{ij} \phi_{jk} \phi_{ki} = 1$ on $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$, so $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{i \in I}$ is a transitive function, which defines a line bundle L . We call L the line bundle associated to the divisor D , and denote it by $L = [D]$. If D is defined by $D \cap U_i = \{f_i = 0\}$, where $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of M and f_i is holomorphic function, then $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a holomorphic section over M , i.e. $f \in \Gamma(M, [D])$

$$f|_{U_i} = f_i.$$

Obviously the zeros of f is just the divisor D .

We need to point that the $[D]$ is unique in the isomorphic sense of line bundles. If there is another system of holomorphic functions defining D , then $\frac{f_i}{f'_i} \neq 0$ on U_i ; $\forall i \in I$, then

$$u_i = \frac{f_i}{f'_i} : u_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$$

so that

$$\phi_{ij} = \frac{f_i}{f_j} = \frac{u_i}{u_j} \cdot \frac{f'_i}{f'_j} = \frac{u_i}{u_j} = \phi'_{ij}.$$

By theorem 10.15 the line bundles defined by $\{\phi_{ij}\}$ and $\{\phi'_{ij}\}$ are equivalent.

Let's take $H : a_0 z_0 + \cdots + a_n z_n = 0$ be a hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^n . let $\mathbf{P}^n = \cup_{i=0}^n U_i$ be the standard open covering. Then on U_i , we have $f_i = a_0 \frac{z_0}{z_i} + \cdots + a_n \frac{z_n}{z_i}$, hence $\phi_{ij} := \frac{f_i}{f_j} = \frac{z_j}{z_i} \quad U_i \cap U_j$. So the line bundle associated to H is $\mathcal{O}(1)$.